

# Hay Fever Study Guide

## Hay Fever by Noel Coward

The following sections of this BookRags Literature Study Guide is offprint from Gale's For Students Series: Presenting Analysis, Context, and Criticism on Commonly Studied Works: Introduction, Author Biography, Plot Summary, Characters, Themes, Style, Historical Context, Critical Overview, Criticism and Critical Essays, Media Adaptations, Topics for Further Study, Compare & Contrast, What Do I Read Next?, For Further Study, and Sources.

(c)1998-2002; (c)2002 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of The Gale Group, Inc., a division of Thomson Learning, Inc. Gale and Design and Thomson Learning are trademarks used herein under license.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Encyclopedia of Popular Fiction: "Social Concerns", "Thematic Overview", "Techniques", "Literary Precedents", "Key Questions", "Related Titles", "Adaptations", "Related Web Sites". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Guide to Literature for Young Adults: "About the Author", "Overview", "Setting", "Literary Qualities", "Social Sensitivity", "Topics for Discussion", "Ideas for Reports and Papers". (c)1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

All other sections in this Literature Study Guide are owned and copyrighted by BookRags, Inc.



# Contents

<a href="#">Hay Fever Study Guide.....</a>	<a href="#">1</a>
<a href="#">Contents.....</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">Introduction.....</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">Author Biography.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Plot Summary.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Characters.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Themes.....</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">Style.....</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Historical Context.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Critical Overview.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Criticism.....</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Critical Essay #1.....</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Critical Essay #2.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Critical Essay #3.....</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">Critical Essay #4.....</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">Adaptations.....</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">Topics for Further Study.....</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">Compare and Contrast.....</a>	<a href="#">33</a>
<a href="#">What Do I Read Next?.....</a>	<a href="#">35</a>
<a href="#">Further Study.....</a>	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">Bibliography.....</a>	<a href="#">37</a>
<a href="#">Copyright Information.....</a>	<a href="#">38</a>

# Introduction

Noel Coward's plays epitomize the sophisticated wit of the era between the two world wars, and *Hay Fever*, a comedy of manners about a family whose theatrical excesses torment a group of unsuspecting visitors, epitomizes the Coward play. Inspired by a weekend he spent at the house of the actor Laurette Taylor, Coward wrote the play in just three days. Upon its 1925 London debut on August 6, it won praise from both audiences and critics. Considered by many to be cleverly constructed, wittily written, slightly cynical, and undeniably entertaining, the work contains all the elements that would help establish Coward's reputation as a playwright.

*Hay Fever* is set in the hall of the Bliss family home. The eccentric Blisses—Judith, a recently retired stage actress, David, a self-absorbed novelist, and their two equally unconventional children—live in a world where reality slides easily into fiction. Upon entering this world, the unfortunate weekend guests—a proper diplomat, a shy flapper, an athletic boxer, and a fashionable sophisticate—are repeatedly thrown into melodramatic scenes wherein their hosts profess emotions and react to situations that do not really exist. The resulting comedic chaos ends only when the tortured visitors tip-toe out the door.

Designed to showcase the larger-than-life personalities of celebrated actors (many of whom were close friends of the playwright), *Hay Fever*, as Coward himself observed in the introduction to the first volume of *Play Parade*, has "no plot at all and remarkably little action. Its general effectiveness therefore depends on expert technique from each and every member of the cast." The play's humor is provided by context. When the show was revived in 1964, Coward remarked upon how the biggest laughs "occur on such lines as 'Go on, "No there isn't, is there?' and 'This haddock's disgusting.'... the sort of lines... [that] have to be impeccably delivered." Although Coward claimed that he intended only to amuse and cared little about posterity, he might have been pleased that the simple dialogue in *Hay Fever* would continue to be well-delivered and well-received half a century after it was written.



## Author Biography

Noel Peirce Coward—the celebrated actor, composer, and playwright once described as the person who "invented the '20s"—was born on December 16, 1899, in Teddington-on-Thames, Middlesex, England, to Arthur Sabin and Violet Agnes (Veitch) Coward. His father worked as both a clerk for a music publishing company and a piano salesman. Young Noel attended Chapel Royal School in Clapham but learned his most vocational lessons while studying acting with Sir Charles Hawtrey's drama company. Working with this theater group, he developed comic timing and his trademark casual demeanor. Encouraged by his mother, Coward made his first professional theatrical appearance when he was only twelve. He continued to act in London throughout his teens, while also making both his first attempts at playwriting and his film debut in director D. W. Griffith's 1917 feature *Hearts of the World*.

Coward's first play was produced in 1920; three more of his compositions went on the stage in 1922. *he Young Idea* (1922), although deemed a pale imitation of playwright George Bernard Shaw's style, showed signs of the unique humor found in Coward's later work. Already prolific, Coward produced four more plays before writing *Hay Fever* in the three days following his first trip to the United States in the fall of 1924. He wrote seventeen more plays in the next decade, often acting in, directing, producing, and composing music for them as well. During this period he wrote what is widely considered his best work, the comedy *Private Lives* (1930), in which he starred with actress Gertrude Lawrence. Lawrence was only one of the theater luminaries—including Laurence Olivier, Vivien Leigh, John Gielgud, Claudette Colbert, Mary Martin, Tallulah Bankhead, and Michael Redgrave—with whom Coward formed close friendships. Like these celebrities, the playwright cultivated a debonair public persona. He embodied—both on stage and off—the image of the suave, cynical gentleman who appears in evening dress, a cigarette in hand, ready to offer witty cocktail party repartee.

Among his sophisticated theatrical companions it was an "open secret" that Coward was a homosexual, but he never came out publicly. During most of his lifetime the British censors did not allow works containing homosexual themes to appear on stage, and Coward's one play which depicted gay characters, *.Semi-monde*, although written in 1926, did not get produced until 1977.

After 1935, Coward wrote twenty more plays, including the hit *. Design for Living* (1933) and his biggest box office success. *Blithe Spirit* (1941), a comedy of manners that ran for two thousand performances in London and won the New York Drama Critics Circle Award for Best Foreign Play in 1942. After World War II public tastes changed, and Coward's work received less critical attention. Yet, his reputation was well established, and he continued to express his talents in diverse ways: publishing fiction, acting in films, and continuing to write songs, movie scripts, and plays. In 1970, he was honored with a knighthood as well as a special Antionette (Tony) Perry Award. Three years later, on March 26, 1973, he died of a fatal heart attack in Blue Harbor, Jamaica.



# Plot Summary

## Act I

When the curtain rises, the two adult children of the Bliss household are relaxing in the hall (main living room) of the Bliss family country home. The siblings' conversation reveals that the daughter, Sorel, wishes their family were more normal. She expresses a desire to change, but her brother, Simon, says it is fine to be different. They both observe that their mother, who has recently retired from a successful acting career, has been very restless. They speculate that she might return to the theater. Sorel also announces that she has invited a diplomatist named Richard Greatham down for the weekend.

Their mother, Judith, enters from the garden and says she hopes the housekeeper Clara has prepared the Japanese Room for her guest. The ensuing dialogue reveals that each family member has invited someone for the weekend and they all expected their guests to sleep in that same room. Irritated, each criticizes the others' prospective visitors. David—the father and Judith's husband—enters the room in the midst of this argument. He has come down from his study where he has been writing his latest novel. He casually tells everyone that he invited a young woman for the weekend to observe her behavior, and then heads back upstairs before anyone can say anything.

After David exits, Judith, Sorel, and Simon continue complaining about how awful the weekend is going to be. Soon, however, Judith announces that she has decided to return to the stage and revive one of her most successful plays, *Love's Whirlwind*. Recollecting favorite passages from this drama, she prompts the children to join her in acting out a scene that begins with the cue "Is this a game?" Their reenactment is interrupted when the doorbell rings.

Clara opens the door and lets in Sandy Tyrell, the athletic amateur boxer invited by Judith. The children go upstairs and Sandy and Judith's brief conversation reveals his infatuation with her. The doorbell rings again. This time, Clara admits Simon's guest, Myra Arundel, who greets Judith familiarly before Judith takes Sandy away, leaving the latest arrival to her own devices. Myra strolls around looking very much at home until Simon rushes in. He tries to kiss Myra, but she pushes him away. She continues to rebuff his advances as he expresses his adoration for her.

The bell rings once more, and Clara opens the door for Richard Greatham and Jackie Coryton. Richard asks for Sorel, and Clara goes in search of her. Simon immediately drags Myra outside leaving Richard and Jackie alone to make awkward small-talk until Sorel appears. She sends Jackie up to find David, then sits down with Richard, who expresses his admiration for her unconventionality while she offers similar praise of his propriety.



Clara enters with tea. Simon, Myra, David, and Jackie rejoin Richard and Sorel. The visitors all attempt to begin some polite conversation, but they keep starting sentences at the same time and eventually give up. The scene ends in dead silence.

## Act II

After dinner that night all eight main characters are in the hall talking at once, trying to choose a game to play. They decide on "Adverbs," which involves one person leaving the room while the rest choose an adverb. Then the person re-enters and tries to guess the word based on watching the others perform actions in the manner of that adverb. The shouted half-explanations of this enterprise confuse Jackie, but the Blisses begin the game anyway. Sorel goes out. The rest of the group argues over word selection. Richard proposes "winsomely," David "drearily," Judith "saucily," and Myra under her breath "rudely." Jackie, who still does not understand the game, suggests "appendicitis."

Judith agrees with Richard that "winsomely" is best and calls Sorel back into the room. Judith performs the first action, handing a flower to Richard in a manner she considers winsome. Myra then attempts to do the next action but is criticized by Judith. They move on to Richard, but Judith stops him midway through because she does not think he is performing well either—and it turns out he had been acting out the wrong adverb. Finally, it is Jackie's turn but she refuses to do anything. Her shy protestations are so sweet and innocent, however, that Sorel guesses the word just as everyone starts yelling at each other. The game breaks up. Simon grabs Jackie's hand and pulls her out in the garden; Sorel drags Sandy into the library; and David takes Myra outside.

