

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee Study Guide

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee by Dee Brown

The following sections of this BookRags Literature Study Guide is offprint from Gale's For Students Series: Presenting Analysis, Context, and Criticism on Commonly Studied Works: Introduction, Author Biography, Plot Summary, Characters, Themes, Style, Historical Context, Critical Overview, Criticism and Critical Essays, Media Adaptations, Topics for Further Study, Compare & Contrast, What Do I Read Next?, For Further Study, and Sources.

(c)1998-2002; (c)2002 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of The Gale Group, Inc., a division of Thomson Learning, Inc. Gale and Design and Thomson Learning are trademarks used herein under license.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Encyclopedia of Popular Fiction: "Social Concerns", "Thematic Overview", "Techniques", "Literary Precedents", "Key Questions", "Related Titles", "Adaptations", "Related Web Sites". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Guide to Literature for Young Adults: "About the Author", "Overview", "Setting", "Literary Qualities", "Social Sensitivity", "Topics for Discussion", "Ideas for Reports and Papers". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

All other sections in this Literature Study Guide are owned and copyrighted by BookRags, Inc.



Contents

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee Study Guide.....	1
Contents.....	2
Introduction.....	4
Author Biography.....	5
Plot Summary.....	6
Their Manners Are Decorous and Praiseworthy.....	10
The Long Walk of the Navahos.....	12
Little Crow's War.....	14
War Comes to the Cheyennes.....	16
Powder River Invasion.....	17
Red Cloud's War.....	19
The Only Good Indian Is a Dead Indian.....	21
The Rise and Fall of Donehogawa.....	23
Cochise and the Apache Guerrillas.....	24
The Ordeal of Captain Jack.....	26
The War to Save the Buffalo.....	28
The War for the Black Hills.....	30
The Flight of the Nez Perces.....	32
Cheyenne Exodus.....	33
Standing Bear Becomes a Person.....	34
The Utes Must Go!.....	36
The Last of the Apache Chiefs.....	37
Dance of the Ghosts.....	38
Wounded Knee.....	40
Characters.....	41



Themes..... 47

Style..... 49

Historical Context..... 51

Critical Overview..... 52

Criticism..... 54

Critical Essay #1..... 55

Critical Essay #2..... 58

Critical Essay #3..... 62

Adaptations..... 74

Topics for Further Study..... 75

Compare and Contrast..... 76

What Do I Read Next?..... 77

Further Study..... 78

Bibliography..... 79

Copyright Information..... 80

Introduction

Dee Brown's *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* was first published in the United States in 1970. This landmark book—which incorporated a number of eyewitness accounts and official records—offered a scathing indictment of the U.S. politicians, soldiers, and citizens who colonized the American West. Focusing mainly on the thirty-year span from 1860 to 1890, the book was the first account of the time period told from the Native-American point of view. It demonstrated that whites instigated the great majority of the conflicts between Native Americans and themselves. Brown began searching for the facts about Native Americans after he met several as a child and had a hard time believing the myths about their savagery that were popular among white people. Brown published his book a century after the events took place, but it was a timely publication, since many U.S. citizens were already feeling guilty about their country's involvement in the Vietnam War. Brown's book depicted, in detail, the U.S. government's attempt to acquire Native Americans' land by using a mix of threats, deception, and murder. In addition, the book showed the attempts to crush Native-American beliefs and practices. These acts were justified by the theory of Manifest Destiny, which stated that European descendents acting for the U.S. government had a God-given right to take land from the Native Americans. *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* is Brown's best-known work and has since overshadowed all of his other books.

Author Biography

Dee Brown was born on February 28, 1908, in Alberta, Louisiana. He grew up in Arkansas, where he met many Native Americans. He found it hard to believe the myths of Native-American savagery and read everything he could find about the real history of the American West. Since he was pursuing a career as a librarian at the same time, he frequently had access to the materials he needed. At George Washington University, he studied library science and worked as a library assistant for the United States Department of Agriculture. After receiving his bachelor's degree in library science in 1937, Brown held his first librarian position, at the Beltsville Research Center (1940-1942).

In 1942, he published his first novel, *Wave High the Banner*, a historical novel based on the life and adventures of Davy Crockett, the legendary frontiersman. Over the next few decades, Brown wrote several more novels and nonfiction books about the American West and earned his master's degree from the University of Illinois (1952). He also worked as a librarian for the United States War Department and the University of Illinois, ultimately becoming a professor of library science at the university from 1962 to 1975.

However, despite all of these accomplishments, it was Brown's 1970 publication of *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* that made him a household name. After that, Brown published several works, including a nonfiction book, *Wondrous Times on the Frontier* (1993); a collection of autobiographical writings, *When the Century Was Young: A Writer's Notebook* (1993); and a novel, *The Way to Bright Star* (1998). However, none of these works received the attention or praise of *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, which is still Brown's best-known work. Brown lives and works in Little Rock, Arkansas.



Plot Summary

Chapter 1: "Their Manners are Decorous and Praiseworthy"

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee begins with an overview of the relations between Native Americans and white settlers from the late-1400s to the mid-1800s. Initially peaceful, these relations become more tense as white emigration from Europe to the United States increases.

Chapter 2: The Long Walk of the Navahos

The government wants Navaho land for settlements and mining, so the U.S. Army kills or displaces all Mescalero Apaches and Navahos in the region. Many Navahos die when they are forced to live at the Bosque Redondo reservation. Ultimately, the Navahos sign a peace treaty and are allowed to return to what is left of their land.

Chapter 3: Little Crow's War

Manipulated by deceptive treaties, the Santee Sioux surrender most of their land for money and provisions they mostly do not receive. Little Crow does not want to fight the military might of the United States but has no choice when some of his men kill white settlers. The Santees are ultimately overpowered by the Army and by a Santee traitor.

Chapter 4: War Comes to the Cheyennes

White settlers ignore a treaty and begin settling on Native-American territory. After Cheyennes and Arapahos meet with the Colorado governor to try to maintain peace, many Cheyennes are mutilated or massacred in their Sand Creek village. The Cheyennes split, some going north to join the Northern Cheyennes and the Teton Sioux in Powder River country, while others go south, below the Arkansas River, where they are coerced into signing away their land in Colorado.

Chapter 5: Powder River Invasion

The Cheyennes learn that soldiers are building a fort in the Powder River country. A Cheyenne warrior tries to warn some Arapahos of coming soldiers, but they do not believe him, and their village is destroyed by one military column. A group of Sioux chase the half-starved, frozen soldiers of two other military columns and attempt to beat them in battle but are overpowered.



Chapter 6: Red Cloud's War

The government says it wants to buy transportation rights in the Powder River country, but it deploys soldiers even before a treaty is discussed. Angry at this deception, the Sioux fight a successful guerrilla war, cutting off the soldiers' supply lines, trapping soldiers in isolated battles, and derailing a train. Ultimately, the government withdraws its troops and settles for a peace treaty.

Chapter 7: "The Only Good Indian Is a Dead Indian"

Some of the exiled Southern Cheyennes and Arapahos, encouraged by the Sioux's successes, try to return to their old tribal lands. This action results in a war between the Army and several Native- American tribes, including the Cheyennes, the Arapahos, the Comanches, and the Kiowas. At the end of the fighting, all tribes except the Kiowas surrender and go to reservations.

Chapter 8: The Rise and Fall of Donehogawa

Red Cloud finds out that the peace treaty he signed included items he did not know about. Donehogawa, an educated Native American, is Commissioner of Indian Affairs at the time. He invites Red Cloud and several other Sioux to state their case to President Grant in Washington, D.C. Red Cloud is successful, but Donehogawa loses his influence due to political pressure and resigns.

Chapter 9: Cochise and the Apache Guerrillas

Several Apaches refuse to live on a reservation and instead they engage in a guerrilla war. Settlers massacre a peaceful band of Apaches at Camp Grant, prompting President Grant to send out a commission to talk to the Apache chiefs. After much fighting, most of the Apaches settle on reservations or live in exile in Mexico.

Chapter 10: The Ordeal of Captain Jack

The Modocs do not receive treaty provisions from the government and return to their old lands, the U.S. military comes to remove them, and Captain Jack takes his people to a stronghold. Hooker Jim's band kills some settlers in revenge then forces Captain Jack into killing General Canby, which instigates a war. Hooker Jim and his men surrender to the soldiers, then track down Captain Jack, who is hanged.

Chapter 11: The War to Save the Buffalo

The Kiowas are forced to go to a reservation. They resolve to leave the reservation to fight the white hunters who are destroying the buffalo but are overpowered. Some tribes



choose to go back to the reservation, while others hunt buffalo at Palo Duro Canyon, the last remaining range. The Army destroys their village and forces the Kiowas to surrender.

Chapter 12: The War for the Black Hills

A force of several thousand Native Americans fights to save the sacred Black Hills. They win a major battle by destroying General Custer's army at the Little Bighorn, but the government uses the battle as justification for taking the Black Hills. They also send more troops to make most of the Native Americans surrender. Sitting Bull and some of his people escape to Canada.

Chapter 13: The Flight of the Nez Percés

The Nez Percés are told to give up their land and report to a reservation. Young Joseph advocates peace but is forced to fight when some of his men kill white settlers. The Nez Percés try to flee to Canada to join Sitting Bull, and some make it. However, after a surprise attack by the Army, most of Chief Joseph's people surrender and are sent to Indian Territory.

Chapter 14: Cheyenne Exodus

The Northern Cheyennes at Fort Robinson are transferred to Indian Territory, where many die. Some stay, while others attempt to return to their old lands. Soldiers chase the latter, killing several Cheyennes in the process. The Northern Cheyennes split again. Some are captured and sent back to Fort Robinson, where most are killed in an escape attempt. Others spend the winter in hiding, then surrender.

Chapter 15: Standing Bear Becomes a Person

The peaceful Poncas are sent to Indian Territory with other hostile Native-American tribes. Several die, including the son of Standing Bear, who tries to take the body to their old burial grounds. General Crook captures them but intervenes on their behalf, helping Standing Bear win a court case that gives him the right to stay on his land. Other Poncas try and fail to enforce this new right to return to their land.

Chapter 16: "The Utes Must Go!"

Through the skills of a chief, Ouray the Arrow, the Utes successfully retain one rich portion of their land as a reservation. A new agent, Nathan C. Meeker, tries to convert the Utes to his religion. His efforts instigate a battle between the Utes and the Army. The local government uses the incident as justification for taking the rest of the Ute land.



Chapter 17: The Last of the Apache Chiefs

Order breaks down following the departure of an agent who has established peace on the White Mountain reservation. Many Apaches leave the reservation and engage in raids. General Crook is sent to establish order. He gets Geronimo to surrender, but Geronimo leaves the reservation again when he thinks he is about to be arrested. Another general and several thousand men are enlisted to hunt down Geronimo and his twenty-four warriors. Geronimo surrenders.

Chapter 18: Dance of the Ghosts

The government lures Sitting Bull back to the United States under false promises of amnesty. Many Sioux agree to sell their land, under the threat of having it taken away from them by force. The religious Ghost Dance becomes the craze among Native Americans on reservations, and the government tries to suppress it. The government chooses to arrest Sitting Bull, who gets killed in the process.

Chapter 19: Wounded Knee

Following Sitting Bull's death at Standing Rock reservation, many Native Americans there attempt to flee to Red Cloud's Pine Ridge reservation. The Army intercepts them and attempts to disarm them. After a shot is fired, the armed soldiers open fire with heavy artillery on the mainly unarmed Native Americans, killing most of them.



Their Manners Are Decorous and Praiseworthy

Their Manners Are Decorous and Praiseworthy Summary

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee is Dee Brown's novel of the history of Native Americans in the United States during the last half of the nineteenth century. The book focuses on the Indian perspective of the injustices and betrayals by the U.S. government in its relentless efforts to destroy the culture, religion, and way of life of this race of people.

The novel begins with the statement that Christopher Columbus had named the Native Americans *Indios* and that with the differing dialects and accents of the Europeans to come, the word became known as Indians. Life as known to the Native Americans would never be the same from the point of Columbus' discovery of America in 1492 through the next 400 years, ending in 1890. The Indians were driven further south into Virginia, and by the 1600's, the arriving Englishmen curtailed Native American life and the ritualistic cordoning of Indians begins.

The Indians who remain in what is now called New England befriended the Pilgrims who landed in Massachusetts in 1620 and essentially saved the lives of these new white people, unprepared for the rigors of life in the wild. Slowly but surely, the white people move further into the lands occupied by the Indians until the Indians determine to fight back in a war involving the Wampanoag tribe whose chief, King Philip, is killed in 1675.

For two hundred more years, wars ensue between the Native Americans and the encroaching Europeans, intent on settling America and pushing the Indians further west. The Indians do not want this strife over land, preferring to live in peace with all men. However the white men understand only sovereign rule and deeds to property, completely foreign concepts to the Indians. The great tribes of the Five Nations of the Iroquois are defeated and the people scatter to Canada and to the western United States.

The Ottawa Indians of the Great Lakes region led by their chief, Pontiac, suffered similar disasters in the 1760's. A few years later the great Shawnee warrior, Tecumseh, united many tribes in the Midwestern and Southern states; but his great effort dies with him in the War of 1812. In 1829, U.S. President Andrew Jackson, called 'Sharp Knife' by the Indians, bequeathed land west of the Mississippi but this "permanent Indian frontier" is steadily pushed further west strangling the lands and the lives of the Native Americans.

In 1838, the first movement to round up Indians for forced living in U.S. prison camps begins with the establishment of a camp in North Carolina under the helm of General



Winfield Scott. Eventually these Indians would be forced to move out of the North Carolina facility and walk westward to "Indian Territory." This march, which culminates in hunger, disease, and death, is called the "Trail of Tears" for the Cherokees. Other Indian tribes in the South, the Choctaws, Chickasaws, Creeks, and Seminoles are also forced westward.

In 1848, the "permanent Indian frontier" is encroached upon once more as white men head to California in the gold rush. It is during this time that the U.S. government establishes a Manifest Destiny policy, awarding rule of the entire country to Europeans and their descendants. This new rule dictates that the white man has responsibility for the Indians and all the land and natural resources they inhabit.

By 1858, more western states are established reducing Indian territories once more. The Indians hope that the Civil War in the 1860's will alter the destiny of the Native Americans, but the plight of the Indians will change horrifically over the next thirty years with the iconic end to Indian freedom at Wounded Knee in 1890.

Their Manners Are Decorous and Praiseworthy Analysis

The novel is written in the third person omniscient point of view, which means that the narrator provides not only historical facts but also insight into the thoughts and feelings of the characters. The author's intent is to provide a comprehensive history of the decline of the Native American people from their perspective, as opposed to typical books of U.S. western history extolling the virtues and heroics of Wild West characters.

The time period spans the years from Christopher Columbus' discovery of America in 1492 through the 400-year period culminating at Wounded Knee in 1890. Brown's style as a historian is fact-driven, leading readers to arrive at their own conclusions about the content, which has become well-known for its perspective of the settlement of the western states from the Indian point of view.



The Long Walk of the Navahos

The Long Walk of the Navahos Summary

In the Southwestern states in 1860, the Navaho people are led by Chief Manuelito, who desires peace with white men until the white soldiers indiscriminately destroy Indian homes, crops, and livestock. The Indians retaliate with an attack on the U.S. Fort Defiance and come very close to annihilating the fort and its inhabitants but are ultimately driven back into the hills. The Indians consider the battle successful but the U.S. Government considers the attack a declaration of war.

When another U.S. post, Fort Fauntleroy, is established nearby the following year, Chief Manuelito challenges a U.S. officer to a horse race. When it is determined that Manuelito's bridle rein had been slashed resulting in his being unable to control his horse, the Indians insist on another race but are denied. This inequity causes an immediate uprising and the U.S. soldiers slaughter all the Navaho people present for the event.

Later that year, famous scout, Kit Carson arrives in New Mexico as a Union soldier and gains the trust of the Indians in the area. 1862 sees the arrival of many more Union soldiers with less admirable intentions than Carson's and the army establishes a position of destroying the Indians who occupy the gold and silver laden land. U.S. General Carleton declares war with the Navaho and Apache Indians and guarantees their safety only if they agree to live in a reservation called the Bosque Redondo between the Rio Grande River and the Pecos Mountains.

When the Indians refuse to be herded into the camp, the U.S. soldiers engage in an intensive movement to destroy Indian crops, livestock, and homes. Eventually the Navahos and Apaches are forced to surrender due to starvation and inability to survive in the elements in their emaciated conditions. Chief Manuelito, the longest holdout of any of the chiefs, finally surrenders in 1866 and in 1868 signs a peace treaty between the U.S. government and the Navaho and Apache Indians.

The Long Walk of the Navahos Analysis

Amid the greedy and destructive U.S. government representatives of the period, the soldier and scout, Kit Carson befriends the Indians and a mutual trust is established. The author describes Carson as "a rough, superstitious, illiterate mountain man" who, "although he was only five feet six inches tall, his name touched the sky." The author uses figurative language to communicate Carson's positive reputation. Clearly a name cannot touch the sky but the author wants the reader to understand how the Indians respected Carson and uses the expression "his name touched the sky" to mirror the tone of Indian names as a tribute to Carson.



Brown also explains in this chapter the content of the Manifest Destiny, which in essence obliterated any Indian rights from this point forward. The assumption of white men's superiority over the Native Americans is captured best in General Carleton's statement on the topic when he says, "...but, when, at length, they found it was their destiny, too, as it had been that of their brethren, tribe after tribe, away back toward the rising of the sun, to give way to the insatiable progress of our race, they threw down their arms, and, as brave men entitled to our admiration and respect, have come to us with confidence in our magnanimity."