Left alone with Richard, Judith begins flirting, inducing him to lean forward and kiss her. She jumps back instantly and dramatically announces that David must be told everything. Confused, Richard listens to Judith go on about how heartbroken poor David will be that she is leaving him to be with her new love (the unwitting Richard). The diplomat tries to protest, but she sends him out into the garden, never letting him finish a sentence.

Judith then opens the library door and stands looking shocked as Sorel and Sandy emerge guiltily, suggesting they have been caught kissing. Switching roles, Judith now loudly laments what a fool she has been. Sorel initially tries to say that "it was nothing" but quickly gives up on this approach and begins playing along, claiming she and Sandy love each other. This allows Judith to nobly "give" Sandy to her daughter before exiting. Once her mother is gone, Sorel clarifies the situation for the befuddled young man, explaining that she knows they do not love each other but she had just said so because "one always plays up to mother in this house; it's sort of an unwritten law."

Sandy and Sorel exit while Myra and David enter talking about the plot of David's latest novel. As their conversation progresses, Myra confesses that she accepted the weekend invitation in order to meet David because she admires his books. David says that he writes bad novels and wonders if Myra has an ulterior motive in complimenting



his work. She then expresses her affection for him, and he responds by asking first whether they should elope and then whether she wants him to make love to her. Offended, she pulls away but is drawn back when he takes her hand and says they can still "have a nice little intrigue." He grabs and kisses her; she resists but then gives in. At this moment, Judith appears and sees them. She immediately launches into the role of wronged wife. David starts out saying Judith is speaking nonsense, but then he begins to play his expected part. Interrupting Myra's protests, he says he and Myra love each other and commends his wife's bravery in the difficult situation.

Just as David and Judith shake hands, Simon rushes in announcing excitedly that he and Jackie are engaged. This news brings Sorel and Simon out of the library and prompts Judith to shift roles again, now acting the part of the bereaved mother anticipating an empty nest. As Jackie tries to deny the engagement, Myra breaks in with a denunciation of the whole family's theatricality. Everyone talks at once as Richard enters and unsuspectingly asks, "Is this a game?" Recognizing the cue, Judith launches into the scene she and the children enacted earlier. Simon and Sorel catch on immediately and speak the appropriate lines. David starts laughing. The four visitors stand watching in absolute bewilderment.

## Act III

Act III opens the following morning. A breakfast table has been set up in the hall and Sandy enters, sits and begins eating. He jumps at every sound, however, and when he hears someone approaching he runs into the library. Jackie then enters, takes some food, sits down, and starts to cry. Sandy comes out and the two have a conversation about how uncomfortable they were the night before and how crazy the Blisses are. When they hear people approaching, they both go into the library. Myra and Richard now enter and help themselves to breakfast. Their conversation echoes that of Sandy and Jackie, who subsequently emerge from the library. The entire group decides they are going to return to London. Sandy agrees to drive them in his car. They all go upstairs to collect their things

Judith comes down next, asks Clara for the papers and begins reading aloud the descriptions of herself in the gossip columns. Sorel and Simon enter soon thereafter, followed by David who wants to read them the last chapter of his novel. He begins by describing how his main character drives down one street in Paris to get to a particular plaza. Judith immediately interrupts to say he has the streets wrong and that the one he names does not go where he says it does. This sparks another family argument with everyone talking at once about what streets go where in Paris. As they continue to debate, the four visitors tip-toe down the stairs and out the door. The Blisses only notice their fleeing guests when they hear the door slam. Then after a momentary pause to comment on the guests' rude mode of departure, the Blisses return to their conversation. Judith makes the final statement of the play, announcing she will indeed return to the stage.



# Characters

## Myra Arundel

Well-dressed, confident, and sophisticated, Myra is invited to the Bliss house by her admirer Simon but coolly rebuffs his advances; her real motive in accepting the weekend invitation is to meet his novelist father, David. Before Myra even appears on stage, Simon's mother, Judith, describes her as a "self-conscious vampire" who "goes about using Sex as a shrimping net." So the audience is not surprised when Myra later begins a flirtatious conversation with David. Myra herself, however, is taken quite off-guard when David asks her directly, "Would you like me to make love to you?" and then refuses to believe that she is offended by the question, saying simply "You've been trying to make me all the evening."

Although David will not play the game of subtle seduction in the typical manner that Myra expects, he does readily join in the game his wife instigates, pretending that they are ready to break up their marriage so he can be with Myra. Used to being the one who manipulates such situations, Myra is utterly frustrated by the way the entire family's odd behavior takes events out of her control. Towards the end of the play, she angrily denounces the Blisses in a statement which accurately sums up their way of life: "You haven't got one sincere or genuine feeling among the lot of you—you're artificial to the point of lunacy."

## David Bliss

David, Judith's husband and Simon and Sorel's father, is an absent-minded writer, wrapped up in his latest book. Although his works—which have titles like *The Sinful Woman* and *Broken Reeds*—are popular, he admits that they are actually "very bad novels." Less melodramatic than his flamboyant wife, David nevertheless is equally self-involved and self-obsessed. He forgets he invited Jackie and, as she reports, rudely greets her by saying "Who the hell are you?" He behaves in a similarly unconventional way with Myra. At first bluntly calling her attempts to seduce him exactly what they are, he ruins the mood with his directness. Then, changing his attitude and willingly participating in the romantic "intrigue," he explains that he loves "to see things as they are first, and then pretend they're what they're not." He again demonstrates this inclination when he calls Judith's wronged wife routine "nonsense" initially, but then—after calling things "as they are"—goes on to "pretend they're what they're not" by joining in the scene and acting as if he does love Myra. At the end of the play, his self-absorption is emphasized once more as he reads the last section of his new novel to the family and debates with them over the streets described in a certain passage, not noticing the departing guests.





## Judith Bliss

Judith is David's wife and Simon and Sorel's mother. A well-known stage actress who has temporarily retired, she made her name in melodramatic plays with names like *Love's Whirlwind* and *The Bold Deceiver*, which she admits were not that good even though the public loved them. Bored with everyday life, she amuses herself by acting out exaggerated roles and theatrically misinterpreting ordinary situations. During the course of the play, she takes on the demeanor of the rural lady of the manor, the long-suffering mother, the glamorous star, the flirtatious coquette, the betrayed lover, and the wronged wife, among others. Vibrant and eccentric, she is unable to keep from slipping into dramatic personae constantly, and her family has learned to adapt to and play along with this tendency. She is also in the habit of bolstering her ego by inviting young male fans to the house and refuses to apologize for it, telling her daughter not to think that the younger woman has "the complete monopoly of any amorous adventure there may be about."

Judith's whims and inclinations dictate the action in many of the play's scenes, her dominant personality overshadowing those that are more quiet and conventional. Her every action supports her self-descriptive statement, "I won't stagnate as long as there's breath left in my body." Early on she tells her children, "I long for excitement and glamour," and the rest of the play shows her ability to create her own excitement when the world does not provide enough for her.

## Simon Bliss

Simon is Judith and David's adult son. He first appears on stage looking disheveled and unwashed, and, like the rest of his family, he seems to care little about other people's opinions. In contrast to his sister, he has no desire to reform the Blisses' unconventional and often inconsiderate ways, remarking, "we see things differently, I suppose, and if people don't like it they must lump it." In typical Bliss fashion, Simon is given to extremes: expressing energetically his adoration for the worldly Myra one minute, then seducing the innocent Jackie the next.

He shocks poor Jackie when he kisses her in the garden and then rushes into the house to announce their engagement, even though she has never agreed to marry him. He also willingly participates in his mother's theatrical scenes—both scripted and improvisational—just as his father and sister do. His own artistic inclinations tend toward drawing, and in the final act he brings a new sketch down to show the others.

## Sorel Bliss

Sorel is Judith and David's adult daughter. She is the only member of the Bliss family who expresses any concern about their unorthodox behavior. At the start of the play she laments to her brother that they are all "so awfully bad-mannered" and "never attempt to look after people" and are essentially "abnormal," observations that will be clearly



proven true in the scenes to follow. Sorel, however, is "trying to be better," and so invites a man for the weekend whose perfectly proper behavior is the antithesis of the Blissess' wildly inappropriate actions.

Sorel's attempts at reform are only partly successful, however, as the audience sees when she still regularly takes part in her mother's impromptu dramas. Although she does not truly have deep feelings for Sandy, she pretends she does so her mother can act the part of a betrayed lover who nobly gives away the man she loves. Sandy gets swept up in the moment and confesses his love for Sorel, but she clarifies the situation, telling him, "I was only playing up□one always plays up to mother in this house; it's sort of an unwritten law." This confession shows a change in Sorel's habits; as she tells Sandy further, "A month ago, I should have let you go on believing that, but now I can't□I'm bent on improving myself." Despite her attempts at self-improvement, Sorel remains very much a Bliss: eccentric and unconventional. At the end of the play she and her family are absorbed in their argument about the trivial details of David's novel, oblivious to the departure of the tormented weekend guests.

## Clara

Described in the stage directions as "a hot, round, untidy little woman," Clara is a long-suffering Bliss family employee. Originally Judith's dresser at the theater, she is now the over-taxed family housekeeper. Clara must deal with the imposition of four unexpected weekend guests all by herself because the maid is home sick with a toothache.

## Jackie Coryton

Jackie is the "perfectly sweet flapper" David has invited for the weekend because "she's an abject fool but a useful type" and he wants "to study her a little in domestic surroundings." Described in the stage directions as "small and shingled, with an ingenuous manner," she is shy and ill at ease from the start. She feels awkward making small-talk with Richard when the two are left alone early in the play. Later she is completely confused and embarrassed by the word game but in her embarrassment acts out "winsomely"□i.e. sweetly and innocently□ so well that Sorel still guesses the adverb. She has no idea what to do when Simon suddenly announces their engagement. By the next morning she is so distraught that she bursts into tears when sitting alone at the breakfast table. Completely distressed, she concludes at the end of Act III that the Blissess are "all mad," and is as eager as her fellow visitors to escape from the house.

## Richard Greatham

Richard is the "frightfully well-known diplomatist" Sorel has invited for the weekend. Described in the stage directions as "iron-gray and tall," his instinct for politeness is revealed in his first moments on stage when he manages to keep up some sort of conversation with the shy Jackie while they wait in the hall. Although Sorel admires him



precisely because of his conventional manners, he is drawn to her and her family because they are "so alive and vital and different from other people." He admires Judith's vitality and says he feels "dead" by comparison, but he hardly knows how to respond when after one brief kiss she leaps up and begins announcing plans to leave her husband. Later, when he comes in from the garden to encounter a chaotic scene he unwittingly speaks the line, "Is this a game?" that is the cue for Judith and the kids to launch into the scene from . *Love's Whirlwind* .

## Sandy Tyrell

Sandy is the amateur boxer Judith has invited for the weekend. In her words, he is "a perfect darling, and madly in love with me." But as Sorel says to her, he is just another one of the "silly, callow young men who are infatuated by your name." Described in the stage directions as "fresh-looking " with an unspoiled, youthful sense of honor and rather big hands, owing to a "misplaced enthusiasm for boxing," Sandy has an athletic form that contrasts with Simon's less-developed physique. Having fallen in love with Judith when he saw her on stage, Sandy at first can't believe his good fortune in being her houseguest. He is soon disillusioned, however, by the discovery that she has a husband. Later when he kisses Sorel in the library and is discovered by her mother, he gets swept up in Judith's interpretation of events—that Sorel has stolen him away from Judith—until Sorel admits that it was all just another act. Such strange encounters with the Blisses leave him so unnerved that the next morning he hides in the library when he thinks one of them might be about to enter the room.



# Themes

## Absurdity

Much of the humor in *Hay Fever* derives from the way Coward's characters, despite being placed in ordinary situations, behave in odd and unexpected ways. These eccentricities make typical interactions seem ridiculous to the viewer. The Bliss family leaps to melodramatic and emotional extremes at the slightest provocation, leaving their guests at a loss for how to respond and highlighting the absurdity of social and romantic conventions that might otherwise be accepted as normal. While Coward's exploration of this theme was primarily in the service of entertainment, there are also elements of social criticism in his mocking of conformity.

## Culture Clash

Although the characters in *Hay Fever* (save Clara) belong to the British upper class, they can still be divided into two separate groups, each reflecting a different worldview or "culture." The four members of the Bliss family follow their own unique rules for personal interaction, rules that allow them to slip into fictional roles and act out melodramatic plots whenever the mood strikes them. The four weekend visitors, contrastingly, follow the conventional rules that instruct people to act according to their "real" social roles, behaving in a polite and predictable manner even if this means denying their genuine inclinations or feelings. These two cultures clash over the course of the weekend visit, resulting in the abundance of silly situations that amuse the audience.

## Family

In this play, the members of the Bliss family have problematic relationships with outsiders, yet they are able to interact contentedly—if oddly—among themselves. In a reversal of the typical family drama plot, none of the potential romantic connections with their weekend visitors are able to rival or disrupt familial bonds; a fact that is clearly illustrated in the final scene when the four Blisses sit around the breakfast table absorbed in their own idiosyncratic conversation while their guests slip out unnoticed.

## Illusion vs. Reality

The line between illusion and reality is constantly crossed in the Bliss household. Elements of theater and fiction are freely integrated into everyday life as family conversations slide into dialogue from a play or family members begin to act out melodramatic emotions they do not genuinely feel. But Coward also reveals—through the small deceptions of the "normal" visiting characters—that the "real" world is just as



full of play-acting as the Bliss world—only people accept these everyday illusions in the name of good manners and social convention.

## Individualism

The unusual beliefs and behavior of the Bliss family, which confound their guests and amuse the audience, also reflect an individualistic ideology that celebrates people who rebel against the restraining conventions of society at large. Each Bliss is a unique individual and follows his or her inclinations without considering the opinion of others. Being a homosexual, Coward was particularly sensitive to the narrow definitions of "normal" that society placed on people. His celebration of the Blisses' individuality can be read as a veiled criticism of such prescriptive social mores.



# Style

## Dialogue

Coward was one of the first playwrights of his generation to use naturalistic dialogue, that is, to have his characters speak in the same ordinary phrases that people use in everyday conversation. Earlier dramatists had employed an epigrammatic style, wherein the actors on stage spoke in quotable "epigrams," complex and witty phrases that sound poetic or literary. By contrast, Coward's plays rely on the interaction between charismatic performers to grab attention and the context of a given line to generate laughs. Viewers might not leave the theater quoting a single clever phrase, however, chances are they laughed their way through the actual performance because of the amusing situations depicted on stage.

## Comedy of Manners

In a comedy of manners, humor and interest derive from social interaction and conversation rather than from elaborate or suspenseful plots. Jane Austen's novels and Oscar Wilde's plays, for example, can both be categorized as comedies of manners. *Hay Fever*, with its focus on a series of amusing situations that all take place in one upper class home, is a sophisticated and irreverent adaptation of this comedic form.

## Farce

*Hay Fever* employs many elements of farce, a comic theatrical form in which exaggerated characters find themselves in improbable situations and engage in wordplay and physical humor intended to provoke simple hearty laughter from the audience. Although Coward's play carries a bit more social weight than a traditional farce, it does make use of farcical word games and broadly drawn characters.

## Irony

Many of the humorous comments made by the members of the Bliss family are good examples of dramatic irony. This type of irony comes from situations where the impact of a line or action depends upon the audience being aware of something the character is not. So for example, it is ironic, and therefore funny, when David—who both accepts unusual behavior from his family and behaves quite unconventionally himself—reacts to his guests' surreptitious departure by saying "People really do behave in the most extraordinary manner these days." Although the audience is aware of how David's comment actually describes his own behavior, David himself does not see this and so makes his observation free of self-reflection.



## Juxtaposition

Throughout the play, Coward juxtaposes the carefree unconventional Blisses with their anxious, convention-bound guests. Each new pairing of characters provides an amusing contrast between one of the self-absorbed impulsive family members and an uneasy, confused visitor. These oppositions—both of personality types and personal expectations—produce much of the work's humor.

## Pace

The success of a Coward comedy depends upon the live production maintaining a fast pace. The humor and impact of a play like *Hay Fever* comes partly from the rapid staccato dialogue, the type of syncopated speedy delivery of lines that would later become the hallmark of late-twentieth-century plays by writers like David Mamet (*Glengarry Glen Ross*).

## Romantic Comedy

Coward generates a good deal of humor by disrupting the audience's expectations regarding the traditional plot of the romantic comedy, which is usually a story of a love affair between two people who must overcome obstacles before they can marry—or at least end the play in a happy conclusion. As *Hay Fever* opens, the viewer might expect a plot in which a series of mismatched couples swap partners in order to find happier pairings—in other words the typical romantic comedy plot multiplied by four. Yet Coward thwarts such expectations, making fun of the familiar storylines about illicit love and adulterous spouses during the course of the play and in the end leaving all the members of the Bliss family just as they were when the play started.

## Satire

Satire is a type of humorous critique used in both fiction and drama to ridicule political or social philosophies. *Hay Fever*, with its depiction of self-absorbed bohemian artists and their misguided conventional admirers, can be seen as a gentle satire of the excesses both of pretentious creative people and of the adoring public who indulge such egotistical behavior because these people are famous. This has come to be known as the "cult of personality" or "cult of celebrity," in which famous people are so revered that they are above social reproach.

# Historical Context

In the 1920s, Great Britain experienced political upheaval resulting from the first global war, as well as social transformations resulting from industrialization. Technological innovations also significantly altered the era's cultural landscape. Both the optimism and the anxieties induced by such extreme changes were reflected in the period's art and literature.

Before World War I (1914-1918) there was great optimism in Europe about the future of parliamentary government. After the war, political attitudes were very different. After witnessing both the war's terrible death toll and the perpetual chaos in Post-war continental legislatures, Europeans were more likely to question government action and demand social justice. For Britain in particular, events early in the century underscored the government's vulnerability. The Easter Rising in Dublin (1916), the granting of Irish Independence (1921), and the shootings in India that started Mahatma Ghandi's peace movement (1919), all indicated that the British Empire was no longer invincible.

Meanwhile, unrest on the European continent set in motion events that would culminate in a second world war. The Bolshevik Revolution took place in Russia in 1917. Benito Mussolini assumed dictatorial power in Italy in 1922. Germany, struggling under the burden of World War I reparation payments, experienced rapid inflation of its currency in 1923-24, resulting in worthless money and a demoralized populace. Finding support from a dissatisfied German citizenry, Adolf Hitler reorganized the New Socialist or "Nazi" party and published the first volume of his manifesto, *Mein Kampf*, in 1925; his rise to power in the next decade would set the stage for World War II.

The first World War also altered the international economic order. In 1914, most of Europe's economies depended on Great Britain and Germany. By the time the fighting stopped in 1918, the United States had become the main economic power. In the period between the wars, England would have to adapt to industrialized modes of production—factories that used assembly lines and electric power—and the resulting loss of jobs. The country suffered severe unemployment, with as many as two million people out of work in 1921-1922 and still a million unemployed in 1925. A lot of these people had lost coal mining jobs, and the miners would go on to lead a massive protest, known as the General Strike in 1926. These events augured the world economic crisis of 1929-1932 (a global event that manifested itself as the Great Depression in America).