Little Crow's War

Little Crow's War Summary

A thousand miles north of the Navaho country live the Santee Sioux Indians. They are divided into four factions, the Mdewkantons, Wahpetons, Wahpekutes, and Sissetons. In the years leading up to the U.S. Civil War, over 150,000 white settlers infiltrated the Santee Sioux land, minimizing the boundary of the "permanent Indian frontier." The summer of 1862 is especially trying for the Sioux because the exhaustion of food supplies at the reservation, as well as the altercations with white men when the Indians venture out to hunt.

The chief of the Mdewkanton group, Little Crow, is especially wounded by the situation because he had signed the peace treaties that eventually tricked the Indians out of their lands. He had visited Abraham Lincoln in Washington where he received a U.S. flag guaranteed to provide him and his people safety.

Little Crow, moved by the starvation of his people, rallies some of the other Santee Sioux chiefs to approach the Indian agent at the reservation. A small amount of provisions is released with the comment of a white man ringing in their ears, "So far as I am concerned, if they are hungry let them eat grass or their own dung." Little Crow is able to calm the other chiefs, but peace is only temporary when Little Crow is awakened in the night to the news that some of the young braves had killed some white people when scavenging for food. Little Crow's attempts to notify the white soldiers that this action is not one condoned by the Indian chiefs but rather the impulsive actions of some hungry young men are futile. War breaks out between the Santee Sioux and the soldiers at Ford Ridgely.

Over the course of a month, the Santee Sioux wage war against the U.S. soldiers, ultimately ending in Indian defeat at a battle at the Yellow Medicine River. For their war crimes, 303 Santee warriors are condemned to die with the balance of the Santee Sioux tribes to be restricted to U.S. custody at Fort Snelling. President Lincoln absolves all but 38 of the condemned men who are hanged in December of 1862.

This is a crushing blow to the Santee Sioux tribes and to the remaining chiefs, including Little Crow who is killed when he ventures into white man's territory while hunting for food one day in 1863. Soon after, the remaining Santee Sioux chiefs are hanged, the government issues orders to white men to shoot any Indians they encounter, and the Santee Sioux people are permanently confined to a reservation.

Little Crow's War Analysis

At the beginning of each chapter, Brown provides a brief timeline of events occurring during the same period for which he will cover Indian history in the upcoming chapter. For example, prior to this chapter, Brown covers the historical highlights of the years



1862 and 1863. The dates list many events related to the U.S. Civil War, but also include the publication of Victor Hugo's *Les Miserables* and Ivan Turgenev's *Fathers and Sons*. The author wants to make the point that while the rest of the world is absorbed in its military, political, and cultural concerns, there are atrocities being inflicted on the Indian people with not one mention in any news document. The author is not discrediting other historical events. Rather, he wants the reader to understand the complete disinterest in the fate of Native Americans who are literally being obliterated from the country.

The author also provides many letters and communications from the Indian chiefs to show their thoughts and motives at the time. For example, when Little Crow tries to reason with the Indian agents to provide the Indians with the food that is rightfully theirs but is being withheld, he writes, "For what reason we have commenced this war I will tell you. It is on account of Major Galbraith. We made a treaty with the government, and beg for what we do get, and can't get that till our children are dying with hunger. It is the traders who commenced it. Mr. A.J. Myrick told the Indians that they would eat grass or dirt... If the young braves have pushed the white men, I have done this myself. So I want you to let Governor Ramsey know this..." Brown wants to provide a balanced view of the Indian and white man conflict and level out the position that maintains the Indians are savages and incapable of rational thought, which is clearly not the case.



War Comes to the Cheyennes

War Comes to the Cheyennes Summary

In spite of the conflicts and battles, the Cheyennes, Arapahos, Sioux, Crows, and other Indian tribes agree in 1851 to let the white men establish trade routes and military posts throughout their territory. No one is prepared, though, for the influx of white settlers during the Pikes Peak gold rush in 1858, which results in the loss of more territory for the Indian tribes. A new peace treaty is signed at the U.S. post at Fort Wise and instructs the Indians to inhabit even less land than before in the Colorado territory.

The arrival of more U.S. soldiers in search of Confederate soldiers hiding out in this region instigates more conflicts. Colorado's governor, John Evans, declares it lawful for any white man to kill any hostile Indian. A Cheyenne chief named Lean Bear unwittingly rides into this offensive and is killed along with some others of his tribe. Lean Bear carries a U.S. flag, wears the medals he received from President Lincoln at the White House, and mistakenly thinks that the medals and his own peaceful intentions would protect him.

More battles ensue and Lean Bear's friend, Chief Black Kettle sends word to a white man who has befriended the Indians, John Bent, to intercede on their behalf to halt the fighting. Bent is married to an Indian woman and his own half-breed sons eventually disown him because of the white blood that runs through their own veins. An especially brutal attack on the unsuspecting Indians living at Sand Creek camp essentially annihilates the tribe. Most of the remaining Cheyennes are removed to the U.S. outposts and some, including Black Kettle, move further south. Another peace treaty is signed exiling the Cheyennes from Colorado to territory along the Arkansas River.

War Comes to the Cheyennes Analysis

Brown once again upholds the position of the Indians as peace loving people who are antagonized and threatened by the white people who attempt to exert control over them. One of the U.S. officers who helps to facilitate the peace talks, Major Edward W. Wynkoop, states about his time spent with the Cheyennes chiefs, "I felt myself in the presence of superior beings; and these were the representatives of a race that I heretofore looked upon without exception as being cruel, treacherous, and bloodthirsty without feeling or affection for friend or kindred."

Brown uses documented statements from the Indians, too, to illuminate this point. "The Cheyennes do not break their word," One-Eye replied. "If they should do so, I would not care to live longer." The author wants the reader to understand that there is written proof of the nobility of the Indian people as evidenced by their peaceful natures until provoked.



Powder River Invasion

Powder River Invasion Summary

The Plains Indians, located near the Powder River between the Bighorn Mountains to the west and the Black Hills to the east, are preparing for their annual medicine ceremonies in the summer of 1865. The tribes are unaware of an initiative being launched by U.S. General Patrick E. Connor to form three columns of soldiers to attack the Powder River encampments with the goal of killing every male Indian over twelve years of age.

In addition to this organized effort, a private expedition led by James A. Sawyers, heads west toward Montana gold mining territory. Aware that he would be passing through Indian territory, Sawyers has employed infantrymen to help his party pass through, unscathed if possible. Sioux and Cheyennes Indians in the area take note of Sawyers' wagon train, harass the travelers for several hours before the wagons circle, and create an impenetrable force. Eventually the Indians relent and the wagon train is free to move once more when the Indians call a truce. Sawyers reveals his intentions to meet up with General Connor at his new fort. This is the first time the Indian chiefs Red Cloud and Dull Knife have heard of a new fort being built in the area and they accept gifts from Sawyers to maintain temporary peace.

A month later, Connor's fort is secure, and he sets out on his mission to annihilate Indian males. A Cheyennes warrior named Little Horse, whose attempts to warn his wife's Arapaho village go unheeded, spots Connor's march. Connor is able to attack the Arapaho camp and destroy the lodges, food, and animals in addition to killing fifty Indians. The remaining Arapaho Indians scatter in fear of Connor's continuing attacks and join ranks with Sioux Indians in the region until they number about four hundred warriors. Among this group is the Hunkpapa leader Sitting Bull whose memory of the massacre of the Santee Sioux Indians at Crow Creek two years ago is still painfully fresh.

This party of Sioux warriors engages with the remaining U.S. soldiers in the area following the starving and exhausted soldiers at close range to terrify them into retreating. Eventually the Sioux meet up with the Cheyennes and arrange a huge ambush of the remaining U.S. soldiers.

The Sioux, led by their prized warrior, Roman Nose, engage with the U.S. army Bluecoats in several battles throughout late summer. Eventually, the remaining soldiers take refuge at Fort Connor where those who do not die from injuries, disease, or starvation remain during the winter. Confident in their victory, the Sioux Indians post a few warriors to watch activities at the fort while the rest of the tribe moves eastward toward the Black Hills where the buffalo and antelope herds are more plentiful. Red Cloud is pleased with this victory but realizes that he will need guns and ammunition to ward off any further attacks on his people.



Powder River Invasion Analysis

At the beginning of each chapter, Brown inserts a quote from an Indian warrior or chief to provide some foreshadowing of the chapter's content and overall theme. For instance, at the start of this chapter, Red Cloud says, "Whose voice was first sounded on this land? The voice of the red people who had but bows and arrows... What has been done in my country I did not want, did not ask for it; white people going through my country... When the white man comes in my country he leaves a trail of blood behind him... I have two mountains in that country - the Black Hills and the Big Horn Mountain. I want the Great Father to make no roads through them. I have told these things three times; now I have come here to tell them the fourth time."

In keeping with the authenticity of the book, Brown uses Indian names and terms appropriate for the time. For example, the Indians used different names for the moon to mark the seasons. In this chapter, the attack on the Arapaho village is known as the "Battle of Tongue River that happened in the Moon When the Geese Shed Their Feathers." The Indians live by the land and the cycles of nature and mark time in this manner universal to the people of the tribes.



Red Cloud's War

Red Cloud's War Summary

During the summer and fall of 1865, a newly formed U.S. peace treaty commission travels the Missouri River territory in attempts to sign up the regional Indian chiefs. Many of the chiefs are still fighting Connor's soldiers and do not sign the treaty although the commissioners report complete success of their mission to government headquarters in Washington. In actuality, the commission knows that the treaty is useless because the chiefs who had signed are not the warrior chiefs and the commissioners continue their efforts to locate Red Cloud and the other Powder River chiefs to sign the document.

Given the recent altercations between the Sioux and General Connor's troops, U.S. soldiers are reluctant to enter the Powder River territory to find Red Cloud and convince him and the others to return to Fort Laramie to sign the most recent peace treaty. One of the commissioners determines to send five Sioux chiefs on this mission with the hopes that they will have better success than any of the white men. This group includes Big Mouth, Big Ribs, Eagle Foot, Whirlwind, and Little Crow - known among the white men as the "Laramie Loafers."

A few months later, some of the chiefs return to Fort Laramie, but the U.S. soldiers still want the consent of Red Cloud, Man-Afraid-of-His-Horses, and Dull Knife, the most important warrior chiefs. When Red Cloud finally arrives, he is impressed with the treaty granting gifts to his people on the condition of his signature. All the regional Indians gather for this momentous event but the treaty does not come to fruition after the Indians discover the white man's plans to build a road through the Powder River territory.

The Indians leave Fort Laramie feeling betrayed and follow the U.S. soldier expedition along the location of the new road called Bozeman Road. Having decided against a frontal attack, the Indians continue to harass anyone attempting to use the Bozeman Road. Red Cloud tires of this passive approach and, for the next two years, initiates battles upon the white soldiers, the most famous being The Fetterman Massacre. The U.S. soldiers ultimately surrender the Powder River territory in 1868 and Red Cloud agrees to sign the peace treaty.

Red Cloud's War Analysis

Brown points out the irony in the white man's horror in finding mutilated bodies of white soldiers after The Fetterman Massacre. In writing about the observations of one of the colonels who is appalled at the sight of the disemboweled and hacked bodies, Brown reminds the reader that the Indians have put into practice the horrific mutilations inflicted by the white soldiers on the bodies of dead Indians at the massacre at Sand Creek two

years prior. Brown uses sarcasm when he states, "The Indians who ambushed Fetterman were only imitating their enemies, a practice which in warfare, as in civilian life, is said to be the sincerest form of flattery." Clearly, this is not a practice to emulate but Brown wants the reader to understand that the Indians did not initiate the mutilations but inflict the savagery in imitation of the white men's actions.



The Only Good Indian Is a Dead Indian

The Only Good Indian Is a Dead Indian Summary

As Red Cloud prepares for his two-year long Powder River war with the white soldiers in 1866, some of the Cheyennes Dog Soldiers in the region return to the Arkansas River area in the south to reunite with relatives and hunt buffalo again. These chiefs include Tall Bull, White Horse, Gray Beard, Bull Bear, and the great warrior Roman Nose. Soon the Dog Soldiers meet up with other Cheyennes and some Arapaho warriors to hunt in the Smoky Hill area in Kansas. The Cheyennes' old friend Edward Wynkoop attempts to get the chiefs to sign a peace treaty, but they resolutely decline to sign or to leave the area.

Roman Nose, one of the most volatile of the Dog Soldiers, hears word of a new stagecoach line trailing through the heart of their best buffalo hunting grounds. In the fall of 1866, Roman Nose visits Fort Wallace to notify the authorities there that if the stagecoach line were not halted within fifteen days, the Cheyennes would begin systematic attacks on the coaches. Winter arrives early and Roman Nose's attack plan must be delayed until the spring of 1867.

During that spring the Dog Soldiers are summoned to Fort Larned for a conference with General Winfield Scott Hancock. General Hancock reveals that white men are headed to Indian territory in great numbers due to the end of the U.S. Civil War. The Dog Soldiers agree to live peacefully with this new influx of people. However Hancock will not be satisfied until he has the commitment of Roman Nose, who is not in attendance. Hancock vows to ride out to find Roman Nose, but Roman Nose hears of this plan and (suspecting a trap) plans to kill Hancock when they meet. Roman Nose tells Hancock that their women and children have scattered out of fear and that Roman Nose needs a couple of days to retrieve them. When Roman Nose does not return within several days, Hancock obliterates the Cheyennes village.

This destruction led by Hancock precipitates retaliatory attacks by the Dog Soldiers on many white people arriving in the area. The U.S. government relieves Hancock of his duties and establishes a new peace treaty commission, which attempts to secure the signatures of representatives of the Cheyennes, Arapahos, Kiowas, Comanches, and Prairie Apaches at Medicine Lodge Creek near Fort Larned. Roman Nose refuses to participate if U.S. General William Tecumseh Sherman is in attendance.

When Roman Nose receives reassurance that Sherman has been sent back to Washington, he agrees to attend the peace treaty meeting. All the chiefs sign the agreement exiling the tribes to the Arkansas River region; but warrior Roman Nose will not add his signature and the treaty is essentially invalid. Roman Nose dies in a battle in the Arikaree Valley. This marks a massive exodus of Cheyennes to the Arkansas region led by Chief Black Kettle. The U.S. soldiers, led by General Sheridan and General Custer, attack the Cheyennes in this area and Black Kettle's tribe is almost annihilated.



The survivors sent to live in Fort Cobb in Oklahoma. Before long, Yellow Bear of the Arapahos and Tosawi of the Comanches follow suit and surrender at Fort Cobb. Upon presentation to General Sheridan, Tosawi proudly declares his name stating, "Tosawi, good Indian." Sheridan's caustic reply "The only good Indians I ever saw were dead" enters into American vernacular where today it is stated "The only good Indian is a dead Indian."

The Only Good Indian Is a Dead Indian Analysis

To alleviate the monotony of the retelling of historical events, Brown periodically inserts Indian cultural items such as the belief that purification ceremonies could render warrior impervious to white men's bullets. Prior to a battle, Roman Nose is distressed to find that a woman had used a metal spoon in preparing some of his food. This negates the invincibility requiring further purification. A Cheyennes warrior named Wolf Belly wears his magic panther skin into battle and escapes without one bullet wound. The telling of these events helps the reader to more fully understand the Indian people and their spiritual beliefs.



The Rise and Fall of Donehogawa

The Rise and Fall of Donehogawa Summary

During the fall of 1869, peace among the Plains Indians is supplemented by the news that a new Great Father has been installed in Washington. This new leader, President Ulysses S. Grant, is said to have named an Indian man as the Little Father of the Indians or his formal title, the Commissioner of Indian Affairs. This unprecedented move is a result of the longtime friendship between President Grant and this new commissioner, Ely Samuel Parker also known by his Iroquois name, Donehogawa, Keeper of the Western Door of the Long House of the Iroquois.

Parker, a self-educated man, is a lawyer and engineer who is one of the few Indians to serve in the U.S. Civil War due to the intercession of then General U.S. Grant. Ultimately, Parker is selected to write the terms of General Robert E. Lee's surrender at Appomattox Court House.

Parker's first real challenge in his new position occurs during the winter of 1869-1870 when he learns of a massacre of Piegan Indians three months earlier. Parker shrewdly convinces Red Cloud and Spotted Tail to travel via train to Washington, D.C. to meet with President Grant so that the most recent grievances may be aired. Red Cloud is distressed to hear that a new treaty does not specifically delineate that the Sioux people may live on the Platte River and trade at Fort Laramie. Parker convinces the U.S. Secretary to amend the treaty to Red Cloud's satisfaction and the meeting ends positively. Before returning home to Fort Laramie, Red Cloud is taken to New York City where he delivers an address at the Cooper Institute to speak on Indian rights.

This initial success for Parker is short-lived as his reform initiatives are viewed as too pro-Indian because of his heritage and his political opponents force his retirement of his position. Parker moves to New York, makes a fortune in the financial sector, and lives the balance of his life known as Donehogawa, Keeper of the Western Door of the Long House of the Iroquois.

The Rise and Fall of Donehogawa Analysis

Brown is quick to point out the irony of Red Cloud traveling to Washington by train, the "Iron Horse" which has been the source of much distress for the Plains Indians. Brown also wants the reader to understand the sage wisdom of the Indian Chiefs who understood their precarious position better than the U.S. government officials would ever guess. Brown also wants the reader to know that the stereotype of the savage Indian is a false belief and the story of Donehogawa is one example proving the stereotype wrong. Not only was Donehogawa educated in law and engineering, he maintained a long friendship and confidence with Ulysses S. Grant, successfully facilitated Indian affairs, and died a wealthy man as a result of his financial prowess.



Cochise and the Apache Guerrillas

Cochise and the Apache Guerrillas Summary

At the time that Red Cloud made his visit to Washington, Parker considered inviting Chiricahua Apache Chief, Cochise, but no one knew how to locate him. Cochise is known to be mild mannered and has agreed to allow white men to move through the Chiricahua country on their way to California. Cochise encourages his people to participate with the progress of the white men and they even cut the wood for the building of a mail stage station.