Despite its economic difficulties, the British government had to meet the Postwar expectations of its people, who demanded more social services and greater civil rights. In the early-twentieth century, English legislative acts reflected changing perceptions of the rights of workers and the role of women. The 1911 National Insurance Act established some medical coverage and unemployment benefits for workers, while the 1925 Pensions Act set aside retirement funds for them. World War I brought many women into the national workforce, making them less dependent on male wage-earners and more willing to assert their property rights. Although the Divorce Bill (1902) and Female Enfranchisement Bill (1907) had taken some steps to empower women,



significant changes only came after the war. It was not until 1918 that British women who met age and property requirements got the vote.

During the following decade women continued to agitate for full suffrage, which was finally won in 1928. Many of those involved in the suffrage debate were dubbed "New Women," women associated both positively and negatively with personal independence, unconventional attitudes, and less-restrictive fashions. The image of the high-spirited "flapper" wearing loose-waisted dresses with skirts above the knee was often equated with this newly liberated female role.

The daily life and attitudes of all British people changed a great deal in the first decades of the twentieth century. Rapid urbanization took the majority of citizens away from the country. By 1911, 80% of the population of England and Wales lived in urban areas. Despite periods of crisis, there was a general rise in the standard of living. This increase in national income allowed people to spend more on luxuries; the demand for non-essential goods went up accordingly. There was also a great increase in literacy as school attendance became mandatory across Europe.

Thanks to innovations in communications media, even those no longer in school had greater access to all kinds of information. Radio and cinema became significant political and cultural influences. Right before the war the British silent film industry was thriving; there were six hundred cinemas in Greater London in 1913. After 1918, Hollywood-left largely unaffected by the fighting-dominated film production. Although Europeans like Sergei Eisenstein made great artistic innovations in the field, the United States industry had the money to produce costly extravaganzas like *Ben Hur* (1926) and establish world-wide stars such as Charlie Chaplin, who was, ironically, British.

The first American radio broadcast took place in 1920, the first British in 1922, inaugurating an era of mass persuasion. In the years between the two world wars, cinema, radio, and microphones became powerful communication tools manipulated by monolithic fascist and communist parties to incite public responses. Dictators used propaganda films and large public meetings to inspire the same kind of hero-worship elicited by movie stars.

All these developments created great hopes as well as great fears, both of which were articulated by the period's artists. The modern writers of the 1920s—including Americans F. Scott Fitzgerald (*The Great Gatsby*) and Ernest Hemingway (*For Whom the Bell Tolls*) and Britons James Joyce (*Ulysses*) and Virginia Woolf (*To the Lighthouse*)—broke with traditional novel form, emphasizing individual thought and expressing the alienation felt by the Post-war generation. Visual artists—such as the European painters Wassily Kandinsky, Paul Klee, Henri Matisse, and Pablo Picasso—also experimented with new techniques, developing the non-representational forms of abstraction and cubism.

At the same time aesthetic movements like Art Deco, a popular style in 1920s furniture, clothing and architecture, optimistically embraced modern materials and designs. A similarly positive tone carried through the music of composers such as Aaron Copland and George Gershwin. Although the era Fitzgerald dubbed the "Jazz Age" and W. H.

Auden called the "Age of Anxiety" was marked by a loss of faith in society, the incredible creative output of the time shows a continuing faith in the power of art.

## Critical Overview

Throughout his career, Coward was generally praised as a skillful dramatist capable of constructing well-balanced comedies filled with natural-sounding dialogue and broadly humorous situations. Even those who criticized his work as being too trivial and lacking in deep meaning have usually acknowledged his plays as entertaining, which is precisely what Coward intended them to be. Today the playwright's critical reputation rests largely on his comedies of manners written between the two World Wars, works—including *Hay Fever*—that capture the sophisticated, irreverent and high-spirited mood of 1920s elite society.

When *Hay Fever* premiered in 1925, some critics like James Agate, the reviewer for London's *Sunday Times*, complained that the play offered neither a useful moral nor admirable personalities. As Agate wrote, "There is neither health nor cleanness about any of Mr. Coward's characters, who are still the same vicious babies sprawling upon the floor of their unwholesome creche." Yet even this critic had to acknowledge that "it would be foolish to insist upon attacking this play on the score of truth or morality. ... As a piece of brilliant, impudent, and sustained fooling the play is very pleasant entertainment." The 1925 critical consensus supported this final observation, that *Hay Fever*, though certainly not educational, was undeniably entertaining.

Many of Coward's contemporaries underestimated the extent to which the play would continue to appeal to later generations of theatergoers. Some thought the casual dialogue would rapidly become dated. While others, like Agate, anticipated that the play would only be favored by a "purely Metropolitan audience." Yet such predictions have proved false. *Hay Fever* is still frequently performed for late-twentieth century audiences. In professional revivals, as well as community and college theater productions, its jokes remain fresh, garnering laughs from a wide range of viewers. Audiences today seem to agree with the assessment expressed by Coward's fellow writer W. Somerset Maugham in his introduction to the 1929 collection *Bitter Sweet and Other Plays*, that *Hay Fever* is a "masterpiece in miniature."

In the past three decades, productions of the play have consistently earned critical praise. In 1965, Penelope Gilliatt complimented a version of *Hay Fever* "immaculately revived by the author" himself. Writing for Harper's in 1982, John Lahr expressed his view that *Hay Fever* is "Coward's finest light comedy." A 1985 production elicited similarly positive reviews. Clive Barnes, in the *New York Post*, noted that this brilliant revival of Coward's play reclaimed the playwright's "reputation as a major twentieth-century playwright" and gave the work the "patina of a classic." Although Frank Rich may have complained in his 1985 *New York Times* review that *Hay Fever* has "skin-deep characters, little plot, no emotional weight or redeeming social value and very few lines that sound funny out of context," like many critics before him he acknowledged that it was "unlikely" that the audience would "stop laughing and start thinking" long enough to notice. By contrast, Jack Kroll argued in *Newsweek* that this "timeless comedy of ill manners" actually "isn't superficial," but rather "it's *about* superficiality."



Literary historians now rank *Hay Fever* among Coward's most enduring works. In 1964, A. C. Ward in his *Twentieth-Century English Literature, 1901-1960* identified it as a "first-rate comedy." While in 1996, Jean Cothia in her *English Drama of the Early Modern Period, 1890-1940* expressed the generally agreed upon scholarly view that "where Coward makes his continuing claim to attention is in his wonderfully symmetrical comedies of egoism, desire and bad manners: *Hay Fever* (1925), *Private Lives* (1931), and *Blithe Spirit* (1941)."

Starting in the 1970s, some critics also began to place Coward's works in the context of the homosexual literary tradition. When Coward died in 1973 near the time when a famous gay producer, Hugh Beaumont, also passed away, one columnist in the *Spectator* wrote that although the two men's deaths did not mean "the whole edifice of homosexual domination of the British theatre will come tumbling down," the "loss of these two pillars" does make the "structure ... look a little less secure."

But in the succeeding decades, gay issues and identity in the theater as in the rest of society were more freely acknowledged.

In the increasingly less restrictive academic world of the 1980s and 1990s, critics have begun to explore possible homosexual themes and perspectives in Coward's comedies, observing how plays like *Hay Fever* mock heterosexual romance, allow characters to form unorthodox connections, and generally flaunt conventions of all kinds. Lahr, in his 1982 book *Coward the Playwright*, even went so far as to argue that Coward has an "essentially homosexual vision."

The main reason Coward's reputation remains secure at the end of the twentieth century, however, seems to be that the sophisticated humor of well-crafted plays like *Hay Fever* still provide the sort of light entertainment that pleases audiences. As Cothia observed, when "performed with panache by a team of actors ... skilled in delivery of the well-bred insults and discourteous frankness that characterize the staccato dialogue," Coward's comedies "are works that perceive the absurdities of sexual relationship and social organization," allowing us to gently laugh at ourselves.

# Criticism

- Critical Essay #1
- Critical Essay #2
- Critical Essay #3
- Critical Essay #4



# Critical Essay #1

*Kreger is a doctoral candidate at the University of California, Davis, and has served as a guest lecturer at the Johannes Gutenberg University in Mainz, Germany. In this essay she discusses how Coward's comedic touch in Hay Fever reveals the artifice of both social and theatrical conventions, putting a uniquely humorous spin on the anxiety over loss of meaning expressed so seriously by many of his modernist contemporaries.*

"None of us ever mean anything." So the character Sorel Bliss describes her family in the second act of Noel Coward's 1925 comedy of manners *Hay Fever*. In context, her words explain the Blissesses' endless play-acting, the cause of the work's humorously chaotic situations. Yet her statement also echoes the cultural anxiety expressed in many other forums during the post-World War I era, a time when many artists articulated concerns about the increasing hollowness and meaninglessness of the modern world. Disillusioned by the awful realities of total war, influenced by new psychological and scientific theories, and dissatisfied with traditional aesthetic forms, modernist writers such as James Joyce and Virginia Woolf experimented with stream of consciousness narratives and contemplated the frightening possibility of an unstable world where all reality was relative, constructed by the subjective view of an individual. Such deep and dreary thoughts might seem unrelated to Coward's light and sophisticated comedy, yet the very same idea that was a source of anxiety for such artists serves as the main source of humor in *Hay Fever*.

Coward's perfectly balanced play places the four unrestrained and idiosyncratic members of the Bliss family opposite the four unimaginative and conventional people they have invited for the weekend. During the course of the resulting comedic action, the playwright pokes fun at artistic pretensions as well as ordinary habits, revealing the artifice inherent in both. In the midst of all the good fun, we find a subtle critique of not only excessive individualism but also hypocritical propriety. The unsuspecting visitors arrive at the country house expecting to be entertained by their vibrant and celebrated hosts but instead end up feeling tricked and tortured as the Blissesses repeatedly profess false emotions and create imaginary relationships.

This unexpected behavior confuses the guests, who are unsure how to respond in the face of such irrational behavior. It is not—as some critics suggest—that they find themselves unable to distinguish between truth and illusion. Rather, they recognize fairly quickly that they are witnessing "acts" of different kinds, but they resist changing the accepted social rules about when and where it is appropriate to "act." So it is not that the visitors fail to see that the Blissesses are speaking untruths and constructing false situations; it is more that the guests have trouble figuring out why this is happening all of a sudden in the living room. In a theater, they would know what such actions meant. Removed from the traditional dramatic arena, however, they do not know what anything means. Coward skillfully turns this loss of meaning into a joke. He plays with the audience's definition of what is "real" and what is "illusion," ironically revealing that perhaps there is more honesty in the Blissesses' unapologetic theatricality than in their guests' repressed normalcy.



The comedy's situational humor comes from the juxtaposition of these two contrasting modes of behavior; yet each is equally open to ridicule. *Hay Fever* depicts a world devoid of true meaning or genuine feeling, taking modernist fears to a ludicrous extreme and cleverly making us laugh at the futility and falseness of it all.

Judith and David Bliss are celebrities, she having won fame as a stage actress, he as a romance novelist. Each understands, just as Coward himself did, what the public expects and how to live up to these expectations. Long before theorists began writing about the idea of personality as a fictional construct, Coward was well aware of the artificiality of public identity, having taken great pains to construct his own. As Christopher Innes commented in *Modern British Drama, 1890-1990*, many commentators have considered "Coward's public image—the appearance of upper class elegance, inscrutable poise, cocktail party wit elevated to epigram—to be the most brilliant of his artistic creations. Like Oscar Wilde, Coward is judged to have put his genius into his life" as well as to have "notoriously put his personality into his plays—writing major roles for himself to act—and the characters he played were a pose that disguised the reality of his life."

In the early-twentieth century, with the increasing variety and availability of all kinds of communication media, performance was no longer restricted to the stage. Whether in a radio interview, a fan magazine photo, or a newspaper gossip column, celebrity images were widely distributed. The public had a sense of what a given star was like; they expected the star—in person or in performance—to live up to that image. In *Hay Fever*, Judith acknowledges this dynamic. As she says, "it isn't me really, it's my Celebrated Actress glamor" that her young infatuated visitor loves, but this does not trouble her a bit. To her, theatrical glamor is as good as the real thing. It is of no matter if she is not truly beautiful because, as she tells her children, "I made thousands think I was." If a good act earns the same rewards as the genuine article, what is the difference? The public is ready to accept false images, so long as they are pleasing. Celebrity itself has an attraction that for some reason goes beyond talent or substance.

Although Judith admits the plays that made her famous were often terrible, and David states plainly that he writes "bad novels," each still garners wealth and admiration. Sandy has fallen in love with Judith's on-stage persona; and Myra has been longing to meet David because she likes his books. Coward underscores that the Blisses are not true artists, but merely spoiled and egoistic celebrities. The play emphasizes how misguided their young admirers are to be fooled by unsubstantial public images.

Coward takes pains to show that the Blisses are not creative individuals who deserve to have their eccentricities indulged for the sake of their great art. He stresses that they produce nothing of value, are utterly self-absorbed, and possess no personal philosophy beyond enjoying themselves. Unlike the admirable individualists of the American literary tradition who cause no harm and serve as potential role models for others, the Blisses do cause harm and could care less. They exploit their privilege to amuse themselves and torment others, avoiding responsibility through affecting absent-mindedness and blindness.





Judith claims that "if dabbling gives me pleasure, I don't see why I shouldn't dabble." But the play reveals that others might be able to offer her many reasons why she should not dabble with people's lives. Judith may say that the arrival of a houseful of unexpected guests was inevitable since "everything that happens is fate," but the housekeeper Clara—who suffers the consequences of all the extra work—correctly adds that it is "more like arrant selfishness." Just like the unrepentant characters who sing the song "Regency Rakes" in Coward's 1934 play *Conversation Piece*, the Blisses could say of themselves, "each of us takes/A personal pride/In the thickness of hide/That prevents us from seeing/How vulgar we're being."

Sorel's attempts at self-reform throw into stark relief the absolute blindness—or thickness of hide—of the rest of the family. She knows she is "entirely lacking in restraint," thanks to being raised by parents who have "spent their lives cultivating their arts and not devoting any time to ordinary conventions and manners and things," but her efforts at improvement are only partly successful.

Although Sorel, in her desire to change, is charmed by her guest Richard's proper and conventional manners, neither he nor the other visitors are held up as a particularly appealing alternative to the Blisses. While the hosts bring spectacle and drama into ordinary life, the visitors engage in the everyday theater of "good manners," hiding their true feelings and motives behind polite behavior. Each has accepted the weekend invitation with some kind of personal agenda, hoping to meet a celebrity or consummate a romance (or both). Sandy tells Judith, "I've been planning to know you for ages." Myra confesses she accepted Simon's invitation only to meet David. Such plans and schemes are comically thwarted by the Blisses' inconvenient spontaneity.

Coward contrasts the Blisses' lack of self-awareness with the outsiders' self-consciousness: Richard's careful propriety, Jackie's painful shyness, Sandy's awkward nervousness, Myra's worldly calculation. The visitors' false presentation of self is paired with their false perception of their hosts. Richard expresses the romanticized view that seems to have attracted them all to the house; he thinks the Blisses are "a very Bohemian family," "so alive and vital and different than other people." But perhaps the visitors are not so very different from their hosts after all. Complimenting Richard, Sorel unwittingly makes clear that his ways are no more transparently understandable than her mother's over-the-top theatricality. She tells him, "You always do the right thing, and no one knows a bit what you're really thinking." Later Judith comments on what she views as his excessive restraint, saying "do stop being noncommittal." Coward makes being habitually noncommittal seem as false as offering phony expressions of commitment. By the end of the play, the audience might acknowledge that Myra's angry description of her hosts could just as well apply to them all: "You're the most infuriating set of hypocrites I've ever seen. This house is a complete featherbed of false emotions—you're posing, self-centered egotists."

Yet the very self-centered, egocentric behavior that torments the on-stage guests, entertains the on-looking audience. Although outrageous and unpredictable acts cause problems in daily life, they remain the stuff of good theater. So although *Hay Fever* reflects what could be a frightening concept—the total absence of meaning in modern



life□ the play remains in comedic territory because its substanceless spectacle is safely contained in the sphere of performance. The audience gets a pleasurable voyeuristic glimpse of the leisure-class, while also getting to feel comfortably superior when noticing the foibles the characters do not recognize in themselves.

Although the viewers, too, might engage in daily deceptions and worship substanceless celebrities, the extreme scenarios on stage seem removed from their lives, keeping the parody from hitting too close to home. If, as Coward wrote in his lyrics to the 1923 song "London Calling," "Life is nothing but a game of make-believe," then the world would be as pointless and chaotic as the Bliss household. But having perfected the art of maintaining comic distance, the playwright is able to offer gentle critique in an entertaining, rather than alarming, package.

Coward himself□despite repeatedly stating he had no intention for his plays to do anything more than make people laugh□at times admitted that his work did address more substantial themes. In 1925 he wrote that he wanted his plays to deal with "the hard facts of existence," to "concentrate on psychological impulses" and to "enlighten." Later, in a 1956 diary entry, he noted "I am a better writer than I am given credit for being. It is fairly natural that my writing should be casually appreciated because my personality, performances, music, and legend get in the way. Some day ... my works may be adequately assessed."

Today, when we place a play such as *Hay Fever* in historical context, it is possible to acknowledge not only Coward's skills in constructing situational comedy but also his clever response to the literary and philosophical debates of his era.

**Source:** ErikaM. Kreger, for *Drama for Students*, Gale, 1999.



## Critical Essay #2

*In the following essay, Connolly provides an overview and brief history of Coward's play.*

The Bliss family has invited four intimate friends down to their place in Cookham, meaning to seduce their guests. However the Blisses end up abandoning them. The Blisses live in their own world, a realm which has precious little to do with external reality and the visitors to it are so completely bewildered that they end up seriously pondering whether they actually will be served tea at "tea-time". Driven to starvation by their hosts' indifference and to distraction by their antics the guests unceremoniously depart. "How very rude!" exclaims Mrs. Bliss on hearing that they have done so.

Nothing is supposed to happen over a weekend and in this play Coward takes this social dictum to absurdly comic levels. A retired actress, Judith Bliss, will have absolutely nothing to do with the care and feeding of her guests, the only things that seem to interest her are a word game of her own creation called "Adverbs" and the replaying of scenes from her old stage vehicles over and over again. Coward's choice of a word game whose rules are incommunicable artfully telegraphs the point of his play: one cannot learn the rules of life; one must simply have them. The Bliss menage creates its own milieu through a family code whose idiom is basically theatrical. Even though her children appear to loathe their mother's self-indulgent theatrics, they effortlessly feed her her "lines", practically on cue.

In its way the comedy is a sort of social reportage. Coward has identified the source of *Hay Fever* as being the evenings he spent at the Riverside Drive apartment of the actress Laurette Taylor in New York City, during which Miss Taylor subjected her guests to frenzied parlor games.

Thus on one level, *Hay Fever* presents us with an ancient and even severe situation: the observance of the laws of hospitality. Granted that in the beau-monde context of the play the laws of hospitality have degenerated into mere social graces, but rarely has the gracious living of high society been shown to be as graceless as it is in *Hay Fever*. For what we see and hear in this play is the merest lip service courtesy, indeed the laws of hospitality are flagrantly flouted, decorum is ignored, etiquette non-existent. No one is adequately or even inadequately introduced; no provisions are made for the feeding of guests; people wander in and out indiscriminately; conversations are interrupted and there is hardly a trace of civility. The Blisses do not even go through the motions of wanting to be polite; they are so staggeringly self-obsessed as to be completely incapable of legitimate social exchange. They treat everyone else as supernumeraries in the theatrical extravaganza of their lives.