The peaceful existence ends in February of 1861 when Cochise is summoned to a military conference to testify on the recent theft of some cattle and the kidnapping of a half-breed boy from a local rancher. Despite Cochise's innocence, he is imprisoned by the white soldiers but escapes and brutally murders three random white men. In retaliation, the U.S. army kills three of Cochise's male relatives.

Cochise aligns with his father-in-law, Mangas Colorado, in an attempt to drive the white men from the Apache homeland. A series of attacks on stagecoaches, mail transports, and random white settlers ensues until the middle of 1862 when the Apaches are outnumbered by the arrival of U.S. Union soldiers. Mangas is ultimately captured and killed by the soldiers in January of 1863 and Cochise vows revenge. Over the course of the next two years, Cochise terrorizes the white soldiers and settlers in the American Southwest.

By the time of the end of the U.S. Civil War in 1865, Cochise's tribe is exhausted and starving and seeks help from the U.S. government. The plan is to install the Apaches in the Bosque Redondo reservation but Cochise rebukes the idea and takes his people further south toward Mexico. The next few years are filled with random attacks and Cochise is consistently blamed regardless of the perpetrators.

During the spring of 1871, a group of Aravaipa Apaches led by Eskiminzin is massacred by a band of Mexicans, Papago Indians, and white men who are ultimately exonerated for the crime. Cochise's ire is raised once more and he rejects any peace talks or offers of security at the new Fort Tularosa. Cochise and his people roam the area now called Arizona until Cochise reaches an agreement with U.S. General Howard to install the Apaches in their homeland area in the Chiricahua Mountains.

Eskiminzin's people are installed at Camp Grant but ultimately make their escape destined to roam looking for food and shelter. In 1874, Cochise falls violently ill and not even the U.S. Army surgeon can save him. The Apache chief succumbs at the Chiricahua agency. Following Cochise's demise, the Apache nations scatter and diminish. By March 1875, most Apache tribes have submitted to reservation life or have left the Arizona area entirely.



Cochise and the Apache Guerrillas Analysis

Brown does not need to craft descriptive language for the book because the native dialogue of the Indians is so lyrical and simple in its delivery. For example, in this chapter, when the U.S. government in another peace offer approaches two chiefs, Victorio and Nana, the chiefs respond simply, "We are tired of war. We are poor and have little for ourselves and our families to eat or wear. We want to make a peace, a lasting peace, one that will keep... I have washed my hands and mouth with cold fresh water, and what I said is true." When the agent does not offer peace but rather the installation of the Apaches at the Bosque Redondo reservation, Nana replies, "I have no pockets to put what you have to say in..." The natural language is lyrical and descriptive and needs no embellishment from the author.



The Ordeal of Captain Jack

The Ordeal of Captain Jack Summary

California Indian tribes are known for their gentle ways until provoked by Spanish or American people. Kintpuash also known as Captain Jack leads the most well known tribe called the Modocs. The Modocs, who live on the California / Oregon border, do not encounter white people until the 1850's, when skirmishes begin for control of the land. U.S. politicians arrange for the Modocs to live in a reservation in Oregon. Unfortunately, the reservation sits on land originally belonging to the Klamath Indians who treat the Modocs reprehensibly for the intrusion.

Tiring of the constant skirmishes, the Modocs return to one of their original homes in the Lost River Valley, but the white people do not want the Modocs in the area. The government forcibly attempts to move the Modocs back to the Klamath Reservation but Captain Jack and his people escape further south to the California Lava Beds area where they hope to be left alone because the land is unsuitable for the purposes of white men.

Captain Jack encounters another Modoc tribe led by Hooker Jim, who tells Captain Jack that he has killed some white settlers in retaliation for the murder of some of his tribe's people. This act will certainly bring revenge from the white soldiers and Captain Jack will have to answer for Hooker Jim's actions. It is not until January of 1873 that the white soldiers find the Modocs in the Lava Beds and the Modocs decide to fight rather than turn in Hooker Jim. The Modocs defeat the soldiers and the Modocs are approached by Captain Jack's cousin, Winema, who is married to a white man, about the possibility of peace.

The peace commissioners arrive and promise amnesty to all the Modocs including Hooker Jim who surrenders to General Edward R.S. Canby. While under protective custody, Hooker Jim encounters some Oregon residents who reveal that Oregon officials are looking for Hooker Jim with the intent to kill him for the murders he had committed. Hooker Jim and his small band of warriors escape and return to the Lava Beds to warn Captain Jack of the false nature of any peace proceedings.

By April of that year, Captain Jack is still not able to reach an acceptable agreement with Canby. Hooker Jim forces Captain Jack to agree to kill Canby if the officer does not allow the Modocs to return to their original home. Canby ultimately denies this last request and Captain Jack murders Canby at the false peace meeting. Upon hearing of Canby's murder, the army sends troops to battle the Modocs who scatter when under an overwhelming siege.

Before long, Hooker Jim is captured by the U.S. army and offers up Captain Jack in exchange for his own amnesty. Captain Jack is tracked, captured, and hanged for Hooker Jim's crimes on October 3rd.



The Ordeal of Captain Jack Analysis

In this chapter, Brown points out the irony of the tragic fate befalling the Indians, sometimes at the hand of their own people. In the case of Captain Jack, he vows to defend and protect his Modoc people, even Hooker Jim who has committed murder of white people. Captain Jack engages in battle with white soldiers rather than turn in Hooker Jim for punishment. Unfortunately, Captain Jack's nobility is not matched by Hooker Jim, who gives up Captain Jack in exchange for his own release. Captain Jack had been a peace loving and noble chief who tried to do the right thing in protecting Hooker Jim. Yet he ultimately pays with his own life for the weak character of one of his tribes people.

Brown also wants the reader to understand the extremely vulnerable position of the Modocs living in California. There literally is nowhere else to push these tribes westward so their only recourse is to live on lava land that is not even suitable for farming. In spite of the overwhelming challenges, Captain Jack maintains his dignity and tries to set a good example for his people even when trapped by geography limitations and the orders of the U.S. government officials.



The War to Save the Buffalo

The War to Save the Buffalo Summary

In December of 1868, General Sheridan issues an order for all Cheyennes, Arapaho, Kiowa, and Comanche tribes to surrender at Fort Cobb or risk being hunted down and killed by U.S. soldiers. The Kiowas see no benefit to surrender and opt to remain on their own land based on the treaty of Medicine Lodge signed in 1867. This is not acceptable to the U.S. government, which sends George Armstrong Custer to resolve the situation. Custer encounters two Kiowa chiefs, Satanta and Lone Wolf, and arrests them on the spot. The balance of the Kiowa tribes is installed in the reservation at Fort Cobb.

Eventually the army builds a new town north of the Red River called Fort Sill, to where the Kiowa people are transferred. General Sheridan removes Satanta and Lone Wolf from incarceration with the warning to abide by the peace treaty. The chiefs agree, as they always had. The Kiowas are forced to farm as opposed to their normal hunting way of life and the chiefs call a meeting of the Comanche and Southern Cheyennes to discuss the return to buffalo hunting.

The elder chiefs, Kicking Bird of the Kiowas and Ten Bears of the Comanches, believe that the best course of action is to stay on the reservation and not risk any further altercations. The younger chiefs do not agree and ultimately force Kicking Bird into leading an attack against a mail coach and the soldiers at Fort Richardson in Texas. This is Kicking Bird's last battle and he returns to Fort Sill to work for peace.

In the spring of 1871, the Kiowa and Comanche chiefs determine that the only way to return to their buffalo-hunting lifestyle is to annihilate the Texans who are killing off the buffalo for frivolous reasons. The Kiowas, led by Satanta, and the Comanches, led by Big Tree, band together and attack a wagon train in Texas killing seven white soldiers. Satanta and Big Tree are captured and receive life sentences that are commuted when Lone Wolf negotiates. It is determined that Satanta and Big Tree can add value to the upcoming peace treaty talks in Washington, D.C.

Ultimately, Satanta and Big Tree are released, but the altercations between the white soldiers and settlers continue over the rights to the buffalo. In February of 1875, Lone Wolf leads his Kiowas back to Fort Sill and dies within a year; Kicking Bird dies mysteriously after drinking a cup of coffee in his lodge; and Satanta commits suicide by leaping from a window in a prison hospital.

The War to Save the Buffalo Analysis

The author uses the literary device of simile when describing the increasing presence of white men in the Indian territories. "The white men were like coyotes; there were always more of them, no matter how many were killed." The Indians equated the white men

with the predatory nature of coyotes because of the unrelenting destruction of the Indian way of life.

Because the book is nonfiction, there are many facts presented. One of the most revealing relates to the destruction of the buffalo. "Of the 3,700,000 buffalo destroyed from 1872 to 1874, only 150,000 were killed by Indians. When a group of concerned Texans asked General Sheridan if something should not be done to stop the white hunters' wholesale slaughter, he replied, 'Let them kill, skin, and sell until the buffalo is exterminated, as it is the only way to bring lasting peace and allow civilization to advance.'" It is this government position which essentially destroyed the Indian nations. It also shows government deceit, in spite of more positive promises to the Indian people over the course of many years.



The War for the Black Hills

The War for the Black Hills Summary

The Black Hills area of the Plains had been given to the Indians in 1868, and life had been relatively easy for its inhabitants until 1874 when huge amounts of white settlers converge on the area in search of gold. General George Armstrong Custer had led an expedition into the Black Hills area spiking an influx of white men in search of the valuable gold metal. The Indians declare a dislike for Custer for his breach of their territory, but Sioux Chief Red Cloud manages to keep the ire down in hopes that the U.S. government will keep its promise to remove the white people from the Indian land.

Unfortunately, the U.S. government does not keep its promise; but the Sioux agree to another peace council, which is held in September of 1875. The government does not agree to relinquish mining activity in the Black Hills and the Indians convene for three days in private to consider their options and decide to resist the white man's invasion. War is expected. There are several battles throughout the balance of 1875 and into 1876, with the Indians losing an encampment near the Powder River but then triumphing at the Battle of the Rosebud in June.

Buoyed by this victory, the Indian tribes of Cheyennes, Sioux, Lakota, and Arapaho move toward the Little Bighorn where they engage General Custer and his troops on June 29. During this famous battle, Custer and all his troops are killed earning the event the title of "Custer's Last Stand." The white men retaliate to this massacre and issue an immediate treaty designed to remove the Indians from the Black Hills and install them in an area on the Missouri River. The Indians are well aware that the Missouri River region has no more timber or buffalo and that they have no recourse but to live at nearby Fort Robinson in order to survive.

The U.S. army is happy to confine these Indian people and is madly scouring the Black Hills area to kill any others in retaliation for the slaughter of soldiers at Little Big Horn. In order to avoid more death, Sitting Bull moves his people to the Yellowstone area where he sees another influx of white people. Sitting Bull's attempts to persuade the white settlers to leave are futile and a peace council held on October 22 ends with no agreement. Sitting Bull urges all Indian tribes to scatter to avoid conflict but by the spring of 1877, Sitting Bull's people are starving and exhausted and he considers moving to Canada under the rule of Queen Victoria.

Sitting Bull would like Crazy Horse and his people to accompany him to Canada but Crazy Horse cannot be found due to his efforts of constant travel to elude the white soldiers. Before long, U.S. soldiers attack the village of Chief Bull Knife whose surviving people escape to Crazy Horse's camp for shelter. The U.S. soldiers engage in a battle with Crazy Horse who surrenders at Fort Robinson where he is killed by a guard.



The War for the Black Hills Analysis

After years of betrayals and broken treaties, the Indians are once again being forced to move so that white men may gain, this time through the mining of gold in the Black Hills region. Brown points out the irony in the appointment of Reverend Samuel D. Hinman as the missionary representative on the latest peace commission. He worked relentlessly for years to convert the Indians to Christianity. Hinman is focused intently on "saving the souls" of the Indians but willingly participates in the treaty commissions that strip the Indians of their rights, their land, and their lives. While the government officials participate willingly in the gradual eradication of the Plains Indians through deception and greed, it seems strongly inappropriate for a minister to engage in the same practices.

Throughout the book, Brown integrates statements of the Indian chiefs to support the historical essay-like plot line. For example, when Sitting Bull is asked to recall General Custer a year after the Battle of Big Horn, the chief replies, "he never saw Custer, but that other Indians had seen and recognized him just before he was killed. 'He did not wear his long hair as he used to wear it,' Sitting Bull said. 'It was short, but it was the color of the grass when the frost comes... When the last stand was made, the Long Hair stood like a sheaf of corn with all the ears fallen around him.'" The language of the actual Indian chiefs is lyrical, visually descriptive, and adds authenticity to the writing.

The significance of the book's title is revealed at the end of this chapter when Brown relates the burial of Crazy Horse's heart and bones by his parents at an undisclosed location near Chankpe Opi Wakpala, the creek called Wounded Knee.

Brown also includes an authentic song or chant with the accompanying musical notes at the end of each chapter to conclude the events just presented. This chapter ends with the "Song of Sitting Bull" in which Sitting Bulls sings, "A warrior I have been. Now it is all over. A hard time I have." The chiefs were sparse in their use of words, but the sadness and emotions are poignant even today.



The Flight of the Nez Perces

The Flight of the Nez Perces Summary

The Indian tribe called the Nez Perces encounters the Lewis and Clark expedition in September 1805, and aids the explorers by providing food and tending their horses while the expedition continues by water. This peace-loving tribe embraces white men until 1855 when Governor Isaac Stevens of the Washington Territory orders the demarcation of territory for the Nez Perces and the influx of white settlers. Many tribal leaders with the exception of the chief, Old Joseph, sign the agreement.

In the following years, the numbers of white men moving to the territory increase and a new treaty is drawn up in 1863 moving the Nez Perces to a region in Idaho. Old Joseph dies in 1871 leaving the leadership of the tribe to his son, Chief Joseph. Before long, U.S. government officials again want to move the Nez Perces to another reservation in the Lapwai reservation, but the Indians resist and win a battle at White Bird Canyon in the summer of 1877. The Nez Perces decide to move to Canada at this point but a regiment of U.S. soldiers led by General Oliver Otis Howard attacks the Nez Perces by surprise at their encampment in August at the Big Hole River.

The Nez Perces once more attempt to flee to Canada but the tribe is once more intercepted by white soldiers and is forced to surrender. In 1885 the few remaining Nez Perces are allowed to return to their native region while Chief Joseph is sent to live in exile at the Colville Reservation in Washington where he dies "of a broken heart" in September of 1904.

The Flight of the Nez Perces Analysis

Throughout the book, Brown writes to the theme of Manifest Destiny, which asserts the wishes of the white men who assume superiority over any other race of people. This concept seems particularly relevant in the story of the Nez Perces Indians because of their overwhelming goodness and willingness to help the Lewis and Clark expedition in 1805. The entire scope of that expedition, and the development of America, would have been dramatically different had the Nez Perces behaved malevolently toward these white explorers. It is a cruel irony that only fifty years later, the white men determine that they have Manifest Destiny rights and begin to assert their will over these gentle Indians who had helped the white men in their efforts of discovery.



Cheyenne Exodus

Cheyenne Exodus Summary

At the same time Crazy Horse surrenders his Sioux tribe at Fort Robinson, tribes of Cheyennes led by Standing Elk, Little Wolf, Wild Hog, and Dull Knife join him. Ultimately, the Cheyennes are moved to Fort Reno where they are promised that they may leave if they do not like it. However that promise is broken, even after there is not enough food or medicine to support the Cheyennes. Some of the Cheyennes are temporarily released to return north to hunt buffalo. However the herds are diminished and there is nothing to bring back to the reservation.

The Cheyennes chiefs convene and decide that leaving the reservation, in spite of the grave risks, is the only method of survival for their people. In the late autumn of 1878, Dull Knife leads his people from Fort Robinson northwest toward shelter at Red Cloud's reservation while Little Wolf takes his people north toward their old home. Two days shy of reaching Red Cloud's reservation, Dull Knife is attacked by white soldiers and taken to the reservation as prisoners. Little Wolf's tribe is eventually captured and taken to Fort Keogh where the young men enlist as scouts and the older men succumb to whiskey out of boredom and a sense of futility.

Eventually, the Cheyennes held at Fort Keogh are given their own reservation on the Tongue River where Dull Knife and others joined them. Unfortunately, the Cheyennes tribe had been diminished by death, disease, and escape attempts in which they are almost completely annihilated.

Cheyenne Exodus Analysis

At this point in the book, readers have been exposed to countless episodes of betrayal and injustice experienced by various Indian tribes. Readers now better understand the nobility of the Indian people in spite of their fatigue, and wounded spirits. The author wants his readers to appreciate the inequities inflicted on these nature-loving people who repeatedly engage in battles only as a last resort or when attacked first. The perspective of the Indians is presented as logical at all times and is viewed as trusting, despite repeated betrayals. Nearing the end of the nineteenth century denotes the end of organized Indian tribes, and the author has masterfully conveyed the fatigue and broken spirits of an entire nation of people who behave nobly until the end.



Standing Bear Becomes a Person

Standing Bear Becomes a Person Summary

In addition to the Nez Perces, Lewis and Clark had also encountered the Poncas Indian tribe during their expedition in 1804. The Poncas tribe had been diminished by an outbreak of smallpox at the time; but by the middle of the nineteenth century, the Poncas are regaining strength and power once more. The Poncas endure the same fate as all other Indian tribes and are moved to the area called the Indian Territory in 1877 as a result of a congressional order. Poncas Chief Standing Bear, along with some other chiefs, travels to the Indian Territory to discuss their situation. When they reject the government offers, the Indian chiefs are denied money or transportation and walk five hundred miles back to their home.

Indian agent Edward C. Kemple waits for the chiefs to return and immediately orders Standing Bear to move his people to the Indian Territory. Resistance is futile and the tribe launches on its long march filled with the deaths of several children and frail adults due to lack of food, proper rest, and inadequate shelter from the elements. The Poncas reach the Quapaw reservation in July of 1877 and are met with dismal conditions and illness. Conditions remain dire until a government official, Three Stars Crook, shares the Poncas story with an Omaha newspaper editor.