One of Coward's cleverer devices is the bedroom controversy that develops among the Bliss family when each member finally realizes that the other has invited someone down for the weekend. Something called "The Japanese Room" is the coveted sleeping chamber and each member of the family desires it for his or her own particular guest. Each tries to palm off a place called "Little Hell" on the other's chosen companion. We



become privy to this information during a dialogue between Sorel Bliss and her brother Simon—with their mother presiding—which elegantly limns the barbarous nature of their way of life. Coward sets up the discussion as a sort of apologia for indecency. We learn that the Blisses are quite proud of their ways and believe themselves to be a breed apart.

It is this "otherness" that reflects Coward's own feelings about the way of the world in the early 20th century. John Lahr has said that Coward's comedies focus on a "talentocracy" whose self-awareness stems from its feeling of difference from, and indifference to, the traditional aristocracy's modes. In *Hay Fever* Coward shows how this self-conscious differentiation becomes self-propelling. As Sorel says of her parents, they have: "spent their lives cultivating their arts and not devoting any time to ordinary conventions and manners and things"—note that "arts" is modified with a possessive.

The playwright himself was the first person to admit that *Hay Fever* is awfully short on plot development or even action. In his introduction to *Hay Fever (Play Parade, 1)* Coward goes so far as to call it "one of the most difficult plays to perform that I have encountered". He goes on to posit that the work is wholly dependent upon "the expert technique" of its performers. If the dialogue is delivered with any archness—for example should the actress playing Myra Aryundel indicate in the slightest that she knows beforehand that her "haddock is disgusting"—the play will become insufferable. The characters must never revel in their "upper-class ellipticalness" (to use Robert Kiernan's phrase). They must simply take it for granted.

Coward's tenets for comic play writing are most cogently illustrated by *Hay Fever*. It does not achieve its effects via epigrammatic flourishes, but rather by simple phrases being delivered in very complicated situations. Taken out of context the "laugh lines" are vacuous, but within the dramatic situation of the play they are hilarious.

In 1964 the National Theatre chose this play to be the first work that it presented by a living English dramatist. Coward directed the revival himself and its success started a tremendous renewal of interest in his works.

**Source:** Thomas F. Connolly, " *Hay Fever*" in *The International Dictionary of Theatre*, Volume 1: Plays, edited by Mark Hawkins-Dady, St. James Press, 1992 pp. 318-19.



## Critical Essay #3

*In this excerpt, Gill provides a brief history of Coward's inspiration for writing *Hay Fever* in addition to offering a positive appraisal of a 1985 revival of the play at the Music Box theatre.*

Noël Coward's '*Hay Fever*' has found a perfect home for itself at that exquisite toy of a theatre the Music Box (designed in 1921 for Irving Berlin and his partner, Sam H. Harris, by the celebrated theatre architect C. Howard Crane). Moreover, in Michael H. Yeargan the play has found the perfect designer for the setting that Coward called for—a country house in Berkshire, not far from London and with a view, in fair weather, of the pleasing green valley of the Thames. Mr. Yeargan has provided an interior straight out of Voysey, with leaded-glass French doors opening onto a garden, an arts-and-crafts oak staircase, plenty of chintz-covered overstuffed furniture, and a pantry door that is constantly swinging open and shut at the prompting of perky Clara, a much put-upon maid-of-all-work (played with appropriately vulgar brio by Barbara Bryne). The felicity with which the architecture onstage marries the architecture of the theatre itself is a symbol of the felicity of the occasion as a whole; this is an ideal production of "*Hay Fever*," and I wish it the longest possible run.

Coward wrote "*Hay Fever*" in 1924, when he was not yet twenty-five (the next year, he would have five shows running simultaneously in London, including "*Hay Fever*"). His inspiration for the comedy sprang, so he reported years later, from weekends he had spent at the summer place on Long Island of the playwright Hartley Manners and his wife, the actress Laurette Taylor. The Mannerses' hospitality was notably errant and intermittent, though well intended, and Coward in the charming, self-delighting, and mischievously flirtatious Judith Bliss sketches a benignly exaggerated portrait of Miss Taylor. To play an outrageous person who is also an actress is for any actress a delectable opportunity, and Rosemary Harris as Judith Bliss makes the most of it. In the course of a sensationally amusing performance, there is scarcely a theatrical trick she doesn't stoop to, but then Judith Bliss/Laurette Taylor was evidently someone who stopped at nothing in the way of stoops. Enslaved by her wiles are Judith's self-important husband (Roy Dotrice), her burgeoning daughter (Mia Dillon), and her rather giddy son (Robert Joy).

The structure of the play consists, as so often in Coward, of a social symmetry placed in jeopardy and then more or less successfully patted back into temporary balance, if not restored. Unbeknownst to the others, each of the Bliss family has invited a guest from London for the weekend: an innocent young man (Campbell Scott) who has fallen in love with Mrs. Bliss, or thinks he has; a somewhat older man, a diplomat (Charles Kimbrough), who is perhaps ready to fall in love with the daughter; a fey flapper (Deborah Rush) whom the father has summoned to his side and then forgotten; and a slinky woman of the world (Carolyn Seymour) with whom the son is infatuated. The guests are understandably dismayed by the reception they are subjected to; little by little, they begin to establish new relationships with their assorted hosts and one another. The play ends with the Bliss family locked in combat at the breakfast table



while the guests make good their escape. That is the scanty sum of *Hay Fever*, and yet it suffices; Coward's high spirits (and evident delight in his talent) turn what appears at first to be a mere sparkling and sputtering pin-wheel into a quite substantial work of art. The witty period costumes are by Jennifer von Mayrhauser, the lighting is by Arden Fingerhut, and the superlative direction is by Brian Murray.

**Source:** Brendan Gill, "Country Pleasures" in the *New Yorker*, Vol. LXI, no. 44, December 23, 1985, p. 44.



## Critical Essay #4

*Clurman is a highly respected literary critic and theatrical director. In this review, he offers the opinion that Coward's play is a distinctly British work that holds little appeal for American audiences.*

It was Shaw, I believe, who said that America and England were two countries separated by the same language. I thought of the remark at the performance of Noel Coward's 1925 play *Hay Fever* at the Helen Hayes Theatre. I am not a theatrical chauvinist, but it has struck me on several occasions that certain English plays had best be left to the English. Coward's plays are among them.

The trouble with the present revival of *Hay Fever* is not confined to its lack of English actors, but that is part of it. The proper way to speak Coward's lines is to appear unaware of and superior to them, to pretend that they have not been spoken at all.

The American, no matter how hard he tries to be casual or inexpressive in speaking Coward's witticisms, can't help seeming to mean them. And they shouldn't be meant: they should, ever so lightly, be "assumed." An American who tries to take on the Coward guise becomes false and hoity-toity. But Coward's artifice is a reality, a habit of mind and spirit so fixed that it becomes not second but very nearly "first" nature.

If any Americans are able to approximate the manner successfully, none of them is in the cast of *Hay Fever*. Shirley Booth is a natural comedian and often a touching character actress, but she is not a *poseuse*, an actressy actress. Her forte is the middle middle class, and she is out of her element in this play. Worse still, everyone and everything else—including the set and clothes—are misplaced. Carole Shelley, who is English and capable of authentic cockney speech, replaces her person by a characterization which seems to precede her entrance on the stage.

Still, the play retains some of its inherent attributes and arouses occasional laughter. I was shocked some years ago to read in Ronald Bryden's column of theatre criticism in the London *Observer* that he thought Coward England's finest living playwright. In a sense it is so: if the term means to indicate the deftest of stage craftsmen with a marked persona typical of certain aspects of English society and something of a mocking commentary on it. Coward's trick is to mask his own approval and enjoyment of what he is doing in an attitude of indifference. One is never sure how much of this is calculated and how much the real thing.

**Source:** Harold Clurman, review of *Hay Fever* in the *Nation*, Vol. 211, no. 18, November 30, 1970, p. 572.

# Adaptations

A videorecording titled *Hay Fever: A High British Comedy* was produced by the George Washington University (Washington, DC) Department of Theatre and Dance in 1995. It is available on two VHS videocassettes running 110 minutes. This college production was directed by Nathan Garner and features the actors Carole Stover, John F. Degen, Maura Miller, Brian Coleman, Kristiana Knight, Alan Goy, Kerry Washington, Michael Laurino, and Rachel Flehinger.

Another videorecorded production of *Hay Fever* is included on tape number seven of the Theater Department Productions 1989 VHS video series from Seton Hill College in Greensburg, Pennsylvania.

A sound recording of a radio play adaption of *Hay Fever* featuring actors Peggy Ashcroft, Tony Britten, Millicent Martin, Julia Foster, and Maurice Denham is included in the 1988 British Broadcasting Corporation Enterprises audio collection entitled *A Noel Coward Double Bill*. These two analog cassettes run 180 minutes and also contain a sound recording of *Private Lives*. The tapes were distributed in the United States by the Novato, California-based Mind's Eye Co.

The Radio Yesteryear company of Sandy Hook, Connecticut, released a sound recording of *Hay Fever* featuring actors Everett Sloan and Ann Burr. First broadcast as a radio play on June 3, 1947, this audio version was released in 1986 as volume forty-six of the Radiobook series.

Although *Hay Fever* has yet to be adapted into a feature film, at least seventeen of Coward's other plays and screenplays were made into movies between 1927 and 1987, including a 1946 British production of *Blithe Spirit* directed by David Lean, and a 1931 Hollywood version of *Private Lives* directed by Sidney Franklin and starring Norma Shearer and Robert

Montgomery. Additionally, Coward acted in at least twelve films, including director Richard Quine's *Paris When it Sizzles*, a 1931 feature starring Audrey Hepburn. A complete Coward filmography is available in the Internet Movie Database at <http://us.imdb.com>.



## Topics for Further Study

Several critics have commented upon the strong connections between *Hay Fever* and Edward Albee's 1962 play *Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?* Compare and contrast these two works, considering how each playwright takes a similar situation and setting and develops it to very different effect.