The ensuing press coverage merits the Poncas the services of two attorneys and a resulting court case finds that an Indian is a person like anyone else and is entitled to the same rights. Fueled by this legal victory, Standing Bear attempts to lead his people north to their homeland once again. Eventually, the businessmen who provide bad food, diseased blankets, and poisonous whiskey to the Poncas see a decline in their businesses, so the government declares the new legal victory invalid for anyone other than Standing Bear himself.

Big Snake, Standing Bear's brother, attempts to leave the reservation to join Standing Bear but his request is denied. Big Snake travels only a hundred miles to a Cheyennes reservation and is killed by U.S. soldiers when he refuses to return to the Poncas reservation. The government investigates the death and determines that it had been an accident.

Standing Bear Becomes a Person Analysis

The author points out the irony in the legal case granting Standing Bear rights as a U.S. citizen while the balance of his people, even his own brother, are denied the same rights. It is also ironic that this landmark decision is reached only after the publication of some articles about the plight of the Poncas in the Omaha newspaper. The atrocities inflicted for many years by white people have been carried out in secret and now that the public knows about a few incidents about one tribe, the government is forced to take

legal action. Unfortunately, the public is not informed yet about the horrors of other Indian tribes elsewhere who continue to suffer at the hands of the U.S. government.

The Utes Must Go!

The Utes Must Go! Summary

The Ute Indians living in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado had been friendly toward the white people until the U.S. government began to use force against them in 1863 via a treaty banning them to live on the west side of the Continental Divide. In addition, any gold or minerals in their new territory were property of white men who are awarded complete access. Five years later, the government determines that the Utes still have too much land and Chief Ouray the Arrow signs another treaty lessening the Ute territory to 16 million acres.

The Utes continue their attempts to maintain their land until 1868 when a new Indian agent, Nathan Meeker, orders the Utes to move to the White River area and establish a farming lifestyle. Meeker's plan is to transition the Utes to an agrarian economy and convert them to Christianity under his direction. Meeker is so enamored with his own plan that he sends an article to the *Greeley Tribune* where a Denver politician named William B. Vickers views it.

Vickers begins a campaign to have the Utes banished to Indian Territory and writes his own newspaper article in which he urges Colorado citizens and government officials to support his position. The article is published in several newspapers under the title, "The Utes Must Go!" which becomes a rallying cry throughout Colorado. Vickers even fabricates stories of Indian aggression to inflame residents against the Utes.

Eventually the Utes learn of this propaganda and futilely approach Meeker for support. Meeker's solution is to continue with plans to convert the Ute land to farmland which results in a clash between Meeker's supporters and the Utes in September of 1879. Skirmishes and battles continue for two more years until the Utes are finally installed at a reservation in Utah in 1881.

The Utes Must Go! Analysis

This chapter is important because of the rise of the media providing information about the Indian situation. The Colorado newspapers become the forum for politicians and Indian agents to further their own positions on the subject while also creating awareness of the situation among the state's residents. Unfortunately, the Indians did not have recourse in the media and are forced to live with the lies and betrayals published about them. Even in situations where the supposed Indian atrocities are disproved, no corrections are published to provide a balanced view to the readers. This represents one more facet of the Manifest Destiny theory that white men are superior to all others and the power of the press is one against which the Indians are especially vulnerable and powerless.



The Last of the Apache Chiefs

The Last of the Apache Chiefs Summary

The Chiricahua Apache tribe divides itself in 1874 after the death of its chief, Cochise, with Taza becoming chief of the Chiricahuas and Taglito assuming leadership on the Apache Pass reservation. The U.S. government seizes the chance to consolidate the Apaches into one reservation at San Carlos when they hear news of Apache attacks on white settlers. The Apaches, including Geronimo and Victorio, escape into Mexico where they steal livestock and subsequently sell it in New Mexico in order to buy food and supplies for their people.

Eventually, Victorio is hunted down and killed in Mexico, and Geronimo, hearing of the order for his own arrest, travels to the reservation to free as many Apaches as he can. Geronimo is able to return to Mexico where he negotiates with the Indian agent, Crook. Geronimo promises to return to the reservation, which he does eight months later in March of 1886.

Out of boredom and despair, Geronimo and his chiefs consume too much whiskey one night and leave the reservation for Mexico. The Apaches engage in battle with the pursuing white soldiers and Geronimo is apprehended and taken as a prisoner to Florida. In 1894, Geronimo takes the few remaining Apaches to Fort Sill where he dies in 1909 as a prisoner of war and the last of the Apache chiefs.

The Last of the Apache Chiefs Analysis

In spite of the ill-intentioned Indian agents assigned to manage the various Indian tribes, there were some good men including General George Crook. Crook negotiated fairly with the Apaches and earned the respect of Geronimo who had been betrayed and cheated so many times by other U.S. agents. Crook takes his position public by defending the Apaches. While Crook's writings and public pleas did not turn the course of the Apaches' fate, he is noted for being an eloquent speaker, advocate for Indian rights, and one of the few to present a balanced view of the Indian situation in America at the end of the nineteenth century.



Dance of the Ghosts

Dance of the Ghosts Summary

After the surrender of the Teton Sioux people in 1876 and 1877, the U.S. government receives their Powder River and Black Hills land and decreases the land of the Great Sioux Reservation. The government's plan is to consolidate all the Sioux on this reservation. However it cannot tolerate Sitting Bull's defiance by moving to Canada, so government soldiers proactively cross into Canada to seize Sitting Bull who has been living there in relative peace. Sitting Bull agrees to meet with the peace treaty commissioners in October of 1877, but Sitting Bull remains in Canada for another four years.

Eventually Sitting Bull's people can no longer support themselves in Canada and turn themselves in at Fort Buford in 1881. Sitting Bull's return causes a national stir. He is regarded as a celebrity by Indians and white people alike. Some people travel great distances for the chance to interview the famous Sioux chief. During the following years, Sitting Bull is in constant negotiations with the U.S. government about the rights of the Sioux people.

In 1885, Sitting Bull joins Buffalo Bill Cody's Wild West Show and tours the United States as a celebrity. Sitting Bull is also invited as the only Indian chief to deliver a speech at the opening of the Northern Pacific Railroad. Sitting Bull's public appearances end in 1889 when the negotiations for the Great Sioux Reservation break down and Sitting Bull is arrested under the pretense of another peace treaty.

During the following year, a Minneconjou Indian named Kicking Bear visits the Sioux reservation with news of a new Ghost Dance religion taught to him by the Paiute spiritual leader, Wovoka. Kicking Bear relates to Sitting Bull that the religion is based on the teachings of Jesus Christ who appeared to Wovoka and encouraged him to share the good news of life after death. Christ had promised Wovoka that the earth will be filled with new soil to grow sweet grass and bury white men and that the buffalo and wild horses will return so that Indians may live the way they used to.

The Ghost Dance religion spreads through the Sioux and other tribes and the unrelenting practice rouses suspicion and fear among the white soldiers. True to form, the U.S. government arrests Chief Sitting Bull as a way to squelch the new religion but the arrest only increases the religious fervor when Sitting Bull is shot and killed by two Indian officers.

Dance of the Ghosts Analysis

The author shares the irony of the Ghost Dance religion as the Sioux embrace and spread the word of the Christian Messiah on their own when for so many years they had rejected conversion pushed on them by religious representatives. The story of the



Ghost Dance religion is one that the Indians can believe because the Messiah appears to Wovoka in a dreamlike state, provides messages of resurrection and the destruction of white men, and remains hovering in a spirit like state until Wovoka has learned the core tenets of the religion. At the same time that the Ghost Dance religion fervor is spreading, the image and celebrity of Sitting Bull reaches monumental proportions drawing a parallel between the murdered Indian Chief and the crucified Christ.

Wounded Knee

Wounded Knee Summary

The Sioux belief in the Ghost Dance religion and the resurrection of dead spirits is the only factor in the lack of a massive Sioux uprising after the murder of Sitting Bull. In their grief and anger, many of the Sioux leave the reservation to join with Big Foot's Minneconjous tribe. Big Foot hears of Sitting Bull's death and leaves to move to Red Cloud's reservation for protection but is intercepted by U.S. soldiers and taken to a camp at Wounded Knee. Big Foot, extremely ill with pneumonia, leads his people to the camp where an altercation occurs accidentally burgeoning into the massacre of several hundred of Big Foot's people.

The U.S. soldiers leave the dead bodies of the Indians where they will lie for days in a blizzard. The wounded Indians are taken to the Indian agency at Pine Ridge where an Episcopal mission is converted to a temporary medical facility. If the Indians could have read English, they would have understood the Christmas season banner hanging in the mission stating, "Peace on earth, good will to men."

Wounded Knee Analysis

Brown ends the book with the most obvious element of irony yet. The message of the banner touting peace and good will is clearly ignored by the white men who have led one more massacre against the Indians whom they do not consider to be worthy of personal or spiritual respect. The cruel irony of white men trying to save the souls of Indians is woven throughout the book in the struggle of Native Americans in America. The concepts of Manifest Destiny and religious superiority are clearly in alignment, as evidenced by the accounts presented by Brown in this book. Brown wants his readers to consider the core beliefs of peace and spirituality of the Indian people as not so different from the whites who ultimately annihilated them.



Characters

Big Foot

Big Foot is a Minneconjou chief who surrenders his people when the military starts killing indiscriminately in revenge for the death of Custer. After he is identified as an instigator of the Ghost Dance, Big Foot tries to take his people to Red Cloud's Agency at Pine Ridge. The Army captures and tries to disarm them. In the process, a Minneconjou fires a shot and the military reacts, killing Big Foot and most of the Minneconjous.

Black Kettle

Black Kettle is a Cheyenne chief who goes to great lengths to keep peace with white people. He assures his people at Sand Creek that they have protection from the Army, who slaughters the village. He escapes, but is tricked once again at a later date, and dies while trying to make peace with the soldiers. General Sheridan lies about Black Kettle's death, saying that he was offered peace but chose to make war.

Captain Jack

Captain Jack is the chief of the Modocs; he tries to make peace with white people, even after some Modocs are killed. However, when Hooker Jim's band of Modocs kill some settlers, Captain Jack agrees not to turn them in. He kills General Canby under pressure from this band who then betrays Captain Jack by helping the Army find him. Captain Jack is hanged.

Cochise

Cochise is an Apache chief who fights many battles with the American military, escapes capture on several occasions, and helps lead raids against white settlers. When American soldiers shoot his father-in-law, Mangas, Cochise rides to Mexico and forces a Mexican surgeon to save Mangas's life. Cochise is able to secure a reservation that encompasses part of the Apaches' land.

Crazy Horse

Crazy Horse is a Sioux chief who refuses to live on a reservation. As a young man, Crazy Horse distinguishes himself in Red Cloud's War. He is one of the many chiefs who oppose selling the Black Hills to the government, and he helps lead several battles in this war, including the Battle of the Little Bighorn, in which General Custer is killed. When the military comes in overwhelming force to avenge Custer's death, Crazy Horse attempts to fight them, but ultimately he surrenders. When several of his people enlist



with the military to help fight other Native Americans, Crazy Horse tries to take the rest of his people and return to his land. He is captured and is fatally stabbed while trying to escape. Crazy Horse's parents bury his heart and bones near Wounded Knee Creek.

General George Crook

General Crook leads several campaigns against the Apaches—who call him Gray Wolf—and the Plains Native Americans—who call him Three Stars. Over the course of a decade, Crook's cold attitude towards Native Americans changes to one of respect and sympathy. He helps the runaway Poncas win their freedom in court, uses diplomacy instead of force to get Geronimo to surrender, and condemns local newspapers for spreading lies about the Apaches. He resigns when the War Department does not recognize Crook's surrender terms with Geronimo. The government later dupes Crook into convincing the Sioux that the government will take their lands by force if the Sioux do not sell them.

General George Armstrong Custer

General Custer participates in several campaigns against the Plains Native Americans—who call him either Hard Backsides or Long Hair. Custer reports that the Black Hills are filled with gold, which attracts many gold seekers to the region. During the resulting War for the Black Hills, at the Battle of the Little Bighorn, Sioux and Cheyenne warriors kill Custer and all of his men—the greatest defeat suffered by the United States Army in the conflicts with Native Americans. The government's massive retaliation for this defeat ultimately leads to the end of freedom for all Plains Native Americans.

Donehogawa

Donehogawa, an Iroquois who takes the American name of Ely Samuel Parker, has an unusual amount of success in the world of white people. He learns English and goes to law school but is refused the right to take the bar exam. He becomes a civil engineer and serves with General Grant during the Civil War. When General Lee surrenders at Appomattox, Parker writes out the terms of surrender. When Grant is elected president, he makes Parker his Commissioner of Indian Affairs. However, a strong political group opposed to any Native American in government eventually harasses Parker until he resigns.

Dull Knife

Dull Knife is a Northern-Cheyenne chief who helps lead a number of battles. Following Custer's defeat at the Little Bighorn, the military attacks and destroys Dull Knife's village. The Northern Cheyennes are transferred to a Cheyenne reservation in Indian Territory, where many die from hunger and disease. Dull Knife and several Cheyennes seek sanctuary with Red Cloud but are captured. They escape, but only Dull Knife and



a small band of Cheyennes make it to Red Cloud's agency, where they become prisoners.

Geronimo

Geronimo is an Apache chief who leads many raids into Mexico. When the government places soldiers near his reservation, Geronimo thinks he is in danger. He and others escape to their Mexican stronghold and build a small army. Geronimo surrenders to General Crook but leaves the reservation again when he hears rumors he is going to be arrested. The Army sends a force of several thousand against Geronimo's twenty-four men. Geronimo surrenders and is sent to prison in Florida. He dies on a reservation as a prisoner of war.

The Gray Wolf

See General George Crook

The Great Warrior Sherman

See General William T. Sherman

Hard Backsides

See General George Armstrong Custer

Kicking Bird

Kicking Bird is a Kiowa chief who refuses to engage in or support aggressive acts against the Army. Because of this allegiance, the government forces Kicking Bird to choose several Kiowas to answer for the tribe's part in their battles. Kicking Bird dies mysteriously after drinking a cup of coffee, two days after his life was threatened by a medicine man.

Little Crow

Little Crow is a chief of the Santee Sioux, who are repeatedly swindled out of their treaty provisions. Little Crow does not want to fight the powerful U.S. military, but he has no choice when some of the Santee Sioux young men kill settlers. The Santees win some battles but lose the war when their major ambush fails and when Little Crow is betrayed by another Santee. Little Crow is shot and killed by a white settler.



Little Wolf

Little Wolf is a Northern-Cheyenne chief who helps lead a number of battles. The Northern Cheyennes are transferred to a Cheyenne reservation in Indian Territory, where many die from hunger and disease. Little Wolf and several other Cheyennes flee north towards their old territory but surrender after spending a winter hiding from soldiers. Little Wolf is one of many Native Americans who is destroyed by alcohol.

Lone Wolf

Lone Wolf is a Kiowa chief who arranges for the parole of Satanta and another chief. He argues with Kicking Bird's peaceful ways. Lone Wolf joins with the Comanches and participates in several battles with white soldiers and hunters, in an attempt to drive them out of the region and save the buffalo. When his son dies in one of these battles, Lone Wolf strengthens his resolve. Lone Wolf is one of the last Kiowas to surrender and is one of the people chosen by Kicking Bird to be imprisoned.

Long Hair

See General George Armstrong Custer

Manuelito

Manuelito is a Navaho chief who tries to maintain peace with the United States through treaties. However, after U.S. soldiers cheat during a friendly horse race—and shoot Navahos who try to protest—the Navahos go to war with the soldiers. Manuelito is the last chief to surrender. He and the others live in squalor for two years at the Bosque Redondo reservation, before the government allows them to return to a small portion of their old land.

Ouray the Arrow

Ouray is a Ute chief who is fluent in English. He uses these skills to retain a large chunk of Ute land. When a new agent comes to the White River Agency and attempts to convert Ouray and the Utes to his religion and ways of life, the agent instigates a battle. The government uses the incident as justification to take the Ute land.

Ely Samuel Parker

See Donehogawa



Red Cloud

Red Cloud is a Sioux chief who wins many battles against the U.S. government. He engages in a successful guerrilla war that causes the government to withdraw the Army from the region. When Red Cloud finds out later that the peace treaty he signed had unknown items in it, he successfully presents his case to President Grant and Donehogawa. He also wins over a crowd of New Yorkers with his impassioned speech about the false treaty. Ultimately, however, Red Cloud loses all that he has gained when he is forced to sign a treaty giving away his lands and move his people to a reservation.

Roman Nose

Roman Nose is a Southern-Cheyenne warrior. Although he is not a chief, he commands the allegiance of the Dog Soldier Society, a powerful group of Cheyenne warriors. He leads a successful attack against soldiers in the Powder River country and unifies the Southern Cheyennes and Arapahos to fight for their own country. The government knows that Roman Nose is the key to a lasting peace in the area, but he refuses to attend a peace commission. Instead, he leads several raids against settlers and dies while fighting a small band of Army scouts.

Satanta

Satanta is a Kiowa chief who is captured and imprisoned. Eventually, Lone Wolf arranges for his parole. Satanta and his warriors are unsuccessful in their fight to drive away the white buffalo hunters. Satanta is eventually given life in prison, where he commits suicide.

General Philip Sheridan

General Sheridan leads several campaigns against the Plains Native Americans. He lies about the massacre at Black Kettle's village, saying that he had offered the chief sanctuary. Sheridan makes a comment that in being quoted eventually evolves into the hate statement: "The only good Indian is a dead Indian." Sheridan believes that killing all of the buffalo is the best way to get Native Americans to adopt white culture.

General William T. Sherman

General Sherman, a Civil War hero known as the Great Warrior Sherman by most Native Americans, oversees American forces through many of the Native-American wars. He attends several peace commissions with various Native-American tribes. Following Custer's defeat, Sherman assumes military control of all reservations. After Standing Bear wins his court case and freedom, Sherman defies the new law by giving General Sheridan military authority to apprehend other Poncas.



Sitting Bull

Sitting Bull is the Sioux's most powerful chief, and on some occasions he commands allegiance from other Native-American tribes as well. He fights many battles with U.S. soldiers to preserve his freedom and the Sioux ownership of the Black Hills. He and Crazy Horse defeat Custer's forces at the Battle of the Little Bighorn. When the military comes in overwhelming force to avenge Custer's death, Sitting Bull and some of his followers move into Canada. However, he becomes a military prisoner after he returns to the United States under a false promise of amnesty. Many chiefs, newspaper reporters, and others come to visit him, and Sitting Bull soon becomes a celebrity. He even receives permission to go on tour around the country. The government incorrectly believes that Sitting Bull is responsible for the spread of the Ghost Dance and tries to arrest him. He is killed in the process by two Sioux policemen.

Standing Bear

Standing Bear is a Ponca chief. Standing Bear's people are tricked into being transferred to Indian Territory, where many of them die, including his last son. He and a group of Poncas are captured while trying to return to Poncas land to bury him. General Crook, two lawyers, and a sympathetic judge intervene, and Standing Bear successfully wins freedom for him and his escort party but not for the rest of his people.

Three Stars

See General George Crook

Young Joseph

Young Joseph, generally known as Chief Joseph, is a Nez-Percé chief. When miners pressure the government to move the Nez Percés to a reservation, Chief Joseph tries to go peacefully but resolves to fight after some of his warriors kill settlers. He leads his noted marksmen to several victories against superior forces, but the military ultimately overpowers him and he surrenders. He and most of the others are sent to Indian Territory, where he dies of a broken heart-according to the agency physician.



Themes

Manifest Destiny

Much of the mistreatment of Native Americans in the nineteenth century can be attributed to a concept known as Manifest Destiny. This theory stated that European descendents in the United States were destined to spread over the North American continent and that they were justified in doing so. As a result, many politicians, military personnel, and settlers felt it was their God-given right to take land from whoever stood in their way. As Brown notes, the concept of Manifest Destiny simply "lifted land hunger to a lofty plane." Says Brown: "Only the New Englanders, who had destroyed or driven out all their Indians, spoke against Manifest Destiny."

Deception

Manifest Destiny provided the justification for many deceptions, the most notable form of which was broken treaties. When white settlers first began their relations with Native-American tribes, they made treaties—paper contracts that ceded Native-American land to the United States, often in exchange for money or provisions. However, in many cases, the systems set in place to monitor these transactions became corrupted by white middlemen who profited at the Native Americans's expense. For example, Dee Brown states: "Of the \$475,000 promised the Santees in their first treaty, Long Trader Sibley had claimed \$145,000 for his American Fur Company as money due for overpayment to the Santees." In other cases, Native Americans were deceived into signing false treaties. Most Native Americans could not read or write English. As a result, they often had no way of verifying that the paper they signed included the correct terms of their agreement and were surprised when they found out later that the treaty included additional terms.

When the government could not get the desired land by diplomacy, it often ignored past treaties and took the land by force. For example, at one point, a number of Native-American tribes came to a council with U.S. commissioners to talk about building additional transportation routes through tribal lands. However, during this council, a regiment of Army infantry arrives, and the Native-American assembly realizes "that the United States government intended to open a road through the Powder River country regardless of the treaty." Brown reports that Red Cloud stated in the council: "Great Father sends us presents and wants new road. But White Chief goes with soldiers to steal road before Indian says yes or no!"

In addition to treaty violations, Americans also made false promises, such as agreeing to keep the peace when they had no intention of doing so. One of the best examples of this deception is the massacre of Cheyennes at Sand Creek. Major Scott J. Anthony tells the Cheyennes that if they return to their village at Sand Creek, they will be safe. Anthony arranges it so that two additional men, known to be peaceful, go to the village,



in an attempt to "lull the Indians into a sense of security and keep them camped where they were." In the meantime, Anthony receives reinforcements. His plan works, and the Cheyennes are totally unprepared when Anthony attacks and destroys the village with his large force.

Perhaps the worst deception is the betrayal of Native Americans by their own. For example, the Modoc known as Captain Jack refuses to turn in Hooker Jim and others who have murdered white settlers. In the end, however, these same men who he risked his life to save end up betraying him to save their own lives. Says Brown, "Hooker Jim's band surrendered to the soldiers and offered to help them track down Captain Jack in exchange for amnesty."

Murder

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee is saturated with examples of indiscriminate and often premeditated killing. Many of the murderous acts become genocidal when they are performed by Army officers and others who are determined to kill all Native Americans. One of the most chilling examples of genocide happens at Sand Creek. Although a few officers disagree with Colonel Chivington's plan to murder all Native Americans at Sand Creek, Chivington threatens them with a court-martial if they do not join the expedition. Brown quotes Chivington as saying: "I have come to kill Indians, and believe it is right and honorable to use any means under God's heaven to kill Indians." This attitude was shared by other Americans, particularly frontier settlers, some of whom engaged in or supported the murder of Native Americans wherever they were found.

Style

Setting

The setting is extremely important in this book. The action takes place in the mid to late 1800s, when a large number of white settlers emigrated to the frontier American West seeking property, gold, or both. Some Native Americans moved to other areas, thinking that there was room enough for both races. However, the land, which had been large enough to accommodate countless tribes, was quickly overrun by white settlers and military troops. Some, like Sitting Bull, tried to leave America for a new setting. Brown states: "He decided there was no longer room enough for white men and the Sioux to live together in the Great Father's country. He would take his people to Canada." The setting is also important for military strategy. Many battles in the book are determined by the location and terrain on which the battle is fought. Native Americans are often able to beat much larger forces because they know how to use the Western terrain to set effective ambushes, to hide, or to defend themselves.

Point of View

The book is written mainly in the third-person omniscient viewpoint. This broad viewpoint gives the author unlimited power to move through time and space and in and out of characters' minds as necessary. For example, Brown notes during the description of one battle that "Roman Nose was wearing his medicine bonnet and shield, and he knew that no bullets could strike him." Like many such descriptions in the book, Brown combines historical facts with his own assumptions about Roman Nose's thoughts and motivations to bring the historical figure to life. Interspersed with these descriptions, Brown also includes first-person, eyewitness accounts such as speeches, proclamations, and official records. For example, one Native American notes, "From a distance we saw the destruction of our village. . . . Our tepees were burned with everything in them. . . . I had nothing left but the clothing I had on." These intimate accounts—from both Native Americans and white people—lend credibility to Brown's descriptions, but they also help the reader to understand what it was like to be involved in this conflict.

Imagery

Brown includes powerful and violent imagery in his book, which is to be expected in a book that details several wars. Though many cultures adhere to war rules that forbid certain actions, such as killing women and children or mutilating bodies, during the battles to win the West, U.S. soldiers engaged in certain acts, which were even then considered war crimes. For example, as Captain Nicholas Hodt notes of a spontaneous massacre of Navahos, he saw a soldier killing "two little children and a woman. I hallooed immediately to the soldier to stop. He looked up, but did not obey my order."



Hodt orders the man to turn himself in as a prisoner but notes that even some of his superiors engage in the slaughter. Another eyewitness, Lieutenant James Connor, this time at Sand Creek, overheard "one man say that he had cut out a woman's private parts and had them for exhibition on a stick." These and countless other chilling images of mutilation, murder, and desecration help to underscore the great injustice and cruelty perpetrated upon Native Americans.

Historical Context

Vietnam and the My Lai Massacre

When Brown first published *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* in 1970, the United States was engaged in an undeclared war in Vietnam, and the U.S. public was inclined to revisit the country's guilt over the past treatment of Native Americans. The parallels between the United States-sponsored massacre of Native Americans in the 1800s and the United States' actions in Vietnam in the late 1960s and early 1970s were not lost on readers of Brown's book. This insight was especially available in 1970, when twenty-five U.S. Army officers and enlisted men were indicted for the 1968 massacre of hundreds of civilians in the South Vietnamese village of My Lai. Despite Army efforts to cover up the incident, a few concerned soldiers who were either at or near My Lai helped bring it to light, and the story was quickly picked up by the national media. Only a few men were actually tried for their part in the massacre, and only one—Lieutenant William Calley—was found guilty. Calley was sentenced to a lifetime of hard labor. However, three years later, President Nixon intervened and secured Calley's parole. Shortly after this incident, polls indicated that, for the first time since the war began, a majority of Americans opposed the United States involvement in Vietnam.

American Indian Movement (AIM)

At the same time, Native Americans in both Canada and the United States began to organize and protest in many isolated regional events. In 1968, four men established the American Indian Movement (AIM). The group wanted to host a demonstration to help promote Native-American issues and at the same time help to unify the various separate Native-American groups. In 1969, AIM received its opportunity. Following a convention in San Francisco to discuss Native-American issues, the Indian Center that was hosting the convention caught fire and burned to the ground. Realizing that there were no government funds to build a new Indian Center, a group of Native Americans, supported by AIM and calling themselves the Indians of All Tribes, seized Alcatraz, the famous island-based prison that had lain empty since 1964. Citing treaty rights that stated Native American rights to surplus government land, the group demanded that the government let its members turn the defunct prison into a cultural-educational center. Individuals occupied Alcatraz peacefully for twenty months until they were removed by federal marshals. With nationally recognized protests like the one staged on Alcatraz, AIM became more visible.



Critical Overview

Brown's 1970 publication of *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* marked the first time a white author had written a book about the colonization of the American West from the point of view of Native Americans. As a result of this unique perspective, the book was received very well by critics and popular readers, who made it a best-seller. In her 1971 review of the book for the *New Statesman*, Helen McNeil notes that "the new perspective is startling." McNeil also says that one of the most powerful aspects of this "Indian historical viewpoint lies in its contrast to the vulgarity of the 'Turner thesis.'" McNeil is referring to Frederick Jackson Turner's 1893 proclamation, which claimed that it was the settling of the frontier lands that gave modern Americans their distinct character, because they had to work hard in the new, unfamiliar land. As McNeil notes, Turner's thesis considered the frontier to be "empty land" and did not take into account the Native Americans who were killed or displaced. McNeil compares this type of imperialistic thinking to that found in the Nixon White House; she states that *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*—which was published at the height of the Vietnam War—is very timely. Says McNeil:

Now that Vietnam has brought the United States to the point of accepting national guilt for the first time, this scholarly and passionate chronicle . . . has attained US bestsellerdom by fixing the image of the nation's greatest collective wrong: the extermination of the American Indian.

Other critics notice the similarities to the situation in Vietnam. In his 1971 review for the *New York Times Book Review*, N. Scott Momaday, a prominent Native-American author, refers to the American "morality which informs and fuses events so far apart in time and space as the massacres at Wounded Knee and My Lai." Momaday also praises the book as "a story, a whole narrative of singular integrity and precise continuity; that is what makes the book so hard to put aside, even when one has come to the end."

A decade later, upon the publication of Brown's Native-American novel *Creek Mary's Blood* (1980), some critics used the opportunity to discuss how they liked it much less than *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*. Says Joshua Gilder, in his review of the novel for *New York Magazine*: *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* "had a sweep and an authenticity due in large measure to his letting the Indians speak for themselves." Gilder finds this quality missing in *Creek Mary's Blood*. Likewise, in her 1980 review of the novel, Leslie Marmon Silko, another prominent Native-American author, notes that *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* was brought alive through "the strength and conviction of Dee Brown's view of this history."

Not all critics compare Brown's later novels with *Bury My Heart on Wounded Knee*. In his review of *Killdeer Mountain* (1983) in the *Los Angeles Times Book Review*, John Rechy acknowledges *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* as "a moving, resonant book." However, Rechy says that one must also "ignore the expectations aroused by" this book, when critiquing Brown's later works. Rechy is the rare critic that does this. Even

today, Brown's reputation rests primarily on *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, even though he has also written many novels and children's books.

Criticism

- Critical Essay #1
- Critical Essay #2
- Critical Essay #3



Critical Essay #1

*Poquette has a bachelor's degree in English and specializes in writing about literature. In the following essay, Poquette discusses the techniques that Brown uses in *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* to make his readers see through the common misconceptions about Native Americans.*

In *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, Dee Brown relies on many harrowing eyewitness accounts from Native Americans, letting them tell their side of how the West was won. Several reviewers consider these eyewitness accounts the most important part of the book. For example, in her *New Statesman* review, Helen McNeil says that the book "awakens a more authentic sense of . . . grandeur with the moving speeches of the great chiefs." In fact, Brown's later Native-American books that do not include these eyewitness accounts have often been panned because Brown does the talking. For example, in his *New York Magazine* review of Brown's Native-American novel *Creek Mary's Blood* (1980), Joshua Gilder says it lacks the "sweep and . . . authenticity" of Brown's *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, which was "due in large measure to his letting the Indians speak for themselves."

Despite the popularity of the eyewitness accounts, Brown is not an absentee narrator. Like one of the military leaders in the book, Brown serves as a general, deploying his two main forces—the techniques of language and plot—in a calculated manner to give the eyewitness accounts as much impact as possible. In the process, he attempts to defeat his enemy: the misconceptions and falsehoods that have plagued Native-Americans and their reputation among non-Natives.

Brown's first weapon is language. His book differs from previous books about Native Americans in this time period, because he uses many Native-American interpretations. For example, the Sioux and Cheyennes frequently see trains pass through their land in the Powder River country. Says Brown: "Sometimes they saw Iron Horses dragging wooden houses on wheels at great speed along the tracks. They puzzled over what could be inside the houses." Brown uses the terms "Iron Horses" and "wooden houses" to describe trains and train cars as a Native-American at this time would have perceived them. Brown also uses the Native-American designations for U.S. military ranks in his descriptions. For example, to a Native American at this time, a general was known as a "Star Chief" and a colonel was an "Eagle Chief."

In addition, Brown refers to prominent American historical figures by their Native-American names. For example, many Native Americans called General George Armstrong Custer "Hard Backsides," "because he chased them over long distances for many hours without leaving his saddle." Brown also uses Native-American naming systems for natural processes like time. White people divide the year into twelve months and refer to these time periods by cryptic names like May and June. However, Native Americans referred to these time periods by their actual, perceivable correlation to nature. So, in Brown's book, May is "the Moon When the Ponies Shed" and June is "the Strawberry Moon." By using distinctly Native-American interpretations like these in his



narration, Brown takes his readers deep into the Native-American experience. In the process, the reader begins to identify with the Native Americans.

When readers identify with characters, they tend to feel sympathy for them. Through his second weapon, plot, Brown organizes his story to maximize his readers' sympathetic emotions. With any historical book, the author has to make choices about what events to include and how to organize them. As McNeil notes, Brown does not choose to make many distinctions among the various tribes: "One isn't reminded that the Navahoes were settled, the Apaches predatory, the Poncas gentle or the Utes lazy, since in any case the same fate awaited them all." Brown establishes a three-part structure for most chapters, which demonstrates again and again that Native Americans lost no matter what they did. Typically, the chapter begins with a discussion of a chief or tribe who has lost something—generally a piece of their land—and still has more to lose. For example, in the beginning of the second chapter, Brown notes: "As the result of two deceptive treaties, the woodland Sioux surrendered ninety-ninths of their land and were crowded into a narrow strip of territory along the Minnesota River."

Following the discussion of what has been already lost, Brown introduces the second part of his three-part structure, the struggle. For Native Americans in the nineteenth century, the struggles were many, whether they decided to go to war or did not. Many tribes in the book do choose to fight to retain their remaining land and freedom. In most cases, the tribes win some battles but end up losing the war. The U.S. soldiers are too advanced and numerous to be defeated, something that the Native Americans begin to realize. For example, Little Crow is leery about fighting at first, because "he had been to the East and seen the power of the Americans. They were everywhere like locusts and destroyed their enemies with great thundering cannon." Even when the Native Americans outnumber the whites, the latter's military technology can be the decisive factor in the victory. As many Native Americans learn: "Bravery, numbers, massive charges—they all meant nothing if the warriors were armed only with bows, lances, clubs, and old trade guns of the fur-trapper days."

In cases where the Native Americans try to remain peaceful, Brown shows many ways that they are provoked into war. In several cases, settlers or miners hungry for the Native Americans' remaining land spread incriminating lies in an effort to get the government to take their land. During the Civil War, Native Americans were sometimes provoked into fighting because it was the safer of two options for white, male citizens. Says Brown about the Cheyenne wars in Colorado: "There was political pressure on Evans from Coloradans who wanted to avoid the military draft of 1864 by serving in uniform against a few poorly armed Indians rather than against the Confederates farther east." Even after the Civil War, when the draft was no longer an issue, some settlers used lies to provoke Native Americans and and kill them because peace was not profitable for the settlers. Brown notes that Tucson citizens in 1871 "were opposed to agencies where Apaches worked for a living and were peaceful; such conditions led to reductions in military forces and a slackening of war prosperity."

The final part of Brown's three-part plot structure in most chapters is the bitter ending. Due to the massive struggles that Native Americans faced whether or not they chose to



remain peaceful, most chapters end badly. The chiefs, who are often depicted as strong in the beginning and middle of the chapters when they are fighting for their land and people, end up dead, in prison, in exile, or on a reservation with the rest of their people. Even the exceptions to this rule, such as the chapter depicting Red Cloud's successful war, ultimately end negatively. In a later chapter, Red Cloud is forced to sign away his beloved Powder River country and live on a reservation. Red Cloud's plight highlights the overall plot structure of the book, which mimics the three-part structure of the individual chapters. The book starts out with many Native Americans living free and retaining parcels of their land. As the story progresses and the trickle of white emigration turns into a flood, ever-larger armies and groups of landhungry white settlers cut down the various tribes. By the end of the book, the noose of white emigration has tightened around so much of the country that most Native Americans are dead, in prison, or on scattered reservations.