Both Coward as an individual and the plays he wrote are often associated with 1920s "Bohemian " culture. Research the meaning and evolution of the term "Bohemian" in early twentieth-century western culture. Once you have a good sense of what the term means, consider whether it accurately describes the worldview of Coward and his characters.

In addition to being a playwright, Coward was a talented composer and lyricist. Locate and listen to some recordings of Coward's songs. Then consider how the lyrics and melodies of the music relate to the theme and tone of *Hay Fever*.

More so than some artists, Coward is considered to have revealed facets of his personality in his plays. Research Coward's biography—including both his public persona and his private existence as a closeted homosexual—and then consider the question of how his comedies, including *Hay Fever*, might be seen to reflect his character and/or life experience.





## Compare and Contrast

**1925:** It is the height of the modernist period in literature, numerous books later considered classics are published. These works include Theodore Dreiser's *An American Tragedy*, Willa Cather's *The Professor's House*, T. S. Eliot's *The Hollow Men*, F. Scott Fitzgerald's *The Great Gatsby*, Ernest Hemingway's *In Our Time*, Gertrude Stein's *The Making of Americans*, and Virginia Woolf's *Mrs. Dalloway*. The 1925 Pulitzer Prize for fiction goes to Edna Ferber for her novel *So Big*.

**Today:** Recent British and American books that have earned praise include Alice McDermott's novel about ill-fated romance and family deception, *Charming Billy*, which won the National Book Award; Ian McEwan's exploration of personal intrigue and public humiliation, *Amsterdam*, winner of the Booker Prize; Phillip Roth's examination of a father-daughter relationship in the turbulent 1960s, *American Pastoral*, honored with the Pulitzer Prize for fiction; and Rafi Zabor's uniquely humorous story about a talking saxophone-playing animal, *The Bear Comes Home*, which received the PEN/Faulkner Award for fiction.

**1925:** The Charleston—a jittery, kinetic dance performed with a partner to music with a staccato, syncopated 4/4 rhythm gains great popularity. Although originating in Charleston, South Carolina, the dance soon became an international trend and, along with flappers, became emblematic of the "Jazz Age" of the mid-1920s.

**Today:** After two decades in which rock and rap music dominated popular music, a revival of swing music and dancing is taking place in many parts of America and Europe. Partner dancing—including the lindy-hop, a variation on the Charleston developed in the 1930s—has made a comeback with American youth, and remakes of bigband swing tunes are appearing on the top-ten record charts.

**1925:** American writer Anita Loos publishes *Gentlemen Prefer Blondes*, which was made into a film in 1928. This popular novel's main character, Lorelei Lee, provides the prototype for the caricature of the "dumb blonde" that would resurface in many books, shows, and movies throughout the second half of the century; beginning in the late-1950s, film star Marilyn Monroe would come to epitomize the dumb blonde.

**Today:** In the latest variation on this theme, writer-director Tom DiCillo's 1998 independent film *The Real Blonde*, starring Matthew Modine, Daryl Hannah, and Catherine Keener, offers a witty critique of the cultural ideal of feminine beauty and the "dumb blonde" stereotype. Modern culture has mostly abandoned the dumb blonde stereotype, though it does occasionally reappear.

**1925:** Nellie Taylor Ross is elected governor of Wyoming, the first woman to be elected to such a post in the United States. Margaret Thatcher, who later became Britain's first woman Prime Minister (in 1975), is born this same year.



**Today:** Although the number of female politicians still does not begin to adequately represent the number of female voters in either America or Europe, women continue to be elected and appointed to high office. In 1993, for example, Janet Reno was appointed the first female Attorney General of the United States, and in 1998 she became the first person in the modern era to hold the post for more than five years; serving in the same Clinton presidential cabinet, Madeleine Albright becomes the first female secretary of state in 1997.

## What Do I Read Next?

*The Collected Short Stories*, a 1962 collection that brings together all of Coward's short fiction. Like his better plays, the author's short stories showcase his skill with wordplay and considerable wit.

Poems by Dorothy Parker, an American contemporary of Coward's. Her work also captures much of the same irreverent wit and high energy that defined the 1920s artistic world in which they both circulated. Two notable collections are *Enough Rope* and *Death and Taxes*.

*Private Lives*, Coward's 1929 comedy about a divorced couple who meet again when both are honeymooning with new spouses. As in *Hay Fever*, the main characters' behavior befuddles and confuses their new spouses. Some consider this to be Coward's best play.

*Pygmalion*, a play by influential British playwright George Bernard Shaw. The story deals with the transformation of a lower-class woman to fit upper-class ideals; it was later adapted into the popular stage musical and film *My Fair Lady*.

*Quicksand*, Nella Larsen's 1928 novel depicts the "Roaring '20s" from another perspective, that of a black woman trying to negotiate her racial identity as she travels between Europe and America.

*Who's Afraid of Virginia Woolf?*, a 1962 award-winning play by Edward Albee that is much indebted to *Hay Fever* for its dramatic situation of a married couple tormenting guests who do not understand the familial tensions and deceptions that are being played out in front of the them. Unlike Coward's play, however, Albee's work also deals with a deeper theme of marital discord.

## Further Study

Coward, Noel. *Present Indicative* Doubleday, 1937. This first volume of Coward's autobiography covers his youth and early career up to 1931.

Hoare, Philip. *Noel Coward: A Biography*, University of Chicago Press, 1998.

This well-researched biography of Coward offers a good balance of insight into his private life and discussion of his literary works.

Payne, Graham, with Barry Day. *My Life with Noel Coward*, Applause Theater Books, 1997.

This memoir by Coward's longtime companion provides both a detailed personal portrait of the playwright and excerpts from his previously unpublished writings.

Payne, Graham, and Sheidan Morley, editors. *The Noel Coward Diaries*, Little, Brown, 1982

Although clearly written with publication in mind, these diaries give the reader further examples of Coward's sophisticated wit and unconventional opinions.



# Bibliography

Agate, James. Review of *Hay Fever* reprinted in *Red Letter Nights*, Jonathan Cape, 1944, pp. 240-42.

Barnes, Clive. "For Rosemary Harris □ Love & Gesund-heit!" in the *New York Post*, December 13, 1985.

Cothia, Jean. "Noel Coward" in her *English Drama of the Early Modern Period, 1890-1940*, Longman, 1996, pp. 101-02.

Coward, Noel. Introduction to *Three Plays*, Benn, 1925, pp. viii-ix.

Coward, Noel. Introduction to *Play Parade Vol. I*, Doubleday, Doran, 1933.

Gilliatt, Penelope. "Coward Revived" in her *Unholy Fools: Wits, Comics, Disturbers of the Peace: Film and Theater*, Viking, 1973, pp. 242-43.

Innes, Christopher. "Noel Coward (1899-1973): Comedy as Social Image" in his *Modern British Drama, 1890-1990*, Cambridge University Press, 1992, pp. 238-60.

Kroll, Jack. "Serving up the Guests" in *Newsweek*, Vol. 106, no.26, December 23, 1985, p. 77

Lahr, John. *Coward the Playwright*, Methuen, 1982, pp. 66-68.

Lahr, John. "The Politics of Charm" in *Harper's*, Vol. 265, no. 1589, October, 1982, pp. 64-68.

Maugham, W. Somerset. Introduction to *Bitter Sweet and Other Plays*, Doubleday, 1928, pp. v-xiii.

Rich, Frank. "'Hay Fever,' Noel Coward Comedy" in the *New York Times*, December 13, 1985, p. C3.

Ward, A. C. *Twentieth-Century English Literature 1901-1960*, Methuen University Paperbacks, 1964, pp. 131-32.

Waspé, Will. "A World Suddenly Less Gay" in the *Spectator*, March 31, 1973, pp. 399-400.



# Copyright Information

This Premium Study Guide is an offprint from *Drama for Students*.

## **Project Editor**

David Galens

## **Editorial**

Sara Constantakis, Elizabeth A. Cranston, Kristen A. Dorsch, Anne Marie Hacht, Madeline S. Harris, Arlene Johnson, Michelle Kazensky, Ira Mark Milne, Polly Rapp, Pam Revitzer, Mary Ruby, Kathy Sauer, Jennifer Smith, Daniel Toronto, Carol Ullmann

## **Research**

Michelle Campbell, Nicodemus Ford, Sarah Genik, Tamara C. Nott, Tracie Richardson

## **Data Capture**

Beverly Jendrowski

## **Permissions**

Mary Ann Bahr, Margaret Chamberlain, Kim Davis, Debra Freitas, Lori Hines, Jackie Jones, Jacqueline Key, Shalice Shah-Caldwell

## **Imaging and Multimedia**

Randy Bassett, Dean Dauphinais, Robert Duncan, Leitha Etheridge-Sims, Mary Grimes, Lezlie Light, Jeffrey Matlock, Dan Newell, Dave Oblender, Christine O'Bryan, Kelly A. Quin, Luke Rademacher, Robyn V. Young

## **Product Design**

Michelle DiMercurio, Pamela A. E. Galbreath, Michael Logusz

## **Manufacturing**

Stacy Melson

©1997-2002; ©2002 by Gale. Gale is an imprint of The Gale Group, Inc., a division of Thomson Learning, Inc.

Gale and Design® and Thomson Learning™ are trademarks used herein under license.

*For more information, contact*

The Gale Group, Inc

27500 Drake Rd.

Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3535

Or you can visit our Internet site at

<http://www.gale.com>

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this work covered by the copyright hereon may be reproduced or used in any



form or by any means—graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, Web distribution or information storage retrieval systems—without the written permission of the publisher.

For permission to use material from this product, submit your request via Web at <http://www.gale-edit.com/permissions>, or you may download our Permissions Request form and submit your request by fax or mail to:

*Permissions Department*

The Gale Group, Inc  
27500 Drake Rd.  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535

Permissions Hotline:  
248-699-8006 or 800-877-4253, ext. 8006  
Fax: 248-699-8074 or 800-762-4058

Since this page cannot legibly accommodate all copyright notices, the acknowledgments constitute an extension of the copyright notice.