The effect on the reader is profound. Brown has gotten his readers to root for the underdogs by using eyewitness accounts and language to draw readers into the Native-American experience. Yet, in each chapter Brown steadily crushes any hope that the reader might have for the Native Americans winning much of anything. By using these strategies, Brown makes his readers more receptive to the most important aspect of his book—his anger. Brown's tone, or attitude towards his subject matter, is one of barely restrained outrage, and he wants readers to get angry, too. To this end, he fills his book with sarcastic and scathing comments that further underline the savagery of whites in the late nineteenth century. For example, at the end of one chapter, Brown describes how three major Cheyenne leaders were killed, and in the process he mimics the infamous saying: "The only good Indian is a dead Indian." Says Brown: "Roman Nose was dead; Black Kettle was dead; Tall Bull was dead. Now they were all good Indians."

Source: Ryan D. Poquette, Critical Essay on *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, in *Nonfiction Classics for Students*, Gale, 2003.

Critical Essay #2

Dupler has published numerous essays and has taught college English. In this essay, Dupler examines the effectiveness of the technique used by an historian to record an alternative history of North America.

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee, written by the eminent historian Dee Brown, is an epic history of the invasion of Native America by the white Europeans. Many histories that deal with this time period are written from the point of view of the white conquerors and tend to ignore or de-emphasize the violence and deceit perpetrated by the United States government and the European settlers upon Native America. Brown shows that the "westward expansion" of white history was much more complicated when viewed from another angle. Brown's powerful history is told from the point of view of the victims of the invasion themselves. In his history, he tells the compelling and heartrending story of the Native Americans beset by a vastly more powerful enemy, and shows their attempts to heroically defend themselves against their tragic fate. Using an array of sources and quoting from the Native Americans of that era, Brown's history is a graphic account of the broken treaties and the genocide that the United States government and its citizens inflicted upon the indigenous peoples of the continental United States.

The United Nations Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide describes genocide as actions done with the intention of destroying a particular group of people. The convention declares that genocide is a crime whether committed during war or peacetime. It bans killing or causing serious injury, either mental or physical, to an individual because of his or her group identity. It bans destroying a people's means of survival. It bans taking children away from a people and giving them into the care of people of another group. In *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, Brown demonstrates, in retrospect, that the United States government, in its relationship with the Native Americans, committed these acts. Using old records as well as the words of Native Americans, Brown recounts in detail how the United States military, often aided by white civilians, repeatedly attacked peaceful Native-American camps without provocation. Native Americans were often shot and killed by soldiers as well as civilians because of their racial identity. These murderous deeds were often justified by such sayings as, "The only good Indian is a dead Indian." At the time, the term "genocide" was not used. That seems to be a point that Brown is making in his history; the conquerors, acting with such simple and shallow directives, were able to perpetrate deeds that their own value systems deemed immoral and unlawful.

Brown's technique is so effective because it takes the common history of events and brings it to life. Brown is a conventional historian when he recounts the timeline of history on the North American continent, beginning with the Arawak, the natives of San Salvador where Columbus had first landed. He goes on to show the conquest of the entire continental United States, stretching from the East Coast, where the English first landed in 1607, to the West Coast where gold was discovered in California in 1848. Brown also utilizes novelistic technique in his history, which adds a powerful dimension. Drawing on a broad array of sources, he brings his history and the individual characters



involved alive with this writing technique. This history uses dialogue to give characters in the struggle real voices, from both sides of the conflict, as well as photographs of many of the Native-American warriors who tried to help their people survive the white onslaught. Brown even includes old Indian songs. The Native Americans are seen to have been real people, happy with their way of life, and even willing to share the bounty of their land. Bringing history to the personal level, Brown's book gives a different and disturbing view of the discovery and conquest of North America. For instance, Mangas Colorado of the Apaches, Big Snake of the Poncas, Crazy Horse of the Lakota Sioux, and many others were all murdered while in the custody of the United States Army. The reader feels these tragic deaths when the human voices and faces are included vividly in the text.

By so intricately researching and assembling his history, Brown is able to show how the forces of cultural imperialism were so devastating, and brings the individuals and tragedy in this history alive for the reader. There are white conquerors and Native-American resisters in this history. The complexities of the history are also revealed when Brown shows sympathetic whites and honest settlers, as well as Native-American mercenaries who helped to devastate their own people. Brown's history also shows the insidious nature of the violence. The aggressive soldiers are displayed as men taking orders from a distant political bureaucracy, carrying out impersonal directives that become extremely violent on the ground level. Brown shows how the whites justified to themselves their broken treaties, their wanton killings, and their destruction of a culture as they followed the policy of Manifest Destiny, the belief that God had given them the rights to the land.

Brown's history connects the relationship between cultural imperialism and religious and economic beliefs. The European settlers believed that the Native-American religions were not valid. The government often gave Native-American leaders the choice between accepting the European religion and way of life, or perishing. Brown reveals that many Native-American chiefs were aware of this choice, and heroically chose death before the destruction of their cherished beliefs. Thus, Brown makes the reader aware of the tragic loss of an entire culture, tragic because its people defended it valiantly.

Brown gives many instances of how the Native Americans and their culture were continually reviled. The whites in this history, with deeply imbedded racism, saw the Native Americans as savages who did not deserve civilized treatment. In spite of Judge Dundy's legal decision in 1879, they were not considered persons under the law. A main thrust of Brown's history is the contention that the United States government and its citizens justified their genocide by falsely declaring that the Native Americans and their various cultures were inferior to their own. This was so strongly ingrained within the white culture that any white person who dared to be friendly to the Native Americans was called an "Indian lover" and was usually met with great disfavor, often of a violent nature, from the rest of the white populace. When Brown uses quotes by Native-American leaders speaking in English, it reveals the eloquence and intelligence of the human beings on the losing side of the war.



The ordinary white settler, from Brown's point of view, seemed unwilling to look closely at the genocide perpetrated by their government on their behalf. The average settler just wanted land, a place of his or her own, and many were willing to kill (or let the army kill) the former inhabitants to get it. Brown uses the details of white history to show how the land was taken. For instance, in Colorado in the early 1850s, Governor Evans, in collusion with Colonel John M. Chivington—head of the Colorado Volunteers—and the Indian Agent Samuel G. Colby, schemed to drive all of the Native Americans out of Colorado. They wanted the land for themselves and their friends. In particular, Denver had been built upon Arapaho land, and unless the Native Americans were completely driven out of the state, they would have a claim upon the city. To achieve this end, Governor Evans ordered all the Cheyennes and Arapahos to report to the reservation at Fort Lyons. He then issued a proclamation giving all citizens of Colorado the right to pursue and kill any Indians found living out on the plains. Soon there were no free Cheyennes or Arapahos in Colorado, and Brown's history clearly shows the violent mechanism of white land acquisition.

Writing his history from the point of view of the victims rather than the conquerors, Brown shocks the reader by recounting deadly brutality. He details instances when the United States military, often aided by white settlers, attacked and destroyed entire Native-American villages, killing men, women, and children indiscriminately, burning the tipis, clothing and other means of survival. Often they would kill or steal the Native-Americans' horses, leaving the survivors on foot and without adequate food, clothing or shelter. Women and children, especially babies, would often die of exposure or starvation. Brown does not allow the reader to overlook the painful events. His history is told with impressive detail, down to the particulars of what individuals were doing on the mornings of battles, detailed statistics of the wounded and dead, and words spoken and written by participants on both sides. It is the expert use of details that reflects Brown's conviction of an historian seeking justice and truth, however belatedly. At the same time, Brown maintains an objective tone, despite the brutality he is recording, and this effectively allows the reader to absorb the implications and emotions of the injustices revealed.

Sympathetic to the spirituality of the Native Americans, Brown describes how their rich spiritual lives were often reviled or repressed. He recounts how white missionaries were often put in charge of the Native Americans living on the reservations and how they would ban non-Christian spirituality. The government banned the Ghost Dance, a powerful and healing spiritual ceremony. Brown details the murder of Sioux warrior chief Sitting Bull and the massacre of an unarmed camp of Ghost Dancers, finally putting an end to the dance.

Brown describes how the Native Americans watched as their land was ruined, the streams polluted, the trees cut down, and many animal species, such as the buffalo, almost completely destroyed. Several times, Brown quotes Native Americans, who, in addition to lamenting the destruction of their own way of life, were mystified and saddened by how the whites seemed to hate nature. Brown states, "To the Indians it seemed that these Europeans hated everything in nature—the living forests and their birds and beasts, the grassy glades, the water, the soil, and the air itself." Brown also



gives the reader glimpses into the Native Americans' connection with nature, when he refers to seasons as "summer moon" or the "moon of strong cold" rather than calendar time, for instance. This has the effect of creating more empathy in the reader for the lost culture.

Brown's history ends with the massacre at Wounded Knee, a devastating loss for Native America. The last lines of text remark, ironically, on a sign over a church: "**PEACE ON EARTH, GOOD WILL TO MEN,**" after the brutal killings. Then an eloquent quote by Black Elk, followed by an Indian song of longing, and a photograph and quote of Red Cloud are final reminders to the reader of the tragic history of the Native Americans.

Source: Douglas Dupler, Critical Essay on *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, in *Nonfiction Classics for Students*, Gale, 2003.



Critical Essay #3

*In the following essay, Fixico places *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* within the context of related works of its time, stressing its importance as the first work to humanize Native Americans for the general public.*

In 1971 Dee Brown wrote *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*—a book that stunned America, persuading a generation to listen to the voice of Native Americans. Society learned about the Indian as a victim in the American West.

The full impact involved the emergence of an academic Indian voice in the following years. Native Americans had always expressed their concerns and opinions about issues ranging from legal status, to living conditions, to past mistreatment at the hands of the United States government. But the Indian voice was not widely heard, at least by the dominant society, until the 1960s during the Civil Rights protests and the concurrent rise of American Indian activism. During the late 1960s and at the start of the next decade, *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* opened the door for the Native American voice and launched a generation of American Indian studies in academia.

At unexpected times, an important work comes along and jolts society, provoking a reaction—right time, right book. And Dee Brown's book has had a long life, perhaps because of its portrayal and inclusion of the Wounded Knee tragedy of 1890 with the slaughter of 350 Minneconjou Ghost Dancers (mostly women and children).

The book was copyrighted in 1970 and appeared in print in January 1971. During the remainder of 1971, Holt, Rinehart and Winston reprinted the book 13 times in 11 months, and it has sold five million copies! This is *impact*, even in the hard-edged world of capitalism! During these years of the so-called "Third World" movement, the book unveiled a story that Native Americans had always known.

While many enthralled readers turned the pages of *Bury My Heart*, their consciences acknowledged this mistreatment of the American Indian. Guilt seized them. Scholars, however, remained doubtful about Brown's work. The late historian Wilcomb Washburn noted:

While Brown's work, from the scholarly point of view, leaves something to be desired, its impact has been phenomenal in raising the consciousness of the white Americans about the past history of Indians and whites in America.

The book capitalized on the liberal 1960s, offering something new and different as the decade closed.

Many of us recall those years, witnessing radical changes in America: bell bottoms, the peace sign, Jimi Hendrix, marijuana, Janis Joplin, the 1964 invasion of the Beatles, the Rolling Stones, the New Left, underground protest groups, Vietnam, the Civil Rights



movement, the NAACP, John F. Kennedy, LBJ, and more that we wore, hated, believed in, smoked, and became immersed in.

For Native Americans, "Red Power" emerged as a philosophical outspokenness of politics and cultural renaissance, but it confirmed a national identity of "being Indian." The Chicago National Indian Conference and the rise of the National Indian Youth Council (NIYC) in 1961, Indian Fish-Ins in Washington in 1964, the founding of the American Indian Movement in 1968, Red Power, and the Alcatraz Take-over in 1969 witnessed a new era of Native American deconstruction and reaction for a generation of Native Americans who wanted to study about themselves and their people's histories and cultures. It was a struggle.

But for Native Americans to succeed at higher education was not yet reality. In 1961, only 66 Indians graduated from four-year institutions. During that decade, the college dropout rate for Native Americans remained at 90 percent. By 1968, only 181 Native Americans had graduated from college. By 1970, Estelle Fuchs and Robert J. Havighurst estimated a 75 percent rate for Indian college dropouts. Twenty years later, in 1988 and 1989, 3,954 Indian students had received Bachelor's degrees, with 1,086 having received Master's degrees and 85 graduate students earning a Ph.D. However, Native Americans still believed that institutions of higher learning were a means for future betterment of Indian people.

Bury My Heart awakened scholars and writers, and especially Native Americans. Native scholars began writing about the feelings of Indian people and about their opinions. Indians felt the frustration of urban alienation and the influence of Red Power activists, and they began to put pen to paper.

In addition to Dee Brown's work, two other important books about Indians appeared during these years—Vine Deloria, Jr.'s *Custer Died for Your Sins: An Indian Manifesto* (1968) and N. Scott Momaday's *House Made of Dawn* (1966). The latter won the Pulitzer Prize, the only work written by a Native American to be recognized.

A part of this scholarly current to study American Indians derived especially from the political movements of Black Power, Brown Power, and Red Power. Civil Rights for minorities and equal rights for women expressed during political protests and activism caused society and institutions of higher learning to reconsider the status and past written histories of ethnic groups and women. Thus, the 1960s represented pivotal changes in American society, as people contemplated their own lives and the values of the mainstream society and the dominant culture that had stressed the importance of education, economics, religion, and individualism.

Until the 1960s, mainstream society had refused to listen to, or to learn from, Native Americans. Naturally, this provoked the title of Vine Deloria, Jr.'s book, *We Talk; You Listen: New Tribes, New Turf*. From an Indian point of view, Deloria predicted in 1972:

American society is unconsciously going Indian. Moods, attitudes, and values are changing. People are becoming more aware of their isolation even while they continue



to worship the rugged individualist who needs no one. The self-sufficient man is casting about for a community to call his own. The glittering generalities and mythologies of American society no longer satisfy the need and desire to belong.

On the heels of *We Talk: You Listen* came Deloria's *God Is Red* (1974), in which he pointed out that Native Americans identify with place rather than time as do white men, and that Indians galvanize toward group identity rather than individuality. Undoubtedly, Americans were looking for security in various ways and forms, even looking to Native Americans because of their traditional values of communalism and environmental relationship with the earth. As a result of the self-examining society of the 1960s, people began to ask questions about their inner selves, wondering who they were, and they researched their roots. They needed something with which to identify, and to bring balance to their lives. Many looked toward history for answers, as the rugged individualist American began to break down.

Timing proved to be germane to the powerful influence of *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*. It was the link to the past, and a model by which people could re-examine that past. Although the revelation of America's mistreatment of Native Americans was shocking, it was not unique; 90 years earlier, Helen Hunt Jackson's *A Century of Dishonor* had been published—an exposé that had alerted the public to the plight of the American Indian. However, it was as a result of Dee Brown's book in 1971 that journalists, writers, and scholars began to offer new ideas and theories, and they introduced new ways to look at their subjects in a broader context with open minds.

Until the 1960s, the dominant society had maintained strict control over learning, forcing Western linear teaching into the minds of Indian students at boarding schools and missionary schools, while public schools berated the ways of Native Americans and presented them as inferior to white ways. The Native American perspective was ignored until the unleashing in the 1960s.

In his introduction to *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, Dee Brown wrote:

. . . I have tried to fashion a narrative of the conquest of the American West as the victims experienced it, using their own words whenever possible. Americans who have always looked westward when reading about this period should read this book facing eastward . . . This is not a cheerful book, but history has a way of intruding upon the present, and perhaps those who read it will have a clearer understanding of what the American Indian is, by knowing what he was.

The emotions that *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* brought forth in readers made for a precedentsetting work. Dee Brown described the feelings and emotions of Native Americans in such a way as no historians had successfully done—he humanized them.

As the decade of the 1970s began, numerous books continued to be published about Indians, resulting in some 13 books in print. In 1971, Hazel Hertzberg published *The Search for an American Indian Identity: Modern Pan-Indian Movements*, indicating that social, cultural, and political history of a minority was indeed important enough to write



about, especially in the 20th century. Other noted works appeared as well, including Francis Paul Prucha, ed., *The Indian in American History* (1971); Joseph G. Jorgensen, *The Sun Dance Religion: Power for the Powerless* (1972); Richard Slotkin, *Regeneration Through Violence: The Mythology of the American Frontier, 1600-1860* (1973); Bernard Sheehan, *Seeds of Extinction: Jeffersonian Philanthropy and the American Indian* (1973); and *Memoirs of Chief Red Fox* (1972).

While these important works encouraged a growing interest in the American Indian, and as more books appeared on the horizon, *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* had articulated an Indian version of the history of the American West. Rediscovering the "Indian voice" had also occurred in 1971 with Virginia Irving Armstrong, *I Have Spoken: American History Through the Voices of the Indians*; W. C. Vanderwerth, *Indian Oratory: Famous Speeches Told by Noted Indian Chieftains* (1971); Joseph Cash and Herbert Hoover, eds., *To Be an Indian: an Oral History* (1971); and Joseph Epes Brown, ed., *The Sacred Pipe: Black Elk's Account of the Seven Rites of the Oglala Sioux* (1971). But though these works did not have the same success as *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*, the door had been opened for listening to the Indian point of view. Students and scholars in particular were keenly interested in what Indians thought about the history of Indian-white relations.

Meanwhile, the National Indian Youth Council and the American Indian Movement (AIM) expressed a contemporary Indian voice, albeit of multiple opinions, during the early 1970s. "The First Convocation of Indian Scholars," limited to 200 participants, convened in 1970 at Princeton University, and the "Second Convocation" occurred the following year at the Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies in Colorado.

And as *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* was appearing in January 1971, other interests were developing simultaneously in Indian activism and Native American militancy. Indian activists protested that colleges and universities offered very little about American Indians—or incorrect information—in their college courses. Non-Indians, too, began to embrace the opportunity to study Native Americans to see the courses they had to offer. This interest in Indian curriculum was not new, but was rather a renaissance of Native American issues, which led to a genre of literature with increasing demands. Writings and scholarship was changing, and new sources and inspiration were pursued.

Because of the emergence of Native American studies programs, the momentum carried throughout the 1970s. Even history as an academic discipline began to re-examine its basic approach. In an article entitled "American Historians and the Idea of National Character: Some Problems and Prospects," David Stannard wrote about the American search for "National Character" as a means for writing history, and that historians were looking toward the behavioral sciences in their analyses. Yet, although new ideas about writing history entered the discipline, the old habit of disregarding Native Americans and other minorities still prevailed.

In the early 1970s, the discipline as practiced by mainstream historians refused to make Native Americans a true part of American history. Simultaneously, the Indian struggled



for his place in other academic disciplines as well. In 1970, Jeanette Henry reprimanded the history profession and American society for denying Native Americans a proper place in the written history of this country:

. . . Every dominant political class in any society attempts to control the ideology of the people most particularly through the learning process in the schools. It is not to be wondered at that "this" American society does the same. The school boards and curriculum commissions which control the adoption and purchase of textbooks usually adopt books to support the dominant political class. So too do the professors in universities, [and] departments of various disciplines.

During these times of Civil Rights protests, Indian activism, and AIM militancy, Indian academic warriors like Jeanette Henry and others took on the academic disciplines at academic conferences and in journals, books, and all forms of the printed word. The number of such warriors was small, drawing from a rank of less than 200 Native Americans holding a Ph.D. by the mid-1970s, and this group, which included outspoken Native Americans without doctorates, naturally polarized American academia and Native Americans.

The turf of battle of the American Indian Movement against the United States had been extended to academics, and leading this Indian attack was Vine Deloria, Jr.'s *Custer Died for Your Sins; We Talk, You Listen; and God Is Red*, as well as other related works. Deloria's chapter on "Anthropologists and Other Friends" in *Custer Died for Your Sins* became a volleying point for heated discussions, charging writers and scholars who exploited Indians for personal gains and misrepresenting Native Americans and their cultures. Deloria insulted anthropologists by writing in his inflammatory chapter that some people are cursed with plagues and bad luck, ". . . but Indians have been cursed above all other people in history. Indians have anthropologists."

In the middle of the battlefield, native scholars like the late Alfonso Ortiz challenged his own anthropology profession to re-examine Indians and treat them more appropriately. He realized in one of his writings that he had "taken a position, fully mindful of the dangers of being shot at from both sides." Ortiz wrote:

. . . Anthropology is a science born of imperialists and colonial powers and . . . , at best, all too many of its practitioners still approach their tribal and peasant subjects with a neo-colonist attitude.

He noted that there were too few Indian scholars to help turn the tide at that time in 1970. A stronger Indian academic voice was needed if, indeed, academia was to revise its paternalistic views of Native Americans.

Sensitive and open-minded non-Indian scholars began to include cultural studies in their writings about Native Americans. Hence, cross-cultural studies and cross-disciplinary works evolved. Attempting to understand Indian culture, environment, and community became essential in order to understand Native Americans. This approach, combined



with academia's contemplation of new ideas and theories, urged a reconsideration of the previous means of examining history and the Indian and other minorities.

Then in 1970, the *Western Historical Quarterly* produced its first issue. The following year, the sixth president of the Western Historical Association, Robert Utley, assessed the field and changes in Western history amidst societal changes resulting from the 1960s. He wrote:

Indeed, I shall be surprised if western studies do not gain new life from the intellectual and social ferment now troubling the nation. As attitudes, beliefs, assumptions, and traditions of American life come increasingly under scrutiny, stereotypes begin to disintegrate . . . Does not the current obsession with minority and ethnic studies suggest unplowed western fields? Scholars are already beginning to till these fields . . .

And in 1971, as an example of Utley's admonition, Doubleday published William Loren Katz's *The Black West*, a documentary and pictorial history; Seth M. Scheiner and Tilden G. Edelstein edited *The Black Americans: Interpretative Readings*; the third edition of Morris U. Schappes' edited work *A Documentary History of the Jews in the United States, 1654-1875*, reappeared in print; and Leonard Dinnerstein published his edited book, *Antisemitism in the United States*.

In November of 1969, *The Black Scholar* journal had produced its first issue, and other African American publications appeared, such as the *Journal of Black Studies* with its first issue published in 1970. Subsequently, the *Journal of Ethnic Studies* released its first issue in the spring of 1973. Other minority journals and publications followed throughout the decade and afterwards, such as the *Ethnic Forum* in the summer of 1981.

In 1971, Lawrence Towner, past president of Chicago's Newberry Library, and other key individuals, conceived of the idea to establish a center in the Library for studying the history of the American Indian. Towner wanted Indian involvement, so he contacted D'Arcy McNickle, a Flathead Indian studying anthropology, who also studied at Oxford University. In September 1972, the Center for the History of the American Indian opened its doors for business with a supporting grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities, The Newberry Library, and 11 supporting universities. D'Arcy McNickle became the first director of the Center, with many scholars becoming research fellows who would study Native Americans over the years. In 1997, the McNickle Center celebrated its 25th year of researching and studying the American Indian.

In the 1970s, people learned that American Indians have always lived in their own way, in spite of federal policies designed to force them to assimilate into the dominant society. The current 547 federally recognized Native American tribes and other Indian communities exist according to their particular identity and heritage; and this need for freedom of expression involves culture, political concerns, religion, and intellectualism. Although American Indians have sought self-determination since the 1960s, a dominant control of the media, including textbook companies, the film industry, and a majority of



publications, suppressed the advancement of Indian people and their communities throughout Indian country.

A "natural sovereignty" for Indian people has meant that all native communities possessed a heritage of freedom. A native identity is based on desired segregation from other peoples and their natural right to pursue their own way of life. This is done on reservations throughout Indian country and in urban Indian areas in most major cities where Native Americans survived the relocation program of the 1950s and 1960s. Currently, more than two-thirds of the total Indian population of just over two million live in urban areas; thus Indian country consists of reservations and urban Indian communities.

A history of struggle is common to all nations, and American Indian tribal nations have certainly had this experience. Their struggle has been one against European imperialism and the United States. The invasion of these foreign nations has defeated and suppressed the Native American, and, in some cases, annihilated Indian people.

Euroamerican colonization has a history of going beyond building homesteads and clearing the land for crops; this colonization experience has been one of deliberate destruction of Native Americans and their culture. Attempts at co-existence did not work out, and the Indian nations fell before the Euroamerican colonization after patriotic resistance in every region of the country.

Aside from attempts of genocide, the survival of Native Americans, even against overwhelming odds, compelled the United States to assimilate Indian people into the ideological "melting pot" of white values. Simultaneously, in order to accomplish this assimilation or desegregation, the United States government and its military sought to suppress the native intellectualism of Indian people. With biased scientific evidence in the late 1800s, and in an attempt to justify the American experience with Frederick Jackson Turner's "frontier thesis," America sought to subordinate Native Americans. An insecure American culture believed it necessary to deem Native American knowledge and native intellectualism to be inferior. Undoubtedly, this was intellectual racism on the part of America, which has not been fully addressed.

The conservatism of the Eisenhower era of the 1950s had caused a backlash against this kind of ideology, provoking an experimentation with liberalism during the next ten years and afterward. But as for Native Americans, they continued to look for themselves in textbooks and public forms of the media. The mainstream saw a "doomed" Indian in books and at the cinema. Perhaps, even worse, in the 20th century Native Americans had virtually disappeared, and simply were not needed by Turnerian historians to explain the history of this country.

In 1968, an Indian student (Shoshone and Bannock) enrolled at the University of California, Berkeley, expressed her frustration at finding her place in the white man's world:



It's hard for me to go to college and eventually be assimilated and never be able to relate to the American Indian and their problems. I feel they're trying to make me into a white person . . . There is little opportunity to learn anything about my own history; I've tried to take courses in history at the University. I can't find out anything about my people.

Until the late 1960s, post-modern America had continued to move forward with increasingly less interest in American Indians, leaving the issue up to Indians to fight for Indian education. But as American Indians were rarely in the path of the daily concerns of the federal government and the public in general, it was left to colleges and universities and Indian communities to advance the interests of America's original people. The American public and our nation's leaders needed to be educated about Indian people and their issues and concerns.

President Lyndon Johnson was sensitive and responded to the concerns of Native Americans and their problems when he gave his "Forgotten American" speech in 1968. In actuality, LBJ proved to be more understanding of Native Americans and their circumstances than his popular predecessor, John F. Kennedy.

Following Johnson's pro-Indian efforts, which included the Indian Civil Rights Act of 1968, Richard Nixon continued presidential support of Native Americans. In 1972, the Indian Education Act authorized educational programs for American Indian and Alaskan native children, college students and adults, with funding from the Department of Education. In addition, the Bureau of Indian Affairs also funded educational programs for Native Americans. The termination policy of the 1950s and 1960s came to a halt by Congress, and the Kennedy Study Report disclosed an increased need for Indian education. Furthermore, Indian action, especially the militancy of AIM, called for a new federal Indian policy during the early 1970s of the Nixon years.

In 1974, President Gerald Ford signed the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act, which took effect in 1975. This new federal Indian policy authorized the development of Indian education and other reform programs. In addition, organizations like the Ford Foundation and Donner Foundation saw it as their task to educate more Native Americans in graduate programs.

American Indian intellectualism has always existed, but it has not always been acknowledged. Unfortunately, the most brilliant Indian individuals were called to lead their people in war against the United States—those such as Tecumseh, Sitting Bull, and Chief Joseph in the 19th century. In postmodern America, Indian intellectualism should be allowed to be expressed; however, conservative academic attitudes have suppressed or ignored the opportunity for Native American thoughts and ideas. Should not American Indian intellectuals have the same right as others to offer their ideas, philosophies, and theories? Should not American Indian people have the same opportunities to obtain a college education and have the same opportunities to succeed as other Americans? Many years ago, before the first Native American Studies Program, the Lakota sage Luther Standing Bear challenged white society: "Why not a



school of Indian thought, built on the Indian pattern and conducted by Indian instructors?"

As teaching and discussing Native American studies became important in the late 1960s and in the 1970s, ethnic studies programs began to emerge on college campuses, and the study of American Indians experienced a renaissance.

Although in 1968 San Francisco State University became the first college to establish a Native American studies program, few people know that the first official Indian studies program had been attempted at the University of Oklahoma in 1914, when Senator Robert Owens of Oklahoma introduced a resolution in the United States Congress calling for an Indian Studies Department. However, nothing had resulted from Owens' efforts. Another effort was made in 1937, once again at the University of Oklahoma, but it too failed. The impetus for an American Indian studies program was premature until after World War II.

In 1968, American Indian studies programs also emerged at the University of Minnesota, the University of California, Berkeley, and later at the University of California, Los Angeles. In 1969, Trent University, Ontario, started the first native studies program in Canada. These early programs became the flagships of Indian studies in the United States.

Native American studies programs and departments began to develop during the 1970s, and they flourished. By 1985, 107 colleges and universities had either a program or department of American Indian studies. Many were a part of an ethnic studies program or a unit of an anthropology department. Eighteen Native American studies programs or departments offered majors, and 40 of these offered minors. (For example, a student could obtain a Ph.D. in the Ethnic Studies Department at the University of California, Berkeley, but Native American Studies was under the umbrella of Ethnic Studies.)

By 1995, six Native American studies units offered graduate programs, including the University of California-Berkeley, University of Arizona, University of California-Los Angeles, and Montana State University; and Harvard University continues to offer a graduate program in American Indian Education. During the mid-1990s, 13 research centers and institutions existed whose objectives focused on American Indians.

In 1976, an estimated 76,000 American Indian students attended accredited colleges and universities. By 1984, some 82,672 Native Americans were enrolled in colleges and universities. Another 60 percent of that number attended two-year community colleges. It was obvious that many Indian youth wished to pursue American Indian studies. By 1997, 124 Native American studies programs existed. Admittedly, most of these programs lack recognition and visibility; however, several have earned national distinction through the years for their activities such as the programs at Berkeley, UCLA, University of Minnesota, University of Oklahoma, and University of Arizona, Tucson.

In 1996, the American Indian studies program at the University of Arizona announced the end of a seven-year struggle to offer the first doctoral program in American Indian



studies. With seven core Native American faculty, and with a total of 19 faculty participating in the program, American Indian studies at the University of Arizona have set an important new precedent.

The need for more visibility of Native American studies and other ways of academic advancement is imperative in educating other minorities and mainstream Americans about Native Americans and their many diverse cultures. Carter Blue Clark, a Muscogee Creek historian and executive vice president at Oklahoma City University, stated:

American Indian Studies is trapped in . . . [a] cultural dilemma . . . American Indian Studies fits no standard academic mold. American Indian Studies is by its nature interdisciplinary . . . American Indians are unique, and so is their discipline. They stand alone among all of the other ethnic groups because of their history, which involves treaties, tribalism, and other aspects that set them apart.

The Native American presence in academia had emerged noticeably with the works of the first generation of Indian scholars in post-modern America—Vine Deloria, Jr., and N. Scott Momaday in the late 1960s, as well as Francis LaFlesche, John Milton Oskison, John Joseph Matthews, Luther Standing Bear, James Paytiamo, George Webb, John Tebble, John Rogers, and D'Arcy McNickle. Because the public and publishers seemed willing to entertain the writings of Native Americans, another group soon followed, consisting of Howard Adams, Robert Burnette, Harold Cardinal, Rupert Costo, Edward P. Dozier, Jack D. Forbes, Jeanette Henry, Bea Medicine, Alfonso Ortiz, and Robert K. Thomas. In the creative writing field, the list included Leslie Silko, Duane Niatum, Simon Ortiz, Gerald Vizenor, James Welch, Ray Young Bear, and many others.

American Indian intellectualism also has been expressed by publication of a dozen or more Native American journals, which were founded in the 1970s and 1980s. In the mid-1990s, articles about Native Americans were published in *Akwekon* (Cornell University, 1984), *American Indian Culture and Research Journal* (UCLA, 1974), *Journal of American Indian Education* (Arizona State University, 1961), *American Indian Law Journal* (Institute for the Development of Indian Law, Washington, D.C., 1975), *American Indian Law Review* (University of Oklahoma, 1973), *American Indian Quarterly* (now at University of Oklahoma, 1974), *Canadian Journal of Native Studies*, *Journal of Alaska Native Arts* (Institute of Alaska Native Arts, Fairbanks, Alaska, 1984), *Journal of Navajo Education* (Chinle, Arizona, 1983), *Native Studies Review* in Canada, *Tribal College Journal*, and *Wiscazo Sa Review* (Eastern Washington University, 1985). The majority of these journals are peer-judged and externally refereed. Because of the diversity of Native Americans and their multiple interests, more Indian journals are needed. Yet, human and financial resources are lacking, thus limiting American Indian and non-Indian scholars publishing their works.

American Indian identity in academia has required increased attention and action. In 1985, historian Carter Blue Clark stated:

Interest in American Indians will continue as a result of the historic legacy of Manifest Destiny, yearning for family roots, and a lingering romantic attachment to the glories of a



bygone era. The necessities of earning a living with marketable skills will not lessen the need to maintain Indian cultural ties and to learn more about one's Indianness through American Indian Studies. Even though some of the attributes of Indian studies will alter with changing demands from society and administrators, American Indian Studies will continue to offer insights into America's unique culture and heritage. The basic mission of American Indian Studies is to educate and enlighten all students about the diverse and rich cultures that make up American Indian life.

As Indian communities have continued to flourish—with much promise for this next century and the new millennium—academia has endeavored to keep pace. The number of tribally controlled colleges has increased. The first, the Navajo Community College, started as only an idea in the early 1960s. With funding from the Office of Economic Opportunity, the tribe, and the Donner Foundation, the Navajo Nation founded the Navajo Community College in 1968. Additional tribal colleges were soon established in California, North Dakota, and South Dakota. As of this writing, there are 30 such colleges.

Tribal colleges received major support when the U.S. Congress passed the Tribally Controlled Community College Act in 1978, providing limited grants for starting these institutions in Indian country, including any Alaskan native village or village corporation approved by the Secretary of the Interior. At this pace, one college is being established each year. These community colleges base and develop their curriculum to meet the needs of their people, with practically oriented courses in business and administration.

The faculty for these 30 colleges are degreed Native Americans. It is now estimated that some 400 Native Americans in the United States have earned a Ph.D., and many others have earned a Master's degree. In the various academic fields for the professions, however, there are less than 25 Native Americans in each. And in each of the fields, the number of Native Americans, who are threefourths or full-bloods are a fraction of the less than 25 in each field.

Institutions such as Arizona State University are extraordinary for having so many Native Americans holding doctorates; most colleges and universities have a couple, one, or none. Native American faculty and American Indian programs are vital to advancing the scholarship of Native American studies and to increasing the number of Indian college graduates. Unfortunately for American Indians, the colleges and universities that were founded to educate Native Americans, such as Dartmouth College, Harvard University, and the College of William and Mary, are not identified today as Indian schools.

Perhaps it is even more sad that the future of Native American studies—and the hope of graduating more American Indians—is in the hands of non-Indians who may not be able to give them the same attention that they commit to other minority groups and the mainstream. American Indian studies and Native Americans suffer from this virtual neglect, and this is reflected on college campuses across the country, where Indian students, faculty, and administrators are a mere fraction of the mainstream.