While every effort has been made to secure permission to reprint material and to ensure the reliability of the information presented in this publication, The Gale Group, Inc. does not guarantee the accuracy of the data contained herein. The Gale Group, Inc. accepts no payment for listing; and inclusion in the publication of any organization, agency, institution, publication, service, or individual does not imply endorsement of the editors or publisher. Errors brought to the attention of the publisher and verified to the satisfaction of the publisher will be corrected in future editions.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Encyclopedia of Popular Fiction: "Social Concerns", "Thematic Overview", "Techniques", "Literary Precedents", "Key Questions", "Related Titles", "Adaptations", "Related Web Sites". © 1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

The following sections, if they exist, are offprint from Beacham's Guide to Literature for Young Adults: "About the Author", "Overview", "Setting", "Literary Qualities", "Social Sensitivity", "Topics for Discussion", "Ideas for Reports and Papers". © 1994-2005, by Walton Beacham.

## **Introduction**

### **Purpose of the Book**

The purpose of Drama for Students (DfS) is to provide readers with a guide to understanding, enjoying, and studying novels by giving them easy access to information about the work. Part of Gale's □For Students□ Literature line, DfS is specifically designed to meet the curricular needs of high school and undergraduate college students and their teachers, as well as the interests of general readers and researchers considering specific novels. While each volume contains entries on □classic□ novels



frequently studied in classrooms, there are also entries containing hard-to-find information on contemporary novels, including works by multicultural, international, and women novelists.

The information covered in each entry includes an introduction to the novel and the novel's author; a plot summary, to help readers unravel and understand the events in a novel; descriptions of important characters, including explanation of a given character's role in the novel as well as discussion about that character's relationship to other characters in the novel; analysis of important themes in the novel; and an explanation of important literary techniques and movements as they are demonstrated in the novel.

In addition to this material, which helps the readers analyze the novel itself, students are also provided with important information on the literary and historical background informing each work. This includes a historical context essay, a box comparing the time or place the novel was written to modern Western culture, a critical overview essay, and excerpts from critical essays on the novel. A unique feature of DfS is a specially commissioned critical essay on each novel, targeted toward the student reader.

To further aid the student in studying and enjoying each novel, information on media adaptations is provided, as well as reading suggestions for works of fiction and nonfiction on similar themes and topics. Classroom aids include ideas for research papers and lists of critical sources that provide additional material on the novel.

### Selection Criteria

The titles for each volume of DfS were selected by surveying numerous sources on teaching literature and analyzing course curricula for various school districts. Some of the sources surveyed included: literature anthologies; Reading Lists for College-Bound Students: The Books Most Recommended by America's Top Colleges; textbooks on teaching the novel; a College Board survey of novels commonly studied in high schools; a National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) survey of novels commonly studied in high schools; the NCTE's Teaching Literature in High School: The Novel; and the Young Adult Library Services Association (YALSA) list of best books for young adults of the past twenty-five years. Input was also solicited from our advisory board, as well as educators from various areas. From these discussions, it was determined that each volume should have a mix of "classic" novels (those works commonly taught in literature classes) and contemporary novels for which information is often hard to find. Because of the interest in expanding the canon of literature, an emphasis was also placed on including works by international, multicultural, and women authors. Our advisory board members—educational professionals—helped pare down the list for each volume. If a work was not selected for the present volume, it was often noted as a possibility for a future volume. As always, the editor welcomes suggestions for titles to be included in future volumes.

### How Each Entry Is Organized





Each entry, or chapter, in DfS focuses on one novel. Each entry heading lists the full name of the novel, the author's name, and the date of the novel's publication. The following elements are contained in each entry:

- **Introduction:** a brief overview of the novel which provides information about its first appearance, its literary standing, any controversies surrounding the work, and major conflicts or themes within the work.
- **Author Biography:** this section includes basic facts about the author's life, and focuses on events and times in the author's life that inspired the novel in question.
- **Plot Summary:** a factual description of the major events in the novel. Lengthy summaries are broken down with subheads.
- **Characters:** an alphabetical listing of major characters in the novel. Each character name is followed by a brief to an extensive description of the character's role in the novel, as well as discussion of the character's actions, relationships, and possible motivation. Characters are listed alphabetically by last name. If a character is unnamed—for instance, the narrator in *Invisible Man*—the character is listed as "The Narrator" and alphabetized as "Narrator." If a character's first name is the only one given, the name will appear alphabetically by that name. Variant names are also included for each character. Thus, the full name "Jean Louise Finch" would head the listing for the narrator of *To Kill a Mockingbird*, but listed in a separate cross-reference would be the nickname "Scout Finch."
- **Themes:** a thorough overview of how the major topics, themes, and issues are addressed within the novel. Each theme discussed appears in a separate subhead, and is easily accessed through the boldface entries in the Subject/Theme Index.
- **Style:** this section addresses important style elements of the novel, such as setting, point of view, and narration; important literary devices used, such as imagery, foreshadowing, symbolism; and, if applicable, genres to which the work might have belonged, such as Gothicism or Romanticism. Literary terms are explained within the entry, but can also be found in the Glossary.
- **Historical Context:** This section outlines the social, political, and cultural climate in which the author lived and the novel was created. This section may include descriptions of related historical events, pertinent aspects of daily life in the culture, and the artistic and literary sensibilities of the time in which the work was written. If the novel is a historical work, information regarding the time in which the novel is set is also included. Each section is broken down with helpful subheads.
- **Critical Overview:** this section provides background on the critical reputation of the novel, including bannings or any other public controversies surrounding the work. For older works, this section includes a history of how the novel was first received and how perceptions of it may have changed over the years; for more recent novels, direct quotes from early reviews may also be included.
- **Criticism:** an essay commissioned by DfS which specifically deals with the novel and is written specifically for the student audience, as well as excerpts from previously published criticism on the work (if available).



- Sources: an alphabetical list of critical material quoted in the entry, with full bibliographical information.
- Further Reading: an alphabetical list of other critical sources which may prove useful for the student. Includes full bibliographical information and a brief annotation.

In addition, each entry contains the following highlighted sections, set apart from the main text as sidebars:

- Media Adaptations: a list of important film and television adaptations of the novel, including source information. The list also includes stage adaptations, audio recordings, musical adaptations, etc.
- Topics for Further Study: a list of potential study questions or research topics dealing with the novel. This section includes questions related to other disciplines the student may be studying, such as American history, world history, science, math, government, business, geography, economics, psychology, etc.
- Compare and Contrast Box: an "at-a-glance" comparison of the cultural and historical differences between the author's time and culture and late twentieth century/early twenty-first century Western culture. This box includes pertinent parallels between the major scientific, political, and cultural movements of the time or place the novel was written, the time or place the novel was set (if a historical work), and modern Western culture. Works written after 1990 may not have this box.
- What Do I Read Next?: a list of works that might complement the featured novel or serve as a contrast to it. This includes works by the same author and others, works of fiction and nonfiction, and works from various genres, cultures, and eras.

### Other Features

DfS includes "The Informed Dialogue: Interacting with Literature," a foreword by Anne Devereaux Jordan, Senior Editor for Teaching and Learning Literature (TALL), and a founder of the Children's Literature Association. This essay provides an enlightening look at how readers interact with literature and how Drama for Students can help teachers show students how to enrich their own reading experiences.

A Cumulative Author/Title Index lists the authors and titles covered in each volume of the DfS series.

A Cumulative Nationality/Ethnicity Index breaks down the authors and titles covered in each volume of the DfS series by nationality and ethnicity.

A Subject/Theme Index, specific to each volume, provides easy reference for users who may be studying a particular subject or theme rather than a single work. Significant subjects from events to broad themes are included, and the entries pointing to the specific theme discussions in each entry are indicated in boldface.



Each entry has several illustrations, including photos of the author, stills from film adaptations (if available), maps, and/or photos of key historical events.

### Citing Drama for Students

When writing papers, students who quote directly from any volume of Drama for Students may use the following general forms. These examples are based on MLA style; teachers may request that students adhere to a different style, so the following examples may be adapted as needed. When citing text from DfS that is not attributed to a particular author (i.e., the Themes, Style, Historical Context sections, etc.), the following format should be used in the bibliography section:

□Night.□ Drama for Students. Ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski. Vol. 4. Detroit: Gale, 1998. 234-35.

When quoting the specially commissioned essay from DfS (usually the first piece under the □Criticism□ subhead), the following format should be used:

Miller, Tyrus. Critical Essay on □Winesburg, Ohio.□ Drama for Students. Ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski. Vol. 4. Detroit: Gale, 1998. 335-39.

When quoting a journal or newspaper essay that is reprinted in a volume of DfS, the following form may be used:

Malak, Amin. □Margaret Atwood's □The Handmaid's Tale and the Dystopian Tradition,□ Canadian Literature No. 112 (Spring, 1987), 9-16; excerpted and reprinted in Drama for Students, Vol. 4, ed. Marie Rose Napierkowski (Detroit: Gale, 1998), pp. 133-36.

When quoting material reprinted from a book that appears in a volume of DfS, the following form may be used:

Adams, Timothy Dow. □Richard Wright: □Wearing the Mask,□ in Telling Lies in Modern American Autobiography (University of North Carolina Press, 1990), 69-83; excerpted and reprinted in Novels for Students, Vol. 1, ed. Diane Telgen (Detroit: Gale, 1997), pp. 59-61.

### We Welcome Your Suggestions

The editor of Drama for Students welcomes your comments and ideas. Readers who wish to suggest novels to appear in future volumes, or who have other suggestions, are cordially invited to contact the editor. You may contact the editor via email at: [ForStudentsEditors@gale.com](mailto:ForStudentsEditors@gale.com). Or write to the editor at:

Editor, Drama for Students  
Gale Group  
27500 Drake Road  
Farmington Hills, MI 48331-3535