Yet, in spite of the suppression and neglect of American Indians on college campuses, the interest in them remains for many and complex reasons, including a curiosity of wanting to hear the Indian point of view. The late 1960s and early 1970s represented a drastic change in the study of Native Americans, beginning with listening to the Indian voice of *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee*—a voice that was varied, coming as it did from a myriad of Indian people who were outraged at the federal government, angry at the dominant society, and frustrated with their own people, or themselves. Dee Brown's work enabled this voice to be heard and gave it a sense of direction.

Source: Donald L. Fixico, "*Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee* and the Indian Voice in Native Studies," in *Journal of the West*, Vol. 39, No. 17, January 2000, pp. 7-15.

Adaptations

Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee was adapted as an audio book in 1970 by Books on Tape.



Topics for Further Study

On a current map of the United States, plot all of the existing Native-American reservations. For each one, include a brief description of when and how it was created, what tribes live there, and the population size at the time it was founded and at the time of the 2000 Census.

Research the various ways that Native-American language and culture have been incorporated into American language and culture since the 1860s. Find one area of the United States that has been particularly influenced by Native Americans, and write a short, modern-day profile of this region and its people.

Research the prehistory of the Americas, and discuss how Native Americans first came to North America. Imagine that you are one of these early Native Americans. Write a journal entry that describes your typical day in these prehistoric times, using your research to support your writing.

Research what life is like on a Native-American reservation today. Outline the current problems faced by Native Americans on reservations, research any potential courses of action that are being taken, and propose your own solutions to these problems.



Compare and Contrast

1860-1890: U.S. soldiers engage in several wars in the American West, in an attempt to acquire the lands of the Western frontier from the Native Americans who live there.

Late 1960s-Early 1970s: U.S. soldiers engage in an undeclared war in Vietnam, purportedly in an attempt to stop the spread of communism in Southeast Asia.

Today: An increasing number of U.S. soldiers occupy several parts of the globe, as part of the U.S. war on terrorism.

1860-1890: The United States government attempts to destroy Native-American culture.

Late 1960s-Early 1970s: The American counterculture movement rebels against the ways of the wealthy corporate establishment, and many hippies dress like Native Americans and adopt their close-to-nature ways of life.

Today: On September 11, 2001, terrorists destroy one of the most prominent symbols of U.S. wealth and international power—the twin towers of the World Trade Center in New York City.

1860-1890: The plight of Native Americans is rarely represented accurately in U.S. newspapers and books. In addition, many Native Americans cannot write in English, and so they are generally unable to inform the white public of the injustices they face.

Late 1960s-Early 1970s: N. Scott Momaday, a Native-American author, wins the Pulitzer Prize for fiction in 1969 for his novel *House Made of Dawn*. The novel depicts the difficulties Native Americans face when trying to fit in among other Americans, and it helps to spark an increase in writing by and about Native Americans.

Today: Many Native-American authors, such as Sherman Alexie, Louise Erdrich, and Leslie Marmon Silko, have earned critical and popular success with works that depict the plight of contemporary Native Americans.

What Do I Read Next?

Brown's sixth novel, *Creek Mary's Blood* (1980), takes place in the nineteenth century during the westward expansion that pushed Native Americans off most of their land. The story combines historical and fictional elements in order to tell the various stories of Creek Mary and her family as they constantly move westward.

In the Absence of the Sacred: The Failure of Technology and the Survival of the Indian Nations (1992), by Jerry Mander, examines the effects that increasing technology has had on society and advocates a return to a Native-American way of life. In addition, Mander discusses how some Native Americans who try to maintain their way of life in modern times have clashed with the corporate world.

Native American Testimony: A Chronicle of Indian- White Relations from Prophecy to the Present, 1492-1992 (2 volumes, 1978-1988), edited by Peter Nabokov, also gives the Native-American side of the colonization story. Like Brown's work, this book relies on original documents and stories from Native Americans. However, this book takes a longer view, examining the entire five-hundred-year history of colonization.

Native-American storytelling has a long history, rooted in oral tradition. In *Coming to Light: Contemporary Translations of the Native Literatures of North America* (1996), published by Vintage Books, editor Brian Swann assembles many of these oral stories, songs, prayers, and orations, which collectively represent more than thirty Native-American cultures. Each of the pieces in this anthology is accompanied by an introduction from the translator, which explains the meaning behind the selection, as well as how it was spoken or sung in its time.

Further Study

Ambrose, Stephen E., *Crazy Horse and Custer: The Parallel Lives of Two American Warriors*, Anchor, 1996.

In this compelling set of profiles, Ambrose weaves a narrative that compares Crazy Horse to General George Armstrong Custer. As Ambrose shows, before the two leaders first met in battle at Little Big Horn in 1876, their lives were remarkably parallel.

Andrist, Ralph K., *The Long Death: The Last Days of the Plain Indians*, reprint, University of Oklahoma Press, 2001.

This seminal work in Native-American studies, first published in 1964, describes how Native Americans were crowded into increasingly smaller areas by the massive westward expansion of white settlers.

Hirschfelder, Arlene, *Native Americans: A History in Pictures*, Dorling Kindersley, 2000.

This book offers a detailed overview of Native- American history from ancestral times to the present day. It contains hundreds of photos, illustrations, maps, profiles of major Native-American leaders, famous quotations, and informative sidebars.

Nies, Judith, *Native American History: A Chronology of a Culture's Vast Achievements and Their Links to World Events*, Ballantine Books, 1996.

Nies gives a thorough timeline of the major events in Native-American history, from prehistorical times until 1996. Using a two-column format, she places these events next to the other world events from the same year, giving readers a context within which to understand the Native-American events.

Bibliography

Brown, Dee, *Bury My Heart at Wounded Knee: An Indian History of the American West*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1970, reprint, Owl Books, 2001.

Gilder, Joshua, "Who's on First," in *New York Magazine*, Vol. 13, No. 14, April 7, 1980, pp. 76-77.

McNeil, Helen, "Savages," in *New Statesman*, Vol. 82, No. 2115, October 1, 1971, pp. 444-45.

Momaday, N. Scott, "When the West Was Won and a Civilization Was Lost," in the *New York Times Book Review*, March 7, 1971, pp. 46-47.

Rechy, John, "The Flaws to Make a Fiction Shine," in the *Los Angeles Times Book Review*, April 3, 1983, pp. 2, 9.

Silko, Leslie Marmon, "They Were the Land's," in the *New York Times Book Review*, May 25, 1980, pp. 10, 22.



Copyright Information

This Premium Study Guide is an offprint from *Nonfiction Classics for Students*.

Project Editor

David Galens

Editorial

Sara Constantakis, Elizabeth A. Cranston, Kristen A. Dorsch, Anne Marie Hacht, Madeline S. Harris, Arlene Johnson, Michelle Kazensky, Ira Mark Milne, Polly Rapp, Pam Revitzer, Mary Ruby, Kathy Sauer, Jennifer Smith, Daniel Toronto, Carol Ullmann

Research

Michelle Campbell, Nicodemus Ford, Sarah Genik, Tamara C. Nott, Tracie Richardson

Data Capture

Beverly Jendrowski

Permissions

Mary Ann Bahr, Margaret Chamberlain, Kim Davis, Debra Freitas, Lori Hines, Jackie Jones, Jacqueline Key, Shalice Shah-Caldwell

Imaging and Multimedia

Randy Bassett, Dean Dauphinais, Robert Duncan, Leitha Etheridge-Sims, Mary Grimes, Lezlie Light, Jeffrey Matlock, Dan Newell, Dave Oblender, Christine O'Bryan, Kelly A. Quin, Luke Rademacher, Robyn V. Young

Product Design

Michelle DiMercurio, Pamela A. E. Galbreath, Michael Logusz

Manufacturing

Stacy Melson

©1997-2002; ©2002 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of The Gale Group, Inc., a division of Thomson Learning, Inc.

Gale and Design® and Thomson Learning™ are trademarks used herein under license.

For more information, contact

The Gale Group, Inc

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at

<http://www.gale.com>

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any



form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

For permission to use material from this product, submit your request via Web at <http://www.gale-edit.com/permissions>, or you may download our Permissions Request form and submit your request by fax or mail to:

Permissions Department

The Gale Group, Inc
27500 Drake Rd.
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Permissions Hotline:
248-699-8006 or 800-877-4253, ext. 8006
Fax: 248-699-8074 or 800-762-4058

Since this page cannot legibly accommodate all copyright notices, the acknowledgments constitute an extension of the copyright notice.

While every effort has been made to secure permission to reprint material and to ensure the reliability of the information presented in this publication, The Gale Group, Inc. does not guarantee the accuracy of the data contained herein. The Gale Group, Inc. accepts no payment for listing; and inclusion in the publication of any organization, agency, institution, publication, service, or individual does not imply endorsement of the editors or publisher. Errors brought to the attention of the publisher and verified to the satisfaction of the publisher will be corrected in future editions.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Encyclopedia of Popular Fiction: "Social Concerns", "Thematic Overview", "Techniques", "Literary Precedents", "Key Questions", "Related Titles", "Adaptations", "Related Web Sites". © 1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Guide to Literature for Young Adults: "About the Author", "Overview", "Setting", "Literary Qualities", "Social Sensitivity", "Topics for Discussion", "Ideas for Reports and Papers". © 1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

Introduction

Purpose of the Book

The purpose of Nonfiction Classics for Students (NCfS) is to provide readers with a guide to understanding, enjoying, and studying novels by giving them easy access to information about the work. Part of Gale's □For Students□ Literature line, NCfS is specifically designed to meet the curricular needs of high school and undergraduate college students and their teachers, as well as the interests of general readers and researchers considering specific novels. While each volume contains entries on



□classic□ novels frequently studied in classrooms, there are also entries containing hard-to-find information on contemporary novels, including works by multicultural, international, and women novelists.

The information covered in each entry includes an introduction to the novel and the novel's author; a plot summary, to help readers unravel and understand the events in a novel; descriptions of important characters, including explanation of a given character's role in the novel as well as discussion about that character's relationship to other characters in the novel; analysis of important themes in the novel; and an explanation of important literary techniques and movements as they are demonstrated in the novel.

In addition to this material, which helps the readers analyze the novel itself, students are also provided with important information on the literary and historical background informing each work. This includes a historical context essay, a box comparing the time or place the novel was written to modern Western culture, a critical overview essay, and excerpts from critical essays on the novel. A unique feature of NCfS is a specially commissioned critical essay on each novel, targeted toward the student reader.

To further aid the student in studying and enjoying each novel, information on media adaptations is provided, as well as reading suggestions for works of fiction and nonfiction on similar themes and topics. Classroom aids include ideas for research papers and lists of critical sources that provide additional material on the novel.

Selection Criteria

The titles for each volume of NCfS were selected by surveying numerous sources on teaching literature and analyzing course curricula for various school districts. Some of the sources surveyed included: literature anthologies; Reading Lists for College-Bound Students: The Books Most Recommended by America's Top Colleges; textbooks on teaching the novel; a College Board survey of novels commonly studied in high schools; a National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) survey of novels commonly studied in high schools; the NCTE's Teaching Literature in High School: The Novel; and the Young Adult Library Services Association (YALSA) list of best books for young adults of the past twenty-five years. Input was also solicited from our advisory board, as well as educators from various areas. From these discussions, it was determined that each volume should have a mix of □classic□ novels (those works commonly taught in literature classes) and contemporary novels for which information is often hard to find. Because of the interest in expanding the canon of literature, an emphasis was also placed on including works by international, multicultural, and women authors. Our advisory board members□educational professionals□ helped pare down the list for each volume. If a work was not selected for the present volume, it was often noted as a possibility for a future volume. As always, the editor welcomes suggestions for titles to be included in future volumes.

How Each Entry Is Organized



Each entry, or chapter, in NCfS focuses on one novel. Each entry heading lists the full name of the novel, the author's name, and the date of the novel's publication. The following elements are contained in each entry:

- **Introduction:** a brief overview of the novel which provides information about its first appearance, its literary standing, any controversies surrounding the work, and major conflicts or themes within the work.
- **Author Biography:** this section includes basic facts about the author's life, and focuses on events and times in the author's life that inspired the novel in question.
- **Plot Summary:** a factual description of the major events in the novel. Lengthy summaries are broken down with subheads.
- **Characters:** an alphabetical listing of major characters in the novel. Each character name is followed by a brief to an extensive description of the character's role in the novel, as well as discussion of the character's actions, relationships, and possible motivation. Characters are listed alphabetically by last name. If a character is unnamed—for instance, the narrator in *Invisible Man*—the character is listed as "The Narrator" and alphabetized as "Narrator." If a character's first name is the only one given, the name will appear alphabetically by that name. Variant names are also included for each character. Thus, the full name "Jean Louise Finch" would head the listing for the narrator of *To Kill a Mockingbird*, but listed in a separate cross-reference would be the nickname "Scout Finch."
- **Themes:** a thorough overview of how the major topics, themes, and issues are addressed within the novel. Each theme discussed appears in a separate subhead, and is easily accessed through the boldface entries in the Subject/Theme Index.
- **Style:** this section addresses important style elements of the novel, such as setting, point of view, and narration; important literary devices used, such as imagery, foreshadowing, symbolism; and, if applicable, genres to which the work might have belonged, such as Gothicism or Romanticism. Literary terms are explained within the entry, but can also be found in the Glossary.
- **Historical Context:** This section outlines the social, political, and cultural climate in which the author lived and the novel was created. This section may include descriptions of related historical events, pertinent aspects of daily life in the culture, and the artistic and literary sensibilities of the time in which the work was written. If the novel is a historical work, information regarding the time in which the novel is set is also included. Each section is broken down with helpful subheads.
- **Critical Overview:** this section provides background on the critical reputation of the novel, including bannings or any other public controversies surrounding the work. For older works, this section includes a history of how the novel was first received and how perceptions of it may have changed over the years; for more recent novels, direct quotes from early reviews may also be included.
- **Criticism:** an essay commissioned by NCfS which specifically deals with the novel and is written specifically for the student audience, as well as excerpts from previously published criticism on the work (if available).



- Sources: an alphabetical list of critical material quoted in the entry, with full bibliographical information.
- Further Reading: an alphabetical list of other critical sources which may prove useful for the student. Includes full bibliographical information and a brief annotation.

In addition, each entry contains the following highlighted sections, set apart from the main text as sidebars:

- Media Adaptations: a list of important film and television adaptations of the novel, including source information. The list also includes stage adaptations, audio recordings, musical adaptations, etc.
- Topics for Further Study: a list of potential study questions or research topics dealing with the novel. This section includes questions related to other disciplines the student may be studying, such as American history, world history, science, math, government, business, geography, economics, psychology, etc.
- Compare and Contrast Box: an "at-a-glance" comparison of the cultural and historical differences between the author's time and culture and late twentieth century/early twenty-first century Western culture. This box includes pertinent parallels between the major scientific, political, and cultural movements of the time or place the novel was written, the time or place the novel was set (if a historical work), and modern Western culture. Works written after 1990 may not have this box.
- What Do I Read Next?: a list of works that might complement the featured novel or serve as a contrast to it. This includes works by the same author and others, works of fiction and nonfiction, and works from various genres, cultures, and eras.

Other Features

NCfS includes "The Informed Dialogue: Interacting with Literature," a foreword by Anne Devereaux Jordan, Senior Editor for Teaching and Learning Literature (TALL), and a founder of the Children's Literature Association. This essay provides an enlightening look at how readers interact with literature and how Nonfiction Classics for Students can help teachers show students how to enrich their own reading experiences.

A Cumulative Author/Title Index lists the authors and titles covered in each volume of the NCfS series.

A Cumulative Nationality/Ethnicity Index breaks down the authors and titles covered in each volume of the NCfS series by nationality and ethnicity.

A Subject/Theme Index, specific to each volume, provides easy reference for users who may be studying a particular subject or theme rather than a single work. Significant subjects from events to broad themes are included, and the entries pointing to the specific theme discussions in each entry are indicated in boldface.



Each entry has several illustrations, including photos of the author, stills from film adaptations (if available), maps, and/or photos of key historical events.

Citing Nonfiction Classics for Students

When writing papers, students who quote directly from any volume of Nonfiction Classics for Students may use the following general forms. These examples are based on MLA style; teachers may request that students adhere to a different style, so the following examples may be adapted as needed. When citing text from NCfS that is not attributed to a particular author (i.e., the Themes, Style, Historical Context sections, etc.), the following format should be used in the bibliography section:

□Night.□ Nonfiction Classics for Students. Ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski. Vol. 4. Detroit: Gale, 1998. 234-35.

When quoting the specially commissioned essay from NCfS (usually the first piece under the □Criticism□ subhead), the following format should be used:

Miller, Tyrus. Critical Essay on □Winesburg, Ohio.□ Nonfiction Classics for Students. Ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski. Vol. 4. Detroit: Gale, 1998. 335-39.

When quoting a journal or newspaper essay that is reprinted in a volume of NCfS, the following form may be used:

Malak, Amin. □Margaret Atwood's □The Handmaid's Tale and the Dystopian Tradition,□ Canadian Literature No. 112 (Spring, 1987), 9-16; excerpted and reprinted in Nonfiction Classics for Students, Vol. 4, ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski (Detroit: Gale, 1998), pp. 133-36.

When quoting material reprinted from a book that appears in a volume of NCfS, the following form may be used:

Adams, Timothy Dow. □Richard Wright: □Wearing the Mask,□ in Telling Lies in Modern American Autobiography (University of North Carolina Press, 1990), 69-83; excerpted and reprinted in Novels for Students, Vol. 1, ed. Diane Telgen (Detroit: Gale, 1997), pp. 59-61.

We Welcome Your Suggestions

The editor of Nonfiction Classics for Students welcomes your comments and ideas. Readers who wish to suggest novels to appear in future volumes, or who have other suggestions, are cordially invited to contact the editor. You may contact the editor via email at: ForStudentsEditors@gale.com. Or write to the editor at:

Editor, Nonfiction Classics for Students
Gale Group
27500 Drake Road
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535